

# MEETING - AGENDA -

Ngā Take

[www.westernbay.govt.nz](http://www.westernbay.govt.nz)



# TAURANGA MOANA / TE ARAWA KI TAKUTAI PARTNERSHIP FORUM

*Komiti Whakariterite Kōrero*

---

**PF4**

**Tuesday, 15 May 2018  
Council Chambers  
Barkes Corner, Tauranga  
10.00am**

# Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa Ki Takutai Partnership Forum

## Panui Notice of Meeting No PF4

**Tuesday, 15 May 2018**  
**Council Chambers, Barkes Corner**  
**10.00am**

**Forum Members:**

Reon Tuanau (Tauranga Moana), Joint Chairperson  
Tony Wihapi (Te Arawa Ki Takutai), Joint Chairperson

Garry Webber (Mayor)  
Mike Williams (Deputy Mayor)  
Grant Dally  
Mark Dean  
Mike Lally  
Peter Mackay  
Kevin Marsh  
David Marshall  
Margaret Murray-Benge  
John Palmer  
John Scrimgeour  
Don Thwaites

Raiha Biel (Tapuika Iwi Authority)  
Tiki Bluegum (Ngai Tamawhariua)  
Elva Conroy (Ngati Tuheke)  
Matire Duncan / Verna Gate (Nga Potiki)  
Roland Kingi / Gail Skerrett-White (Ngati Pikiao)  
Nessie Kuka (Ngai Tuwhiwhia)  
Bob Leef (Ngati Taka)  
Wiremu Matthews (Ngai Tamarawaho)  
Buddy Mikaere / Rehua Smallman / Pahu Akuhata (Ngati Pukenga)  
Riki Nelson (Ngati Te Wai)  
Julie Shepherd (Te Runanga o Pirirakau)  
Liam Tapsell (Ngati Whakaue)  
Horace Te Moni (Ngati Rangiwewehi)  
Kevin Tohiariki (Te Whanau o Tauwhao)

**Media  
Staff**

Miriam Taris  
**Chief Executive Officer**  
**Western Bay of Plenty District Council**



**Western Bay of Plenty**  
**District Council**

Te Kaunihera a rohe mai i nga Kuri-a-Whare ki Otamarakau ki te Uru

[www.westernbay.govt.nz](http://www.westernbay.govt.nz)



# Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa Ki Takutai Partnership Forum - Terms of Reference

## **Purpose:**

Better outcomes for Māori, a sustainable environment and healthy empowered communities.

## **Te Pae Tawhiti/Vision:**

A district where the environment is protected and enhanced; people and communities are healthy, prosperous and empowered.

## **Te Kaupapa/Mission:**

Our relationship is an "equal partnership" recognising the strength of working together to achieve better outcomes for our communities.

## **Ngā Tikanga/Principles:**

- Courageous, committed, proactive and evolving
- Good faith, mutual respect and understanding
- Effective management / Kaitiakitanga
- Effective governance / Rangatiratanga.

## **1. Form and Membership**

- (a) A Forum shall be established to address issues and leverage opportunities relating to Māori
- (b) Iwi and hapū that have interests within the territorial boundaries of Council are entitled to have one primary representative on the Forum. Should the primary representative be unavailable to attend any meeting, an alternate may substitute and be accorded the same rights as the primary representative.
- (c) His/her Worship the Mayor together with all Western Bay of Plenty District Councillors shall comprise Council representation on the Forum.

## **2. Selection of iwi and hapū representatives**

- (a) Iwi and hapū representatives shall be determined by those iwi and hapū who have representation on the Forum. Iwi and hapū shall advise Council of their respective representatives by providing the following;
  - (i) Minutes of an advertised hui-a-iwi/hui-a-hapū where a resolution was passed appointing a representative to the Forum; or
  - (ii) A letter of appointment from the Chairperson of an iwi or hapū governance entity that has the authority to appoint iwi/Hapū representatives (where such a governance entity exists).
- (b) Once membership on the Forum has been confirmed in accordance with clause 2(a) above, representatives shall be re-validated every three (3) years, at the same time as local body elections and in accordance with the criteria set out in these Terms of Reference. The position of Chairperson shall be decided at the first meeting of a new triennium.

- (c) If iwi/hapū wish to replace their representative on the Forum prior to the end of the three year term of a sitting representative, the process outlined in 2(a) above applies.

**NB:** If Council is notified that a primary representative for an iwi or hapū has been appointed by way of both 2(a)(i) and 2(a)(ii) and that representative is not the same person, the person appointed by way of 2(a)(i) shall be recognised as the representative for that iwi or hapū.

### **3. Functions**

The Forum shall:

- (a) Develop an annual work programme from Te Ara Mua.
- (b) Monitor the implementation of Te Ara Mua with prioritised actions and identify funding requirements.
- (c) Recommend actions to enhance Māori capacity and capability that will contribute to Council's decision making processes for inclusion in the development of the Long Term Plan.
- (d) Ensure that Council's legislative obligations to Māori are met by providing monitoring and oversight of those obligations (see Schedule B for relevant legislation).
- (e) Build Council understanding about Treaty of Waitangi settlements (including statutory acknowledgments) and identify their implications for Council (including decision making processes).
- (f) Where it deems it necessary, make recommendations to Council and its committees.

### **4. Meetings**

- (a) Official meetings of the Forum shall be held bi monthly. Meetings may be held in the Chambers of Council or at any other venue that the Forum may decide upon.
- (b) His/Her Worship the Mayor shall be the Chairperson for the inaugural meeting of the Forum. Thereafter two Chairpersons, one from Tauranga Moana and one from Te Arawa, shall be selected from among the iwi and hapū representatives at the conclusion of the inaugural Meeting to chair subsequent meetings.
- (c) The role of Chairperson and Deputy Chairperson shall alternate between Tauranga Moana and Te Arawa each meeting. This is to reflect the mana of the respective iwi and hapū that those Chairpersons represent.
- (d) The Chairpersons are invited to participate in the workshops of all Council Committees. The workshops provide an opportunity to give Council direction on important matters. Having iwi and hapu members participate in those workshops enables Council to meet its statutory obligations outlined in Schedule B of these Terms of Reference.
- (e) The Group Manager Policy, Planning & Regulatory Services shall be responsible for resourcing the Forum together with relevant staff members.
- (f) The Forum does not preclude individual iwi or hapū from working with Council on matters of their own concern or from progressing Mana Whakahono-a-Rohe agreements in accordance with the Resource Management Act, nor does it preclude iwi and hapū representatives or Council representatives from holding workshops outside of official meetings of the Forum on issues specific to an iwi, hapū and whānau.
- (g) The members of the Forum may agree to appoint representatives from among their membership to external representation forums (such as the SmartGrowth Combined Tangata Whenua Forum).

- (h) Agenda material that is specific to the kaupapa of the Forum can be provided by either iwi and hapū or Council.

## **5. Quorum**

In order to ensure the validity of proceedings at a meeting, the minimum number of attendees required is 50% Elected Members and 50% iwi and hapū representatives. Resolutions of the Partnership Forum (except those covered by the Dispute Resolution clause at 8 below) shall be passed if a majority of members are in favour.

## **6. Dispute Resolution**

- (a) In the event that a dispute arises in terms of the meaning/operation of these terms of reference the members will resolve the matter amongst themselves.
- (b) In the event that a dispute arises in terms of the decision making powers of the Partnership Forum the members will resolve the matter amongst themselves.
- (c) Disputed issues under 8(a) and 8(b) above, shall be put to the Forum as a resolution to be voted on. The resolution is passed if it is supported by at least 80% of the members.
- (d) Dispute resolution processes shall take account of Ngā Tikanga/Principles of these terms of reference.

## **7. Review of Terms of Reference**

The Māori Relationships and External Adviser and the Workforce Development and Cultural Adviser accept the responsibility for reviewing the Terms of Reference and recommending updates as deemed necessary. The Forum must agree to any changes to the Terms of Reference.

# Agenda for Meeting No. PF4

		Pages
	<b>Present In Attendance Apologies</b>	
PF4.1	<b>Minutes of Meeting No. PF3 of the Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa Ki Takutai Partnership Forum Held on 13 March 2018</b>	8-13
	<p>A copy of the minutes of Meeting No. PF3 of the Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa ki Takutai Partnership Forum held on 13 March 2018 is attached.</p> <p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p><i>THAT the minutes of Meeting No. PF3 of the Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa Ki Takutai Partnership Forum held on 13 March 2018 are confirmed as a true and correct record.</i></p>	
PF4.2	<b>Te Ara Mua Ngā Kaihoe (Work Programme) Update</b>	14-25
	<p>Attached is a report from Workforce Development and Cultural Advisor dated 3 May 2018.</p>	
PF4.3	<b>Future Development Strategy</b>	
	<p>Discussion item - no report attached.</p>	
PF4.4	<b>Capital Projects Update</b>	26-37
	<p>Attached is a report from the Deputy Chief Executive Officer dated 5 May 2018.</p>	
PF4.5	<b>Long Term Plan Submission - Tangata Whenua Members of the Partnership Forum</b>	38-47
	<p>Attached is a report from the Māori Relationships and Engagement Advisor dated 1 May 2018.</p>	

PF4.6      **Katikati-Waihi Beach Ward Reserve Management Plan Review**      48-50

Attached is a report from the Recreation Planner dated 3 May 2018.

PF4.7      **2018 Policy and Planning Work Programme**      51-55

Attached is a report from the Policy and Planning Manager dated 4 May 2018.

PF4.8      **Resource Management Work Programme**      56-76

Attached is a report from the Resource Management Manager dated 4 May 2018.

