

Summary Report for the 2010 Development Code Minden Bridleways & Walkways

| ** | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Sub ID | Sub Point | <u>Name</u> | <u>Topic</u> | <u>Issue</u> | <u>Inclination</u> | Summary | Decision Req |
| 1 | 1 | David Parker | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | B: (B) minimum width shared bridleway | Support with Amendment | Support in principle | Reword "minimum" to "optimum" |
| 1 | 2 | David Parker | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | C: (C) Minimum width unshared bridleway | Support with Amendment | Support in principle | Amend "minimum" to read "optimum" |
| 1 | 3 | David Parker | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | | Support in principle | Reword "minimum" to "optimum" |
| 2 | 1 | Wayne Wright | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | Support with Amendment | 7m too wide | Reduce to 5m |
| 3 | 1 | Grant Carter | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | Support with Amendment | Support walkways but feel 7m is too wide. Need flexibility dependent on topography. | Reduce the minimum width |
| 3 | 2 | Grant Carter | MINDC2: Walkways | C: (C) Formed width | Support with Amendment | Support walkways but feel the formed width is too wide | Amend the formed width to read "The formed width shall be 2m where this can be achieved with minimal land disturbance. In areas of difficult topography this width may be reduced to 1.2m" |
| 3 | 3 | Grant Carter | MINDC2: Walkways | D: (D) Clear Zone | Support with Amendment | The standards need to allow for paths through wooded areas / plantations where a 1.5m clear zone on both sides may not be appropriate. Rigid standards may lead to very high ongoing maintenance costs and burden the community. | Make the provisions more flexible to reduce costs without loss of amenity value |
| 3 | 4 | Grant Carter | MINDC3: Clear Zones | A: (iii) Clear zones | Support with Amendment | The requirement for clear zones of 0.4m will have ongoing maintenance costs and may not be appropriate in many circumstances eg having one side of the walkway fenced closer than 1.5m and grazed by the neighbouring farmer may be more appropriate | Make the standards more flexible |
| 4 | 1 | Hugh Gardiner | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | Support with Amendment | Support walkways but 7m is too wide | Make total width 5m with 2m formed and 1.5m clear zones |
| 4 | 2 | Hugh Gardiner | MINDC3: Clear Zones | A: (iii) Clear zones | Support | Support clear zones being grassed | Easements should be sought for walkways not land purchase, a cheaper and more functional solution especially where walkways cross grassland |
| 5 | 1 | Christopher Ward | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | Support with Amendment | Support walkways but oppose 7m as too wide | Reduce to a 3m total width |
| 5 | 2 | Christopher Ward | MINDC2: Walkways | C: (C) Formed width | Support with Amendment | Support walkways but oppose a formed width of 2m | Reduce formed width to 1.5m |
| 7 | 1 | GW & M Hatton | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | Oppose | Oppose all the provisions for walkways | Withdraw Section 4.18 in total |
| 7 | 2 | GW & M Hatton | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | C: (C) Minimum width unshared bridleway | Oppose | Oppose all bridleways | Remove 4.18 in total |
| 8 | | Department Of Conservation - Bop | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | Support with Amendment | Given the location and widths of the proposed walkways and bridleways they have the potential to remove significant vegetation in ecological areas. | That the width standards are able to be reduced in width in ecological areas or that an ecological assessment of these accessways be undertaken to ensure their design and location protect ecological values. |
| 8 | | Department Of Conservation - Bop | MINDC2: Walkways | E: (E) Walkways construction | Support with Amendment | Any walking tracks should should be consistent with the New Zealand Standard | Make specific reference to the New Zealand Handbook for Tracks and Outdoor Visitor Structures SNZ HB 8360:2004 |
| 10 | 1 | Ray & Michele Cobb | MINDC2: Walkways | E: (E) Walkways construction | Oppose | Oppose all standards for walkways and bridleways due to high costs, disrupts farming and productivity | Delete 4.18 |
| 11 | 1 | Shirley McCulley | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | G: Bridleway construction | Oppose | Oppose all bridleways and walkways | Delete 4.18 entirely |
| 12 | 1 | Erica Walpole | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | Support with Amendment | 7m too wide | Reduce to 2m minimum, keep flexibilty |
| 12 | 2 | Erica Walpole | MINDC1: Bridleways & | C: (C) Minimum width | Support with | Bridleways too wide | Reduce to 4m minimum to reduce maintenance costs |