## Western Bay of Plenty District Council

**Minutes of Meeting No. PF3 of  
the Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa Ki Takutai Partnership Forum held on  
13 March 2018 in the Council Chamber, Barks Corner  
commencing at 10.00am**

### Present

#### Iwi Representatives

Reon Tuanau (Ngai Te Rangi) (Presiding Co-Chairperson), Anthony Wihapi (Ngati Moko) (Co-Chairperson), Tiki Bluegum (Ngai Tamawhariua), Matire Duncan (Ngā Potiki), Roland Kingi (Ngati Pikiāo), Bob Leef (Ngati Taka), Wiremu Matthews (Ngai Tamarāwaho), Buddy Mikaere (Ngati Pukenga), Riki Nelson (Ngati Te Wai), Julie Shepherd (Te Runanga o Pirirakau), Kevin Tohiariki (Te Whanau o Tauwhao), Raiha Biel (Tapuika Iwi Authority), Elva Conroy (Ngati Tuheke), Carlton Bidois (Ngati Ranginui), Maru Tapsell (Waitaha), Nessie Kuka (Ngai Tuwhiwhia), Hemi Paki (Ngati Tauaiti)

#### Councillors

His Worship the Mayor G J Webber (Deputy Chairperson), G Dally, M Dean, M Lally, P Mackay, D Marshall, M Murray-Benge, J Palmer, D Thwaites and M Williams

#### In Attendance

M Taris (Chief Executive Officer), R Davie (Group Manager Policy, Planning and Regulatory Services), E Holtsbaum (Group Manager Technology, Customer and Community Services), E Watton (Policy and Planning Manager), S Parker (Reserves and Facilities Projects and Assets Manager), P Hennessey (Strategic Advisor), P Tapsell (Workforce Development and Cultural Advisor), C Nepia (Māori Relationships and Engagement Advisor) and F Sweeney (Democracy Management Advisor).

#### Others

As listed in the minutes.

The meeting opened with a karakia from Tiki Bluegum, and a mihi from Reon Tuanau.

#### Apologies

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Marsh and Scrimgeour, and for lateness from Matire Duncan.



**Resolved:** Julie Shepherd / Cr Murray-Benge

*THAT the apologies for absence from Councillors Marsh and Scrimgeour and for lateness from Matire Duncan be accepted.*

PF3.1 **Minutes of Meeting No. PF2 of the Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa Ki Takutai Partnership Forum held on 10 November 2017**

The Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa Ki Takutai Partnership Forum considered the minutes of meeting PF2 of the Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa Ki Takutai Partnership Forum held on 10 November 2017 as circulated with the agenda.

**Resolved:** Crs Mackay / Marshall

*THAT the minutes of Meeting No. PF2 of the Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa Ki Takutai Partnership Forum held on 10 November 2017 are confirmed as a true and correct record.*

PF3.2 **Sub-Regional Policy for Tangata Whenua Consultation on Resource Consents**

The Partnership Forum considered a report from the Māori Relationships and Engagement Officer dated 26 February 2018 as circulated with the agenda. Shad Rolleston, Tu Pakari Advisor to SmartGrowth was in attendance together with Keren Paekau of Tauranga City Council and Clarke Koopu of the Bay of Plenty Regional Council and he tabled and gave a powerpoint presentation in support of the report.

In response to a question Shad Rolleston advised that the policy did not determine who would be consulted with but rather the how of the consultation process.

Concerns were raised in regard to the intrusion of other iwi into this area which needed to be addressed and could be considered in the policy. Carlton Bidois had concerns that Regional Council was requiring consultation with iwi that have not had any recognised interests in Tauranga as they had not yet settled their Treaty claims with the Crown, and said that despite the Crown insisting that Council do so, Councils should resist in solidarity with the views of local iwi and hapū. In response the Mayor suggested that the responsibility for identifying appropriate mana whenua to be consulted with would lie with Tangata Whenua as opposed to the Councils.

In further comment it was noted that the policy referred to mana whenua but there was confusion in regard to who was mana whenua, and there was a suggestion that at times this confusion was created by Councils approaching specific iwi and hapū which may not necessarily have a recognised relationship (by the Crown) as mana whenua.

Riki Nelson said that Tangata Whenua could identify a process in the interim to work through to address the mana whenua issues and advise Councils accordingly.

It was suggested that a definition of permitted activities be added to the policy.

The Forum was advised as follows:

- Each of the Western Bay, Tauranga City and Bay of Plenty Regional Councils had their own policies and procedures for approaching resource consent consultation under the Resource Management Act and this was a proposal to take a more consistent and co-ordinated approach shared by all of the Councils.
- Councils needed this policy in response to applications made under the Resource Management Act for resource consents.
- The policy was written specifically to address matters of Resource Consent applications only and did not address a number of other statutory planning processes such as plan changes or Mana Whakahono a Rohe agreements.

10.30am

Matire Duncan entered the meeting.

Keren Paekau said as part of the progression of this policy there was an intention for all the Councils to go out and develop and discuss maps of areas of interest for Tangata Whenua. Clarke Koopu suggested that this process would hopefully provide for identification by Tangata Whenua of their areas of interest and where some boundaries may overlap.

It was noted that once the policy was returned to the Councils for ratification there would be a need to ensure that the underlying District and Regional Plans supported implementation of the policy.

Members were asked to provide any further individual feedback to Shad Rolleston by 11 April which was the date when the Combined Tangata Whenua Forum would consider the final draft.

**Resolved:** Anthony Wihapi / Mayor Webber

*THAT the Māori Relationships and Engagement Advisor's report dated 26 February 2018 and titled Sub-regional Policy for Tangata Whenua Consultation on Resource Consents be received, noting the discussion and comments made at this meeting.*

10.55am

The hui adjourned for morning tea.

11.20am

The hui reconvened.

## PF3.3

**Horse Riding at Tuapiro Point / Reserves and Facilities Bylaw**

The Partnership Forum considered a report from the Māori Relationships and Engagement Officer dated 13 February 2018 as circulated with the agenda. The Māori Relationships and Engagement Officer introduced the report and noted that Riki Nelson would address this item as a matter of concern for Ngati Te Wai. Riki Nelson spoke to the concerns of the marae in particular relating to the number of horses (up to 35 in one day) on the foreshore and the ecological effects of these on kaimoana beds at Tuapiro Point, which were an important source of food for the associated marae.

In discussion of the report the following matters were noted:

- The photographs included in the report were taken on various days, mostly in the weekend, and this happened most weekends.
- The horses mostly walked on the beach and on the foreshore.

In comment it was suggested that an immediate review may be more appropriate given the potential environmental damage. It was also noted that horses and riders were coming from other areas such as the Waikato and that whilst local riders may be showing respect this was not true for all horse riders using this part of the foreshore.

In reference to alternative options Riki Nelson noted that there were articles back to the 1990s around concerns and conflicts over the use of Tuapiro Point and these had been brought to Council a number of times including meeting with Council's reserves staff.

**Resolved:** Mayor Webber / Nessie Kuka

1. *THAT the Māori Relationships and Engagement Advisors report dated 13 February 2018 and titled horse riding at Tuapiro Point/Reserves and Facilities Bylaw be received.*
2. *THAT the Partnership Forum recommend that Council initiate an immediate review of the Reserves and Facilities Bylaw, in relation to the permission to allow horse riding at Tuapiro Point.*

## PF3.4

**Capital Projects Update**

The Partnership Forum considered a report from the Group Manager Policy, Planning and Regulatory Services dated 23 February 2018 as circulated with the agenda. The Reserves and Facilities Projects and Assets Manager spoke to a powerpoint presentation on reserves and facilities capital projects across the district. It was noted that on Matakana Island there was considerable erosion with some houses in danger and the question was raised as to how this would rate in relation to Council erosion control work. The Group Manager Policy, Planning and Regulatory Services clarified that the Council policy addressed erosion in relation to Council assets and not privately owned land.

In regard to the Kauri Point Historic Reserve it was noted that there was a high level of community interest in reinstating access, but that the land had a great deal of historic value to Tangata Whenua, and any work would require cultural assessment.

In regard to the Opureora Jetty replacement the Reserves and Facilities Projects and Assets Manager advised that consideration had been given to toilet facilities but the site was very difficult and the current arrangement of a service delivery agreement with the Marae at the top of the hill was a better approach to provide this service to the area.

There was discussion of the sea wall works at Maketu and a history of the original construction of the wall was recounted for information of the Forum members.

There was also discussion of the Waiari water supply and the proposal that Tauranga City Council use the resources created in another Council's area, and a concern was expressed that this was a misappropriation of the water.

In response to a question on works needed at the PanePane Point jetty for safety of children and the elderly using the jetty, the Reserves and Facilities Projects and Assets Manager advised of actions taken to try and address the concerns raised.

**Resolved:** Julie Shepherd / Hemi Paki

*THAT the Group Manager Policy, Planning and Regulatory Services report dated 23 February 2018 and titled Capital Projects Update be received.*

PF3.5

### **Representation Review - Update**

The Partnership Forum considered a report from the Customer Relationships Manager dated 26 February 2018 as circulated with the agenda, who was in attendance to answer questions. In response to questions she advised of the process and timetable for the poll about Māori wards for the Western Bay of Plenty District Council. In discussion of the process it was noted that it would be important to continue to use this forum to represent the views and needs of Tangata Whenua regardless of the outcome of the poll.

**Resolved:** Julie Shepherd / Cr Williams

*THAT the Customer Relationships Manager report dated 26 February 2018 and titled Representation Review - Update be received.*

12.20pm

The Presiding Chair withdrew from the meeting and Co-Chair Anthony Wihapi assumed the Chair.

**Resolved:** Tiki Bluegum / Raiha Biel

*THAT the Workforce Development and Māori Advisor's report dated 22 February 2018 titled Te Ara Mua Ngā Kaihoe (Work Programme) Update be received.*

PF3.10

**General Issues - Waiari Stream, Powerco Developments in Waitangi Village, Maori Ward Poll, Tauriko Development**

On invitation from the presiding Chair, Anthony Wihapī noted the following issues.

**Waiari Stream**

He was concerned that there were proposals that the upper part of the Waiari stream was to be used as a water supply, and the lower part for effluent disposal.

**Powerco Developments in Waitangi Village**

He was concerned that Powerco was installing two 33KV lines next to the Kaituna river bridge as a designated permitted activity.

**Māori Ward Poll**

He was encouraging forum members to ensure their hapū and iwi got out to vote on the poll in regard to Māori wards, and to ensure all were registered to vote on the parliamentary electoral rolls.

**Tauriko Development**

A memorandum was tabled for the Partnership Forum members' information on the proposed Change 4 to the BOP Regional Policy Statement – Tauriko West Urban Limits.

There being no further business, Tiki Bluegum closed the meeting with a karakia at 1.20pm.

PF3

---

**Western Bay of Plenty District Council****Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa ki Takutai  
Partnership Forum****Te Ara Mua Ngā Kaihoe (Work Programme) Update**

---

**Purpose**

To provide Partnership Forum members with a Te Ara Mua progress update.

**Recommendation**

*THAT the Workforce Development and Māori Advisor's report dated 3 May 2018 titled Te Ara Mua Ngā Kaihoe (Work Programme) Update be received.*



---

Petera Tapsell  
**Workforce Development &  
Cultural Adviser**

## **1. Background**

Te Ara Mua is a plan developed in 2016 by the Tauranga Moana and Te Arawa ki Takutai Partnership Forums. It signifies the efforts of the Partnership Forum to articulate the aspirations of Māori and actions that will help achieve those aspirations.

The aim is to assist Māori to form effective partnerships with Council so that issues of significance, capacity building opportunities and Treaty issues that have been identified, are addressed in the decisions Council makes.

## Tino Rangatiratanga and Kawanatanga

Desired Outcomes	Action	Progress to Date
<p><b>Social:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tangata Whenua are represented in local government and are actively involved in decision making.</li> <li>Tangata Whenua participate and vote in local body elections.</li> <li>Tangata whenua participate more in the decisions of Council that affect their lives.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify and implement ways of supporting Tangata Whenua to participate in local body elections (includes standing and voting – newsletters, information from other Elected Members).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Partnership Forum will need to take the lead on this matter with the support of Council in the lead up to the 2019 local body elections.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support Māori input into Council policy and planning development review.</li> <li>Workshopping of policy with Partnership Forum members.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Policy Committee work programme for the calendar year has been workshopped with Councillors and will be provided to Partnership Forum members.</li> <li>At its meeting on 15 May 2018 Tangata Whenua members can indicate which policy projects they would like to participate in.</li> <li>Over the preceding three months a successful workshop series was held with tangata whenua to identify key issues/opportunities for the Long Term Plan. All workshops were well attended. Partnership Forum members have received an overview of the feedback.</li> <li>Partnership forum Chairs along with Community Board Chairs are invited to attend all Policy Workshops.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review Partnership Forums.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This will naturally progress in advance of the next local body elections (October 2019).</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate Māori representation on Council committees with voting rights.</li> <li>Investigate the establishment of Māori wards as part of the review of representation arrangements.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council as part of its review of representation arrangements resolved with a majority in November 2017, "that in accordance with the discretion conferred by Section 19Z of the Local Electoral Act 2001, Māori wards shall be established for the next triennial elections</li> </ul>



		<p>in 2019 and 2022”.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A valid demand for a poll has been received and the poll must now be held on or before 21 May 2018 (see Representation Update report for more information).</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investigate the establishment of a Rangatahi Forum.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A Tuia programme has been developed where an inspiring young person with leadership qualities is invited to work with the Mayor (who acts as the Mentor) for a certain time period to give that person an insight into how Council operates.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Cultural:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Treaty of Waitangi principles shall be integrated in all Council planning and training</li> <li>• Tangata Whenua expectations are met regarding the Treaty of Waitangi</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a system to monitor Council’s Treaty and statutory obligations to Māori and establish the link between all Council policy and the Treaty of Waitangi.</li> <li>• Ensure Council is meeting its Treaty and statutory obligations to Māori by implementing a monitoring system.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This action is likely to be progressed by an external resource and the scope of this work will be workshopped with Partnership Forum.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide ongoing Treaty of Waitangi training and updates to Elected Members, Community Board Members, Partnership Forum members, Council staff, Council contractors and consultants.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For all Elected Members and Community Board members, Treaty of Waitangi training is provided following the triennial elections. The majority of staff members and contractors have undergone the training. Training for new staff is provided when there is sufficient numbers for a course to be taken.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Environmental:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tangata Whenua are involved in planning processes</li> <li>• Tangata Whenua are involved in resource consent processes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Actively include appropriate Tangata Whenua in consent processes, including notification processes.</li> <li>• Monitor Tangata Whenua inclusion in consent processes, including notification processes.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This action aligns with an action in the SmartGrowth Strategy (requested by the Combined Tangata Whenua Forum), for a sub-regional approach to consultation with tangata whenua on resource consents. The Partnership Forum considered this policy at its meeting on 13 March. The policy has subsequently been endorsed by the Combined Tangata Whenua Forum.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council take account of Iwi and Hapū management</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In or March 2017 WBOPDC and TCC jointly funded</li> </ul>

	<p>plans in the development of new plans.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Council review the way in which Hapū and Iwi management plans are funded, emphasising the need for those Hapū and Iwi to reflect their tikanga within those documents.</li><li>• Consider a protocols/relationship document with Hapū and Iwi.</li></ul>	<p>independent research to provide guidance around ways to ensure that Iwi/Hapu management plans are developed and implemented. The next steps are to progress the recommendations included in the report prepared by Conroy and Donald Consultants Limited (March 2017) entitled <i>"Effective Use and Recognition of Iwi and Hapu Management Plans."</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• WBOPDC, TCC and Regional Council are the original funders for these plans however, TCC is coming to the end of its funding contribution as most Iwi/Hapu in their jurisdiction have completed their plans. TCC will now be concentrating more on reviews. WBOBDC is looking to 'ring-fence' some of this funding to enable hapu to map cultural heritage features (where there is a desire to do so).</li><li>• This action has superceded the introduction of Te Mana Whakahono a Rohe arrangements which can be initiated by iwi (or can be initiated by Council at a hapu level).</li><li>• Takawaenga Maori staff from Western Bay, Tauranga City and Bay of Plenty Regional have been discussing opportunities for collaborating so that when negotiations are initiated by iwi (or by Council with hapu), all three Councils are present at the table. This is considered to be a more efficient and helpful approach for iwi/hapu rather than requiring the negotiation of three separate MWAR agreements with each Council.</li><li>• Partnership engagement agreements related to certain projects (e.g. Tauriko a Muri Ake Nei / Maungarangi Rd) have been initiated and are time specific. The template Partnership Engagement Agreement includes a</li></ul>
--	--	---

		remuneration schedule to ensure that hapu representatives are appropriately remunerated for their time and expertise offered around the table.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Seek Partnership Forum advice on how to engage Hapū and Iwi in the development of plans.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MWaR's and Engagement Protocols will assist greatly in achieving this action.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Engage with Hapū and Iwi in the development of plans.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As above.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Economic:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tangata Whenua are supported to effectively participate in the decisions of local government that affect their lives (capacity building).</li> <li>Support Māori economic development.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate support required to effectively participate in Council decision making e.g. Council funding Partnership Forum members to undertake Making Good Decisions training.</li> <li>Hapū and Iwi are provided with information about the role of Council and how they can contribute to it</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Information and support is provided for those wishing to undertake such training and the Council welcomes members to apply.</li> <li>It is envisaged that the Takawaenga Team along with the relevant Forum members will meet with Iwi/Hapu about the role of Council at least 3 times annually.</li> </ul>

## Whanaungatanga – he here kia mohio

Desired Outcomes	Action	Progress to Date
<b>Social:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good quality, affordable housing is increased</li> <li>• Rates are fair and equitable for Māori land</li> <li>• Whānau wellbeing and resilience is strengthened</li> <li>• Communities that are vibrant, connected and safe are developed</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue to contribute funding to the Joint Agency Group (JAG) responsible for papakainga initiatives.</li> <li>• Continue work to improve housing affordability for Māori.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All three Council's have included within their draft LTP a continuation of funding toward progressing the JAG Strategic Plan and papakainga initiatives.</li> <li>• A more strategic discussion has been scheduled amongst the Council partners (and the JAG facilitator) to understand the changing Government social housing / housing affordability landscape and more particularly to investigate how we might achieve papakainga 'at scale'.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expand Healthy Whare Project to improve housing quality in other areas.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This project is now expanding into Tapuika (Te Puke) from Maketu. Negotiations are also underway with Te Rereatukahia. The Healthy Whare model has the potential to be taken to other communities. Council funding is continuing but the success of this programme depends upon an integrated approach between other government agencies / departments (particularly, Te Puni Kokiri, Ministry of Social Development, BOPDHB).</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue to advocate for an equitable approach to the funding of infrastructure - e.g. review of financial contributions on papakainga and advocate to Te Puni Kokiri for infrastructure funding.</li> <li>• Continue to provide advice and support around planning for papakainga and Māori housing development.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A significant decision was made during the last triennium resulting in fincos being reduced by 50% for papakainga on multiple owned Maori land where the Trust participates in the Papakainga Toolkit process or alternatively, can demonstrate the same level of master planning has been undertaken.</li> <li>• This is an ongoing process through the JAG programme.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investigate building safe and vibrant papakainga in traditional areas of Māori occupation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This action requires more clarification from the Māori members of the Partnership Forum as to what it is meant by traditional areas of Māori occupation.</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hold a workshop for Tangata Whenua members of Partnership Forums regarding rating policies and practices.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Workshop for the Tangata Whenua members of the Forum is actively being promoted and a date is being looked at to progress this further.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Cultural:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Marae are used, sustainable and cared for</li> <li>• The use of te reo Māori is promoted</li> <li>• The importance of urupā is recognised</li> <li>• Promote Māori arts and culture</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investigate the effectiveness of the Marae Maintenance Fund including criteria for use.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Marae Maintenance Fund is proving to be very popular and is oversubscribed. The purpose of this fund is to assist with the maintenance only of the marae. The Partnership Forum submission to the LTP includes a suggestion that the fund be increased from \$33,000.00 per year to \$50,000.00 per year.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investigate the needs of urupā.</li> <li>• Consider contributing reserve land for urupā.</li> <li>• Review the fee structure for plots at cemetery.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investigations are currently underway to identify appropriate sites and options. There are possibly 2 areas in Katikati that could be assigned as a cemetery/Urupa.</li> <li>• The draft Katikati/Waihi Beach Ward Reserve Management Plan (which will be reviewed with the community this year) identifies the recreation reserve at Hot Springs Road as a possible site for a new urupa to serve Tamawhariua.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase use of marae as venues for engagement between Council and the community.</li> <li>• Promote and support marae being used as emergency centres during disasters.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council would welcome advice from Partnership Forum members as to when / where it might be appropriate to hold Council meetings and/or Partnership Forum meetings on marae.</li> <li>• This action was reinforced through the Long Term Plan workshops with tangata whenua. WBOPDC needs to work more closely with the Māori Civil Defence Coordinator / BOPRC to progress this mahi.</li> <li>• The Partnership Forum submission to the LTP included a recommendation that Council fund the development of a Marae toolkit taking a similar multi-agency approach as</li> </ul>