Created On: 2/3/2011 11:34:20 AM Created By: STARNET\akc



Summary Report for the 2010 Development Code Minden Bridleways & Walkways

| *** | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | Shared Bridleways/Walkways | unshared bridleway | Amendment | | |
| 13 | 1 Gerald & Annemieke Hart | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | Support with Amendment | Support walkways but oppose 7m as too wide | Reduce to 4.5m |
| 13 | 2 Gerald & Annemieke Hart | MINDC2: Walkways | C: (C) Formed width | Support with Amendment | Support but too wide | Reduce to 2m |
| 15 | | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | G: Bridleway construction | Oppose | Oppose all bridleways | Delete all 4.18 provisions |
| 16 | 1 Cushla Hume | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | Support with Amendment | Support walkwyas but 7m too wide | Reduce to 3m if permiable fencing is used |
| 19 | | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | B: (B) minimum width shared bridleway | Oppose | Oppose all bridleways | Delete all of 4.18 |
| 21 | | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | B: (B) minimum width shared bridleway | Support with Amendment | Support bridleways but too wide | Reduce to 10m |
| 21 | | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | C: (C) Minimum width unshared bridleway | Support with Amendment | Support but with reduced width | Reduce to 7m |
| 21 | | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | H: H - (H) Bridleway Additional Standards | Support | Support bridleways with additional standards 1(h) to provide more easy maintenance and reduce runoff and erosion 1(i) horse trials have the potential to cause water pollution and Code wording can set expectations of their management 1(j) Safety is a major concern and some wording in the Code should provide for this | 1(h) Bridleways shall not exceed a 1:10 slope and follow natural contours whenever possible 1(i) Bridlepaths should be at least 10m from waterways except at managed crossings and should be a minimum of 1m above the water table 1(j) All bridleways shall be designed with easy curves to maximise sightlines except where a zig zag is necessary |
| 21 | 4 Jo Gravit | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | Support with Amendment | Support but width may be too wide in some circumstances | Allow flexibility for minimum width of 5m |
| 21 | 5 Jo Gravit | MINDC2: Walkways | C: (C) Formed width | Support with Amendment | Support but with amendment. In a high rainfall or damp area walkways may need a camber on both sides which is difficult for 2 people to walk on | Change formed surface to minimum flat formed surface of 2m |
| 23 | | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | B: (B) minimum width shared bridleway | Support with Amendment | Support walkways and bridleways but oppose the minimum width of 15m as too wide | Reduce to 10m |
| 23 | | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | C: (C) Minimum width unshared bridleway | Support with Amendment | Support walkways and bridleways but oppose width of 10m as too wide | Reduce to 7m |
| 23 | | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | E: (E) Bridleways & walkways separated | Support with Amendment | Support walkways and bridleways but oppose the seperation of 3m as too wide | Reduce to 1.5m |
| 23 | 4 Geejae Construction Limited | MINDC3: Clear Zones | A: (iii) Clear zones | Support with Amendment | Support walkways and bridleways but oppose clear zone of 3m as too wide | Reduce to 1.5m |
| 27 | | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | B: (B) minimum width shared bridleway | Support with Amendment | Support walkways and bridleways but oppose 15m as too wide | Reduce to 10m total |
| 27 | | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | C: (C) Minimum width unshared bridleway | Support with Amendment | Support walkways and bridleways but oppose 10m as too wide | Reduce to 7m |
| 27 | | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | E: (E) Bridleways & walkways separated | Support with Amendment | Support walkways and bridleways but oppose 3m seperation as too wide | Reduce seperatation to 1.5m |
| 27 | 4 Ainsworth Farm Trust | MINDC3: Clear Zones | A: (iii) Clear zones | Support with Amendment | Support walkways and bridleways but oppose the clear zone of 3m as too wide | Reduce clear zone to 1.5m |

Created On: 2/3/2011 11:34:20 AM



Summary Report for the 2010 Development Code Minden Bridleways & Walkways

| 28 | 1 Deidre Sobye | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | C: (C) Minimum width unshared bridleway | Support with Amendment | Support walkways but oppose the minimum width of 10m | The total width should be no more than 5m |
|----|----------------|---|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| 28 | 2 Deidre Sobye | MINDC1: Bridleways & Shared Bridleways/Walkways | B: (B) minimum width shared bridleway | | li din di | The minimum formed width of a bridle should be restricted to 2m |
| 28 | 3 Deidre Sobye | MINDC2: Walkways | B: (B) Minimum total width | | Support walkways but oppose the minimum width of 7m as being to wide | The minimum total width should be 2m |
| 28 | 4 Deidre Sobye | MINDC3: Clear Zones | A: (iii) Clear zones | Amendment | Support walkways and bridleways but clear zones should be vegetated with grass or low growth height plants where possible but there needs to be flexibility to allow for taller natives such as pungas etc that could enhance the walk. | Allow for greater flexibility in the clear zone |

Created On: 2/3/2011 11:34:20 AM Created By: STARNET\akc