		was used to develop the Papakainga toolkit.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop/review Council's te reo Māori Strategy with a view to:</li> <li>Increase the use of te reo Māori by Councillors and staff.</li> <li>Promote the use of Māori names through signage.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council has in past run Te Reo classes on a voluntary basis. Plans are underway to set up two classes. One specifically to deal with correct pronunciation and the other for a homework group who will be undertaking Te Reo classes at the Wananga.</li> <li>Signage is currently in place throughout the offices and most of our interviews are so named.</li> </ul>
<b>Environmental:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Māori values are reflected in the built and natural environment</li> <li>Space is available for recreation and leisure</li> <li>Opportunities are provided for mahinga kai and wāhi rongoa</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review and improve the extent to which Māori values are represented in the built and natural environment and advocate these views amongst other organisations who also work in the environment (e.g. Regional Council consents to do investigative mining in Tauranga Moana).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pou have been erected throughout the Western Bay region. Historical markers have also been introduced in some communities in the region.</li> <li>Council has agreed to review the Built Environment Strategy which will provide a good opportunity for Partnership Forum members (and others) to explore contemporary ways and means of reflecting Māori values in the built and natural environment.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate needs for mahinga kai and wāhi rongoa and ways in which Council can contribute to meeting those needs – including the use of Council parks and reserves.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Respective hapu would need to meet with Council staff to identify the areas.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate recreation and leisure needs of Māori to evaluate the extent to which the needs are provided for in Council's recreation spaces</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This again would require Iwi/hapu to meet with Council staff to discuss this matter. An opportunity for this conversation will be when Council reviews its Recreation and Leisure Strategy later on this year.</li> </ul>
<b>Economic:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tangata Whenua have access to essential infrastructure and utilities</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Advocate to central and regional government for funding to contribute to essential infrastructure and services (such as plumbing, high speed internet).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This advocacy occurs constantly both through SmartGrowth (of which tangata whenua are a partner) and by each Council within the sub-region. This advocacy has understandably focused on transportation investment within the sub-region as well as the need for</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tangata Whenua are assisted in the development of their land</li> </ul>		<p>a greater range of funding tools for local government to invest in essential regional infrastructure.</p>
--	--	--

### Ōritetanga and Kaitiakitanga

Desired Outcomes	Action	Progress to Date
<p><b>Social:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Healthy and strong whānau, Hapū and Iwi</li> <li>A genuine partnership between Tangata Whenua and Council based on equity and fairness</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure Māori needs are considered in improving community wellbeing</li> <li>Advocate collaboration with other government organisations to improve the needs of Māori</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Healthy Whare, Papakainga Housing, Partnership Forums, Te Ara Mua, Te Kauae a Roopu to name a few are set up to ensure Māori needs are met in terms of their well being and the members on these group comprise various organisations within the community.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Cultural:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Māori cultural heritage is protected and preserved</li> <li>Improved Tangata Whenua participation in Resource Management processes</li> <li>Acknowledge papakainga as a traditional lifestyle community</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stocktake of existing approach to preserving and protecting cultural heritage sites (including a review of relevant policies), identify gaps and consider ways of improving</li> <li>Support Hapū and Iwi to map cultural heritage sites and sites of significance within Hapū and Iwi management plans</li> <li>Cultural heritage in all its forms should be acknowledged including art, whakapapa, story boards, tikanga</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This was one of the issues that was prominent in all Iwi/Hapu management plans and as a result a workshop was held with the Partnership Forum to shape a strategy to ensure the protection of the sites.</li> <li>There has been some work done in mapping the sites known to this Council through the plans however because Iwi/hapu have retained the knowledge of some special sites, Council is not able identify them on their website. Council will remain supportive in whatever decision Iwi/Hapu come to.</li> <li>As above, Council has agreed to review the Built Environment Strategy.</li> </ul>

	exercises activities	
<b>Environmental:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support kaitiakitanga obligations to the environment having due regard to the importance of land and water resources</li> <li>Tangata Whenua are involved in the development of Reserve Management Plans</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support Hapū and Iwi to effectively participate in Council processes that impact on the environment – e.g. renewal of wastewater discharge consents</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council staff have been working well with the hapu in Te Puke and Katikati and Matakana Island in terms of the renewal of wastewater discharge consents. The relationship between all the respective hapu and Council is a positive one and progress is slowly being made.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Take account of Hapū and Iwi management plans in relation to their kaitiaki role for the environment.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council to progress the recommendations of the Conroy and Donald report "Effective use and recognition of Iwi and Hapu Management plans"</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Hold a workshop for Tangata Whenua members of the Partnership Forum regarding Council's role in relation to the natural environment.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council will be reviewing the Natural Environment Strategy in 2018. Tangata Whenua are invited to consider how they wish to participate.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Advocate for Council to develop a policy that decreases energy use by Council and its communities.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>More information needs to be forthcoming from the Partnership Forum on identifying what is the energy use mentioned and importantly, does it require a policy.</li> </ul>
<b>Economic:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Māori make the most of their people, land, asset and resource base</li> <li>Tangata Whenua are encouraged to undertake contract work within their cultural landscapes</li> <li>Develop tourism opportunities by establishing cultural heritage trails through all reserves in collaboration with other crown</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Inform Partnership Forum members about He Mauri Ohooho.</li> <li>Collate information on other Māori economic development initiatives and present to Partnership Forums.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This is a Bay of Connections Māori Economic Development Strategy for the wider Bay of Plenty region and is New Zealand's first regionally-coordinated strategy of its kind. It was developed in partnership with Te Puni Kokiri and strongly links with the National Economic Development as well as the Toi Moana Bay of Plenty Regional Growth. It focuses on improving the wealth and well being for Māori in the Bay of Plenty region in the key areas of income, employment, health and education. The main funder for the implementation of He Mauri Ohooho is Te Puni Kokiri, with the core operations sponsored by Priority One and Bay of Connections.</li> </ul>



agencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hold a workshop for the Partnership Forums on Māori land development.</li> <li>• Investigate trade training opportunities on marae, instigated by marae.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To be arranged.</li> <li>• Māori would need again to take the lead in this to seek advice on the viability of such a scheme being operated on a marae.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investigate the potential for paid Council internships being offered to Tangata Whenua to build their skills, knowledge and experience and improve Council's understanding of Māori issues (consider Dept. of Internal Affairs funding for this purpose).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Such a scheme is currently available through the use of funding provided for by Department of Internal Affairs. This scheme allows for an iwi/hapu member to work in a Local Government organisation to gain an insight into how the organisation works and conversely for a Local government worker to be seconded to a Local Iwi organisation.</li> </ul>

---

## Western Bay of Plenty District Council

### Tauranga Moana/Te Arawa ki Takutai Partnership Forum

#### Capital Projects Update

---

#### **Purpose**

To monitor and provide updates to the Tauranga Moana/Te Arawa ki Takutai Partnership Forum on current projects, contracts and works programmes.

#### **Recommendation**

- 1. THAT the Deputy Chief Executive's report dated 20 May 2018 and titled Infrastructure Services Briefing May 2018 be received.***



---

Gary Allis  
**Deputy Chief Executive Officer**

## **1. Utilities**

### **1.1 Te Puke Wastewater Treatment Plant Resource Consent Renewal**

The application to renew the Discharge Consent for the Te Puke Wastewater Treatment Plant has been lodged with Regional Council. The application seeks a 35-year term. Timeframes for notification of the Consent application and hearings have not yet been set by Regional Council. Due to large workloads at Regional Council, there may be some delays in the processing of the Consent application. However, because the Consent was lodged 6 months prior to its expiry, Council can continue to operate the treatment plant under the current Consent, until the Consent application process is complete.

The draft Consent conditions submitted with the Consent requires Council to look at alternative options for the long term discharge of the treated wastewater from the Te Puke wastewater treatment plant. A working group has been formed, which includes Tangata Whenua, Councillors and Community Board representatives. The group has identified forestry land that may be suitable for discharge. The next option that will be assessed will be discharge to pasture. The working group undertook a site visit to various treatment plants on the Coromandel Peninsular in February 2018. The purpose of the site visit was to look at different discharge options being utilised by other councils. It was a successful day and the working group have a better understanding of alternative options and have provided positive feedback.

### **1.2 No. 2 Road, Te Puke - Water Supply Improvements**

The new pump station established at 1000 No 2 Road to deliver water to Bush tank. As at 23 April, it is envisaged the pump station and water main will be commissioned during the last two weeks in April with completion expected 4 May 2018.

### **1.3 Thompsons Track Pump Station**

Improvements to the water supply boost pumps including storage tanks to be completed in late May.

### **1.4 Muttons Water Treatment Plant**

Improvements to treatment plant including pressure sustaining valve to raw water supply pipeline to be completed in June 2018.

### **1.5 Dudley Vercoe Drive, Te Puke.**

A new pump station to be constructed to boost water supply to the upper urban area of Te Puke including new developing areas of Boucher Avenue / No 2 Road. Construction to start in May.

### **1.6 Maketu Stormwater Catchment Areas**

New maintenance round started in January 2018. Inspection of all drains is complete, spraying to begin when suitable weather permits (wind 5kph or less as per BOPRC guidelines).

### **1.7 Ongare Point Wastewater Scheme Preliminary Investigations**

Currently a Septic Tank Effluent Pump (STEP) wastewater system is proposed for the Ongare Point community. Resource Consents have been granted by the Bay of Plenty Regional Council and Western Bay of Plenty District Council for the construction of the treatment plant, reticulation and treatment plant discharge.

Construction on reticulation began in early April 2018. The design for the Treatment Plant is currently being finalised taking into account the Consent conditions. Treatment Plant construction will begin in May 2018.

### **1.8 Katikati Wastewater Treatment Plant Resource Consent Renewal**

In May 2016, Council lodged an application with the Regional Council to renew the Consent for discharge of treated wastewater for a 20-year term. Staff have received draft Consent conditions for review.

The draft Consent conditions submitted with the application requires Council to look at alternative options for the long-term discharge of the treated wastewater from the Katikati Wastewater Treatment Plant.

A working group has been formed that includes Tangata Whenua, Councillors and Community Board representatives. The group will meet on a regular basis to assess the various options available. Meetings held to date have discussed beneficial reuse of wastewater and were productive. A site visit was undertaken in August 2017. Potential sites have been narrowed down for the beneficial reuse option and these sites will be investigated further. The next meeting is scheduled for 17 April 2018. At this meeting the group will set the 2018 work programme.

### **1.9 Te Puna West Wastewater**

Council will be commissioning a trial with the Te Puna West community at the completion of the wastewater scheme construction. The trial will use information gathered by the new grinder pumps and water meters to help Council to better understand the correlation between water usage and wastewater discharge, and the efficiency's of pressurised systems in reducing inflow into the network. Results will be provided at the end of a 12-month calendar period. Trial questions have gone out to the landowners. Construction programme is complete. Landowner contribution to the scheme will be \$13,000 inclusive of GST. Invoices will be sent to landowners in October 2018. Landowners can opt to pay their contribution via targeted rate over 15 years with interest.

### **1.10 Comprehensive Stormwater Consents – Central Zone**

The Comprehensive Stormwater Consent (CSC) for the Central Zone (including Te Puna and Minden) has been lodged with Regional Council. The central CSC excludes Omokoroa as a CSC was obtained for Omokoroa in 2007. No feedback has been received from Bay of Plenty Regional Council at this stage.

### **1.11 Two Mile Creek Update - Upstream Dillon Street Bridge**

There has been no change since the previous update. We are still waiting for feedback from the Regional Council on the Consent that has been lodged. Two parties did not sign in favour of applying for Resource Consent. A meeting with the Regional Council to discuss documents has been held in May 2017.

Regional Council has requested further information be supplied by WBOPDC including; modelling of the effects of the new channel on the 100yr flood and environmental and ecological issues with the new concrete channel. These assessments are now complete and final information has been provided to Regional Council. Currently waiting on Regional Council feedback, which was expected in the first week of March 2018.

### **1.12 Waihi Beach Stormwater Model**

The Waihi Beach flood model is now complete. Council has up to date flood hazard maps for the 50-year flood, which takes into account the latest information on climate change. This information is being applied to new Building Consents and Resource Consents to ensure future dwellings are not affected by flooding for these events. This information will be provided to property owners along with a wider pack of information on natural hazards. Notifications were sent in April.

### **1.13 Home Worm Composting Workshops**

Dates for 2018 are available on the website at:

<http://www.westernbay.govt.nz/our-services/rubbish-recycling-waste/Pages/Worm-Composting.aspx>

All bookings to be made through [www.eventfinda.co.nz](http://www.eventfinda.co.nz)

### **1.14 Te Puke Stormwater Improvements**

Stormwater improvements in Beatty Avenue and Brown Terrace have been completed.

### **1.15 Water Meter Installation Project – WSZ (Western Supply Zone)**

The installation of water meters in the Waihi Beach, Pio Shores, Athenree and Katikati towns is nearing completion. The work crews are also installing meters and removing cross connections, and upgrading backflow devices in the surrounding rural areas to complete the project.

There will be no charge to the customer for this work. Unfortunately, this work will require additional construct time to complete. The aim is to have work completed by 30 June 2018. Council would like to thank residents for their assistance throughout this project.

### **1.16 Katikati Recycling Centre**

During the month of March 2018, vehicle numbers entering the Katikati site have steadily increased, with vehicle numbers in excess of 375 being recorded during a three hour period. An increase in glass being brought to the site is seen as part of the increased numbers, but also may be a reflection on the number of property owners choosing to cancel their private arrangement with kerbside operators.

While the increase in customers bring their commodities to the centre is a positive outcome, with less going to landfill, the traffic flows and vehicular movements within the centre while off-loading their recycling is posing a significant risk to both the public and staff who manage the centre.

During the month of May, Council staff intend running a trial every Thursday by extending the opening hours of the recycling centre from 9.00am to 4.00pm. On these days a survey will be conducted with customers being asked to participate in a short survey to understand the recycling habits of the Katikati community and to gauge if they will use the centre on a Thursday afternoon.

A sign will be placed on the gate announcing the trial during the month of May and handouts will be made available to the public at the centre.

**1.17 Waihi Beach Water Supply Improvements**

As at 23 April, the reticulation replacement in Hillview Road, Leo Street, Queen Street, Ayr Street and Edinburgh Street are expected to be completed by late April.

**1.18 Wills Road Wastewater Pump Station, Katikati**

As at 23 April, the Emergency Storage Tanks have been installed and are expected to be commissioned by late April. Electrical cabinet will be replaced after the tanks have been commissioned.

**2. Reserves****2.1 Kauri Point Jetty – Walkway Re-Decking**

There has been no significant change from the previous update. The timing for the completion of the jetty re-decking is still dependent on the outcome of the Kauri Point Jetty access road landslip repairs implementation. The unfinished section of the jetty walkway deck is being regularly monitored to ensure it remains safe to use.

**2.2 Omokoroa Sportsground Playground**

The draft Concept Plan has been widely circulated for community feedback and is now being finalised before seeking Council approval. Procurement of equipment and landscaping processes have started, but depending on the overall capital cost estimate, the project may be split into two separate stages so that external funding can be obtained, in which case the project may extend into 2018/19.

**2.3 Omokoroa to Tauranga Cycleway**

**Section 1:** Omokoroa Boat Ramp to Tinopai Reserve - 120m of boardwalk scheduled to begin April/May (as materials become available). Note that when construction begins, this section will be closed to the public. Signage will be in place to detour users via Tinopai Drive. The remainder of this section is complete.

**Omokoroa User Statistics as follows:**

	Total Traffic for the Analysed Period	Daily Average	Busiest Day of the Week	Busiest Month of the Year	Distribution	
					IN	OUT
Pedestrians	31,740	111	Sunday	Dec 2017: 5,748	56	44
Cyclists	11,406	40	Sunday	Jan 2018: 1,691	49	51
<b>IN: Towards Omokoroa Beach</b>						
<b>OUT: Towards Tauranga</b>						

**Section 3:** Lynley Park to railway - No change from the last report. - The Archaeological Authority application to Heritage NZ for construction of a small section of the cycleway over the Mangiwhai Pa has now been lodged. The timing of this affects the construction of this section. In the meantime, users will be required to follow the local street network to connect to other completed sections.

**Section 4:** Tamihana Park to Kiwirail Bridge – License to occupy application paperwork is being worked through with Kiwirail to resolve design issues with fencing & barrier requirements. Once this is achieved, then final construction surfacing for this section can begin. The completion of this section will be coordinated with the Plummers Point section to Huharua Park.

**Section 5:** Bridge across Mangawhai inlet - construction begins from Wednesday 11 April and is expected to take 6 weeks. NOTE: Once this bridge is finished, the track will remain closed until the cycleway connection with Huharua Park is in place and the License to Occupy agreement is complete. Resource Consent was obtained last year.

**Section 6:** Plummers Point trail from bridge to Huarua Park. No change from previous report. Detailed design, resource consent and archaeological authority process is now underway following Pats Lane residents acceptance of preferred route option which involves earthworks to construct a new bench line along the esplanade in front of their properties. This option is also the most cost effective route option. Construction will begin as soon as archaeological authority and resource consent has been obtained.

#### **2.4 Omokoroa Ferry to Opureora/Matakana Island – Facilities Upgrade**

**FERRY FACILITIES RAMP UPGRADES:** The ramp widening and dredging was completed in 2017. A new weather shelter and seating for installation on the new concrete hardstand adjoining the ramp and road has been delayed and is now expected to be installed by late April.

**OPUREORA JETTY RENEWAL:** The final concept design has been endorsed by the Matakana Community and a report is being prepared for Council adoption. Construction is scheduled for the next financial year subject to the normal tendering and approvals process.

#### **2.5 Omokoroa Jetty and Panepane Wharf Repairs**

Repairs to the Omokoroa jetty walkway deck post the storm surge and king tide event of 5 January 2018 have been undertaken. Note that jetty renewal is scheduled for 2020.

Repairs to Panepane wharf at Matakana Island have been completed.

#### **2.6 Omokoroa Sportsground – Tennis Courts 3 and 4**

The final asphalt surface has been laid. The block volley-wall addition is nearly complete. Synthetic turf and floodlights for court three will be the final steps before expected completion in May/June. The volley wall, turf surface, and floodlights are the responsibility of the tennis club.

#### **2.7 Waihi Beach Cycleways**

All Consents have been approved, noting that the Brighton Reserve cycleway section is excluded from the project for the time being while BOPRC considers the northern connection between Hinemoa Rd and the pedestrian bridge.

Detailed planning and contract specifications are being finalised and physical works will begin as soon as contractor resources are in place.

Plans to complete loop trail connections within the Water Catchment Reserve prior to Christmas remain subject to approval from DOC, noting that the Orakawa DOC estate is undergoing a Treaty of Waitangi Settlement process. Staff are working closely with Tangata whenua to ensure a successful outcome.

### **2.8 Haiku Park to Henry Road Cycleway/Walkway - New Bridge Crossing**

There has been no changes from the previous update in March. An information sign design concept has been prepared by a local Katikati artist and is in the process of being reviewed by staff. Final design and installation will be determined by June/July 2018.

### **2.9 Dotterel Point Reserve – Public Toilet Septic Tank Upgrade**

The system is operational and the data logging in place is helping to ascertain the extent of any further system capacity improvements, given the frequency of discharge beyond the consented limit. This issue is being consulted with BOPRC to confirm solution. Based on the data received, it has been determined that motor-home owners are not contributing to the higher than expected effluent discharge volumes.

### **2.10 Omokoroa Slips**

Complex and frustrating issues remain for affected home owners.

Owners of the three red stickered properties are experiencing push back from their insurance companies. They are now in communication with an expert insurance solicitor and barrister located in Christchurch.

Staff continue to work with the affected home owners, offering advice when asked.

Geotech remediation work is commencing at 39 Harbour View Road while design works are progressing in Beach Grove.

These works are not funded by Council.

### **2.11 Damaged Walkway Reserve Land - Ruamoana Place to Bramley Drive**

As at 9 April 2018: A contract for the works is out to tender with three selected tenderers. The works essentially consist of twenty two vertical chimney drains linked together by directional drilling, discharging through 5 outlet drains, together with significant reshaping and landscaping works.

Draft conditions for the consents with regional and district councils have been agreed, and awaiting final sign off.

A legal agreement has been signed by the funders (adjoining residents). The final approval process is now underway in accordance with the agreement. Legal documents for three other affected owners are awaiting sign off and are being followed up.

### **2.12 Moore Park Sportsground Extension**

The Moore Park Sportsground extension is underway. The key tasks and expected timeframes have been identified.

**Attachment B**

It is likely that the bulk of the work will be completed in spring 2018, as soon as all necessary Consents are in place and tenders have been received.



### 2.13 Yeoman Cycleway/Walkway – Culvert and Erosion Issues

Staff have been working with Bay of Plenty Regional Council on a solution for the erosion that has threatened the Yeoman Walkway. Unfortunately, it has been brought to our attention that WBoPDC will need to apply for Consent for both the rock revetment and the floodgate.

In regard to the rock revetment, BoPRC have confirmed that their stream works Consent 64684, does not cover this activity in the Coastal Marine area, which the site is located in.

It has also been suggested that the flood gate be put on the end of the culvert. Replacing a floodgate structure is generally a permitted activity, though the Yeoman culvert situation becomes complicated by the fact that the area behind is estuarine wetland and the floodgate will be changing the hydrological regime. The fact the floodgate was intentionally removed 12 years ago with the permission of the then landowner, the paddocks have been subject to tidal flow during that time, which has allowed for considerable reversion to a saltmarsh ecosystem, even though it is weedy. This simply means a Consent is necessary to replace the floodgate. This matter could be considered at the same time as the rock revetment.

Council has approximately 20 metres of reserve land between the existing walkway and the adjoining private properties, which would allow the walkway to be rerouted if required. In the meantime the walkway is not considered to be at any significant risk of imminent failure. Staff will initiate the required Consent requirements with BoPRC for the erosion protection works and the flood gate.



### **3. Strategic Property**

#### **3.1 Katikati Library**

The works are progressing well, on time and on budget.

#### **3.2 Dillon Street Road Stopping (Near to Council Owned Land)**

This land has been amalgamated with the Edinburgh Street property and sold to private developers.

#### **3.3 Te Puna Hall**

Constructive negotiations are taking place with the owner of the land, on which the Hall was to be sited, with a view to considering alternative sites that meet the requirements of the Hall Committee. At this stage the site adjacent to State Highway 2 has not been taken off the table, but the owner has indicated his preference to relocate the hall site adjacent to Te Puna Road.

#### **3.4 Kaimai Views – Sales Update**

The development continues to progress well. The show home is now complete. Construction on Stage 1A has commenced.

#### **3.5 Omokoroa Cell Phone Tower**

It is still anticipated that construction of the cell tower will commence in the first half of 2018.

#### **3.6 Te Puke War Memorial Hall**

Work is substantially complete. The Certificate of Public Use has been applied for to enable hall usage and this is anticipated to be received within the week.

### **4. Development Engineering and Projects**

#### **4.1 Te Puke Highways - Town Centre Upgrade**

This project is complete. The site inspection has been carried out and the project handed over to WestLink on 27 March 2018.

The hard furniture for the Plaza precinct has been partially installed. Waiting on manufacture of the two remaining pieces.

#### **4.2 Te Puke Highways - Waitangi - Kea Crossing & Footpath**

The Kea crossing and a short section of footpath outside the school expected to be completed by WestLink by end of April 2018.

#### **4.3 Te Puke Highways - Pah Road Slip Reinstatement**

Still awaiting approval of the Resource Consent from BOPRC. Once the Consent is approved, construction will commence.

#### **4.4 Omokoroa Industrial Road – Investigation / Design**

Scoping for design confirmed. Currently writing design and construction brief for external consultant engagement.

#### **4.5 Omokoroa Industrial Road – Stormwater Detention Pond**

Scoping for design confirmed. Currently writing design and construction brief for external consultant engagement.

### **5. Emergency Management**

#### **5.1 Maketu - Pukehina**

The Pukehina Community Response Plan is under review by the community for final comment.

#### **5.2 Training**

CDEM Inductions have been run for Western Bay of Plenty District Council staff.

#### **5.3 Exercise Ruapehu – Volcanic Ash Fall**

39 staff from Western Bay of Plenty District Council took part in the exercise.

#### **5.4 WBOPDC Lunchtime Talk: NZ's Volcano and Earthquake Problem**

EMBOP staff organised for GNS volcanologist Brad Scott to present on geological hazards in the Bay of Plenty to 35 Western Bay of Plenty District Council staff in the Council Chambers on 5 March.

#### **5.5 Cyclone Hola**

As with ex-tropical Cyclone Gita, the wider EMBOP team undertook pre-event planning for the arrival of Tropical Cyclone Hola. While there was a strong wind warning and heavy rain watch in place for the Bay of Plenty, there were no significant impacts

### **6. Rooding**

#### **6.1 Omokoroa Road Construction - State Highway to Railway**

The project includes upgrading nearly 2km of Omokoroa Road with new and widened pavement, safety improvements to the Prole Road intersection, two new intersections for three larger scale housing developments, a new cycleway, undergrounding overhead power lines, relocating a section of the sewer transfer main, installing a new bulk water-main to service the Omokoroa peninsula and new rider-mains running from the bulk main to service all the properties along the project length. The removal of the old decommissioned asbestos cement water-main commenced 20 February 2018. The remaining bulk earth works will follow.

Surplus suitable earthworks material will be transported into the Special Housing Area for future road embankment and stormwater pond constructions. Co-ordination between WestLink, Council, Council's designers, adjoining landowners, Seeka, three sets of developers, their technical service providers, utility providers and sub-contractors is an essential component of the project and is ongoing.

Completed activities include; asbestos removal from the old house site, the majority of the archaeological investigations, the relocation of a length of the existing sewer transfer main, the new Omokoroa bulk water-main as well as connections to the existing properties along the road, the undergrounding of overhead power lines, the overhead power line relocation works and the relocation of the existing underground

property connections, the fibre optic telecommunications cable installations, new stormwater and gravity sewer mains for adjoining developments. Two sections of new gravity sewer are still to be installed as well as the bulk earthworks at Prole Road and the Special Housing access road. The new roundabout will be constructed in conjunction with the road pavements. The project has been delayed further due to the redundant asbestos water main trench decontamination requirements affecting the outstanding earthworks.

The project designers (Opus) have been asked to complete the new park-and-ride design near Prole Road. This will utilise the old road pavement and provide a new safer facility for school and commuter bus users. A letter with the updated park-and-ride design has been sent out to adjoining land owners and the Community Board. No feedback has been received to date.

The adjoining developers are raising their concerns about ongoing delays that have affected their services and access connections. The weather delays and additional works over the past 6 months have increased the roading project costs to Council by around 25%. An update on costings will be provided on 10 May 2018 at the Operations and Monitoring meeting.

Bad weather and a shortage of specialist contractors has slowed the progress of the Omokoroa Road upgrade, however work is now speeding up again.

Difficulty in getting contractors specialised in upgrades during the Bay's construction boom has also delayed the project. This work has included water main replacement, underground power, fibre optic cable, gravity sewer installation and asbestos removal from redundant pipes.

In saying that, Council contractors have continued work throughout, the results of which are being seen daily. Two to three additional crews have been put on the job to help speed up progress.

Work includes:

- Widening the road's carriageway
- Creating a roundabout and new intersection for housing developments
- Building a new park-and-ride area and improving the safety of the Prole Road intersection
- Pavement rehabilitation construction

Traffic flow has been diverted through the new roundabout from the existing road allowing earthworks to be completed. These earthworks will allow completion of remaining underground service installations for the neighbouring housing developments.

The contractor will continue some night works to speed up the projects delivery and to limit the traffic delays.

It is estimated the roading works will be finished around mid 2018 with the project fully completed later in the year.

## **6.2 Maketu Proposed Cycle Trail**

Meeting held with local residents, Community Board, Council staff, WestLink and local cycle clubs on 16 March 2018. Meeting provided valuable information from local residents regarding proposed cycleway location and following on from this WestLink to reassess detailed design, cost review and possible land acquisition.

## **6.3 Omokoroa Footpath Repairs**

WestLink are undertaking footpath maintenance repairs in Omokoroa and approximately 95% of the identified repairs have now been completed. The two remaining sites, No. 50 Kayelene Place and Beach Grove, including drainage affecting the footpath will be addressed by June 2018.

## **6.4 Community Roading Maketu - Town Point Road Footpath**

The Town Point Road Footpath Project is complete.

## **6.5 Community Roading Katikati**

Fairview Road kerb and channelling design complete. Awaiting Community Board approval.

Minor design and pricing variation for Stewart Street currently being undertaken by WestLink.

## **6.6 Katikati Town Centre Improvement**

NZTA have recently sent letters and plans to affected residents along the bypass designation.

The Government has released its Government Policy for Transportation statement and WBOPDC is requesting clarification regarding funding (land acquisition and/or capital works).

## **6.7 One Network Maintenance Contract (ONMC)**

Refer to OP11 Information Pack.

**Attachment A**

## **6.8 Seal Extension**

The seal extension projects are still proceeding. The new indicative forwards works programme has been updated on the WBOPDC website this week.

A request has been received to extend the seal on Rangiuru Road by 250 metres uphill. The additional cost is in the range of \$56k to \$65k. There has also been requests to change the length of seal on individual projects. In assessing these requests against the Seal Extension Policy, it is considered that they do not meet the intent of the Policy. However, the Committee may wish to discuss individual road sections and make its own assessment.

The intention is for this to be discussed at the Operations & Monitoring Committee meeting on 10 May and for the Committee to provide direction on the topic, as extending the seal will affect the overall delivery of the seal extension programme. There will be a presentation on the three roads where the question has been raised, an assessment against the Seal Extension Policy and an indicative cost for each proposal.

---

**Western Bay of Plenty District Council****Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa ki Takutai  
Partnership Forum****Long Term Plan submission - Tangata Whenua  
members of the Partnership Forum**

---

**Purpose**

This report and accompanying presentation speaks to the Long Term Plan submission made by Tangata Whenua members of the Partnership Forum.

**Recommendation**

- 1. THAT the Māori Relationship and Engagement Advisor's report dated 1 May 2018 and titled "Long Term Plan submission – Tangata Whenua members of the Partnership Forum" be received.***



---

Chris Nepia  
**Māori Relationships and Engagement  
Advisor**

## 1. Background

The Tangata Whenua members of the Partnership Forum held a workshop in April to progress a submission to Council's 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. A copy of the submission is provided below which draws heavily from Te Ara Mua (the strategic plan developed by the Partnership Forum) and the Long Term Plan workshops that were held with Tangata Whenua in November 2017.

The submission is on behalf of the various iwi and hapū that comprise the Tangata Whenua membership of the Partnership Forum which represents a significant number of people within District.

## 2. Submission

Attached to this report as Appendix One is the full Long Term Plan submission from the Tangata Whenua members of the Partnership Forum. The main points of the submission can be summarised as follows –

### **Marae Sustainability**

Marae should be used, sustainable and cared for – the submission seeks an increase of the Marae Maintenance Fund to \$50,000.00 per annum and funding provision for the development of a Marae toolkit.

### **Healthy Whare**

Improving housing quality is vitally important so that people are living in healthy homes. The submission seeks an increase in the funding support provided to the Healthy Whare project so that it can be implemented in other communities of need within our district.

### **Iwi Hapū Management Plan/Mana Whakahono a Rohe Development Fund**

It is acknowledged that these plans provide an invaluable tool for both Iwi and Hapu as well as Council. The submission supports the increase in funding that has been provided for the development of these plans.

### **Review of the Residential Zone within the District Plan**

The District Plan plays an important part in determining the nature and scope of development in the District and as such has huge implications for Tangata Whenua. The submission supports any initiative to increase resources that would assist Council staff in the review of the Residential Zone within the District Plan and seeks that Tangata Whenua be included in that work.

**Development of a Te Reo Māori strategy for Council**

Te Reo Māori is an intrinsic part of the Māori world and is important as one of the Country's two official languages. The submission seeks that Council adopt a Te Reo Māori strategy with the aim of promoting bilingual signage and cultural art and design.

**Environmental protection**

Iwi and hapū have important kaitiaki (guardian/caretaker) obligations with respect to the environment and these obligations are taken very seriously. The submission encourages Council to work together with other local agencies to improve and enhance the environment.

**Papakainga housing**

Safe, healthy and affordable housing is an aspiration for all, the submission supports Council's ongoing funding of Papakainga development and asks that it advocate the need for other agencies to do the same. The submission also asks that Council consider initiatives which remove barriers to building on multiple owned Maori land, including the potential for a fee abatement scheme for consent applications.



Office of the Mayor

April 2018

Address

Name: Tangata Whenua members of the Partnership Forum

***Nā tō rourou, nāku te rourou ka ora ai te iwi, ka ora ai te tangata***

E te Koromatua, tēnā koe,

Ki ngā Kai Kaunihera, tēnā koutou

Tēnei mātou o hoa i runga i te roopu Partnership Forum e kawea mai nei ngā wawata, ngā hiahia o o mātou iwi o o mātou hapū e pā ana ki ngā take nui kei mua i a tātou o te hāpori nei.

We thank the Mayor and Councillors for the opportunity to provide this submission to the Western Bay of Plenty District Council Long Term Plan 2017/18 – “Four ticks for the future of the Western Bay”.

This submission to the Long Term Plan is made by your partners, the Tangata Whenua members of the Tauranga Moana and Te Arawa ki Tai Partnership Forum. There are currently twenty (20) iwi and hapū that are members of the Partnership Forum, whose various rohe (traditional boundaries) spread across the entire Western Bay of Plenty District. This submission is made by us as representatives mandated to speak on behalf of those iwi and hapū and it should be noted that although this is a single submission it carries the mana and aspirations of all our iwi and hapū and their members. Indeed previous census data puts this number at over 4000 members and as the census only allowed people to list two of their iwi and had no provision for hapū, this is a very conservative figure.

The matters that we raise in this submission draw heavily from Te Ara Mua, the strategic plan that we worked together to develop and that we work together on implementing. Te Ara Mua itself says that it is a driver for Long Term Plan actions. The submission also supports a number of matters and proposed actions that were suggested through the workshops that Council held with Tangata Whenua in November 2017. Many of us representatives attended one or more of those four workshops. On this basis we believe there is a strong mandate and support for the matters that we outline below in our submission. We wish to have a representative speak to our submission.

#### **Marae Sustainability**

- ***We submit that the amount of the Marae Sustainability Initiatives Fund (formerly the Maintenance Fund) be increased to \$50,000.00 per annum.***
- ***We submit that Council provide a fund of \$50,000.00 spread over two years to provide for the development of a Marae toolkit.***

One of the desired outcomes listed in Te Ara Mua is that Marae are used, sustainable and cared for<sup>1</sup>. Marae are of central importance to iwi and hapū as the place where cultural traditions are upheld and where iwi and hapū welcome guests and celebrate important occasions. Marae are used as community meeting places and in many cases they also provide refuge in emergencies. As such, they often function in the same manner as community halls. For these reasons Council established the Marae Maintenance Fund to assist iwi and hapū meet the costs associated with the maintenance of their Marae. The fund was first delivered in 2014 and we are told that in every year since, it has seen applications for assistance from a number of Marae across the district – in fact we understand that the amount of funding sought has always been greater than the amount available. Sometimes the maintenance required at our various Marae comes at a significant cost, a cost that is greater than the amount of the Marae Maintenance Fund, however the fund can provide Marae with seed funding that can be used to support applications to other funding sources which then allows the maintenance work to be done. The maintenance of the Wastewater Treatment system at Opureora Marae on Matakana Island is a good example of this. Council supplied funding for the project with the balance being met by hapu of that Marae together with the Bay of Plenty Regional Council. We believe that increasing the annual amount of the Marae Maintenance Fund will better meet the demand for funding and meet the aspirations for Marae as outlined in Te Ara Mua.

At the Tangata Whenua Long Term Plan workshops held in November 2017 it was proposed that Council spearhead the development of a Marae Toolkit, similar to the Papakainga Toolkit that was developed by the Joint Agency Group. The Marae Toolkit will be a multi-agency repository of all information pertinent to Marae such as funding streams available to support Marae, the role of Marae in emergency/disaster situations and the regulatory framework that might impact on Marae and Marae Committees. We see that this toolkit will be useful for both Marae Committees and Council alike.

### Healthy Whare

- ***We submit that Council increase the funding support provided to the Healthy Whare project to \$40,000.00 per annum***

The Healthy Whare project came about due to concerns over potentially dangerous and/or insanitary homes identified while installing the reticulated wastewater system in Maketū. The Healthy Whare project brought together Council, the Maketū community and a number of other organisations to address these housing issues. The project adopted a four pronged approach to dealing with the issues –

1. **Awareness raising:** this involves both raising awareness of the project itself, and promoting the service to community members, as well as developing a series of shared key messages on healthy and safe housing for dissemination to the community.

---

<sup>1</sup> Te Ara Mua – page 11, Desired Outcomes: Cultural

2. **Assessments of homes:** once whānau have expressed an interest in participating in the project, a series of building, electrical and plumbing assessments are undertaken to assess the health and safety of the home.
3. **Supporting whānau to make informed decisions** regarding home improvements with support from the project coordinator and the agencies involved in the working group, as well as **providing information on funding options** to finance the repair work.
4. **Building capacity** by delivering DIY workshops to support residents with ways to make their homes warmer and drier.

Through this approach, the Healthy Whare project has delivered some much needed housing improvements in the Maketū area and we see great merit in Council supporting the delivery of this project to other areas of need within the district. Some of these areas of need have already been identified by Council through Project Wai Ora, such as Te Rereatukahia, Otawhihi and Tuapiro. Te Ara Mua reflects our position on this matter and proposes to expand the Healthy Whare Project to improve housing quality in other areas<sup>2</sup>.

#### Iwi Hapū Management Plan/Mana Whakahono a Rohe Development Fund

- *We support the increase in funding that has been provided for the development of Iwi Hapū Management Plans and Mana Whakahono a Rohe agreements. This reflects an action outlined in Te Ara Mua which asks that Council review the way in which Hapū and Iwi Management plans are funded<sup>3</sup>.*

Iwi and Hapū Management plans are an invaluable tool for both Iwi and Hapū and Council. They outline Iwi and Hapū perspectives and aspirations as they relate to the environment within their rohe and the economic and social wellbeing of their hapū. The documents also reflect the expectation that these perspectives and aspirations are factored into the decisions that are made and the work that is done across Council's business.

Mana Whakahono a Rohe agreements are a mechanism provided for under recent amendments to the Resource Management Act. Fundamentally the agreements are designed to provide the foundations of a relationship between Iwi, Hapū and Council in relation to matters covered by the Resource Management Act. We see potential in Mana Whakahono a Rohe agreements to outline the relationship of Iwi and Hapū with Council across all of Council business but acknowledge this Council's commitment to the work of developing the agreements.

#### Resource Management and District Plan matters

- *We support an increase in resource to assist Council staff in progressing resource management / District Plan matters (noting that the Resource Management work*

<sup>2</sup> Te Ara Mua – page 11, Action: Social

<sup>3</sup> Te Ara Mua – page 10, Action: Environmental

*programme has recently been adopted by Council) and that Tangata Whenua are supported to be included in that work.*

The District Plan provides the mechanism for managing development activity within the District and as such has huge implications for Tangata Whenua. We want to work with Council to ensure that the provisions of the District Plan allow development activity to occur in a manner that takes into account matters that are important to Tangata Whenua, such as their cultural values, sites of significance and cultural practices. There are a number of actions within Te Ara Mua that support our aspirations in this space namely that–

- Tangata Whenua are involved in planning processes<sup>4</sup>;
- Council engage with Hapū and Iwi in the development of plans<sup>5</sup>;

Once any changes to the District Plan become operational it is difficult for us to deal with any of those changes that may have a negative effect on the communities that we represent. If we are more involved in the process of developing those changes and Council provides greater support to allow our involvement, then we can work to ensure that our aspirations and perspectives are captured at the outset. This would achieve the aims of Te Ara Mua as outlined in this part of our submission.

More particularly, Council will recall that the Tauranga Moana/Te Arawa ki Takutai Partership Forum recently discussed the Sub Regional Policy on Tangata Whenua Consultation on Resource Consents. The aim of this policy is to achieve sub-regional consistency across the three Councils. In order to give effect to this Policy and its intent Council will need to review its District Plan classification of activities (for example, what activities are described as being permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary and non-complying).

#### **Development of a Te Reo Māori Strategy for Council**

- ***We submit that Council should adopt a Te Reo Māori strategy with the aim of promoting bilingual signage, investing in Pou Whakairo (carved poles) and Māori cultural values.***

Te Reo Māori is an intrinsic part of te ao Māori (the Māori world) and more widely is an important part of New Zealand being one of our Country's two official languages. We would like to see Council follow in the footsteps of other Councils (such as Rotorua Lakes District Council) who have committed to becoming bilingual and recognising the importance of Te Reo Māori alongside English. The strategy could also include a commitment to reflect Māori cultural values in the built environment as those values go hand in hand with the importance of Te Reo Māori. This could include cultural art and design as part of consents where cultural effects are adversely affected. The importance of Te Reo Māori and Māori cultural values is reflected in Te Ara Mua which states as desired outcomes that –

<sup>4</sup> Te Ara Mua – page 10, Action: Environmental

<sup>5</sup> Te Ara Mua – page 10, Action: Environmental

- The use of Te Reo Māori is promoted<sup>6</sup>; and
- Māori values are reflected in the built and natural environment<sup>7</sup>

The development of a Te Reo Māori strategy would also compliment the decision recently made by the Partnership Forum to support the adoption of Council's Road Naming policy which recognises the importance of Te Reo Māori.

### **Environmental protection**

- ***We submit that Council should work together with other local agencies to improve and enhance the environment. Closer working relationships with the Bay of Plenty Regional Council around the allocation of water and the improvement of water quality is encouraged, particularly in light of principles such as Te Mana o te Wai.***

Our Iwi and Hapū have kaitiaki (guardian/caretaker) obligations with respect to the environment which we take very seriously. When we undertake these obligations we often find that we have to contend with different approaches to environmental matters between different organisations. We strongly encourage Council to work with other Territorial Authorities/Regional Authorities to adopt joint approaches to environmental protection and enhancement, using principles such as Te Mana o Te Wai to underpin those joint approaches. Establishing closer working relationships with the Bay of Plenty Regional Council around the allocation of water and the improvement of water quality is an example. This approach is supported by Te Ara Mua which asks Council to –

- Support kaitiakitanga obligations to the environment having due regard to the importance of land and water resources<sup>8</sup>.

### **Papakainga housing**

- ***We support Council continuing to provide funding that supports Papakainga development and ask that Council advocate the need for other agencies to do the same;***
- ***We submit that Council should consider initiatives which remove barriers for those looking to build on multiple owned Māori land, such as a fee abatement scheme for consent applications.***

The importance of safe, healthy and affordable housing cannot be understated – particularly given the average price of housing in Tauranga and the Western Bay of Plenty. This is supported by Te Ara Mua which states a desired outcome of increasing good quality, affordable housing<sup>9</sup>. The Joint Agency Group (which relies on funding provided by this Council and others) has achieved excellent housing outcomes working alongside whānau on Māori land and we hope to see this work continue. In particular we hope that Council is able to advocate the need for other agencies to continue to

<sup>6</sup> Te Ara Mua – page 11, Desired Outcome: Cultural

<sup>7</sup> Te Ara Mua – page 12, Desired Outcome: Environmental

<sup>8</sup> Te Ara Mua – page 13, Desired Outcome: Environmental

<sup>9</sup> Te Ara Mua – page 11, Desired Outcome: Social

support the initiatives that relate to Papakainga housing as we see that there is still a need to provide housing for our people. Council could also consider how they support the development of Papakainga housing that might not necessarily meet the criteria for support provided by the Papakainga workshops run by through the work of the Joint Agency Group.

To further assist whānau with their housing aspirations we also submit that Council should look at initiatives that remove barriers for those looking to build on multiple owned Māori land. One example would be a fee abatement scheme for building consent and resource consent application fees. The rationale for such an abatement scheme for dwellings on multiple owned Māori land would be that those wanting to build on this land have limited access to funding for their build and the land is not a financial asset as the sale of it is subject to approval by the Māori Land Court.

#### **Four ticks for the future of the Western Bay**

We have seen Council's Consultation Document that guides public feedback on the Long Term Plan and have watched the accompanying video.

We understand that the Consultation Document reflects matters that Council is required to inform the public of as part of consultation on the Long Term Plan. As far as the four key proposals are concerned, we submit as follows –

#### **Walking and cycling investment**

We acknowledge the importance of providing recreation spaces for the community. We would like the Council to consider also providing the means for iwi and hapū to access areas where traditional practices occurred.

#### **Western Bay Museum funding**

We recognise the importance of protecting cultural heritage, including the preservation of taonga.

#### **Arts and culture investment**

We reiterate our submission as it relates to the importance of reflecting Māori cultural values – which includes art and culture. The Consultation Document says that Council is seeking feedback on whether to fund the implementation of the sub-regional Arts and Culture Strategy. We understand that there are two key actions in that strategy which aim to –

- Develop mechanisms for increasing Maori participation in arts and culture;
- Provide media advocacy on the inherent and economic value of Maori art, culture and language, the intrinsic value of the arts, and promote frameworks for inclusivity.

We support Council's preferred option of providing funding support to the implementation of the strategy as outlined in Option 2 in the Consultation Document, particularly in light of the two key parts of the strategy as mentioned above.

Given the importance placed on the four key proposals we would like to have greater involvement in discussions around the consultation process for the Long Term Plan. We acknowledge that there is opportunity for the Co-Chairs of the Partnership Forum to attend Council workshops and would in

future like to take the opportunity to attend LTP workshops that Council holds. In closing, we are of the view that this submission provides a solid basis for Council to progress the work that it does alongside Iwi and Hapū and hope that the matters raised herein are given fair consideration.

Mā te Atua hei manaaki, hei tiaki i a tātou, otira i to tātou hāpori

Ngā mihi

**The Tangata Whenua members of the Tauranga Moana and Te Arawa ki Tai Partnership Forum.**

**Western Bay of Plenty District Council**  
**Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa ki Takutai**  
**Partnership Forum**  
**Katikati – Waihi Beach Ward Reserve Management Plan**  
**Review**

---

### **Purpose**

The Tauranga Moana and Te Arawa ki Takutai Partnership Forum is invited to provide feedback about the draft Katikati – Waihi Beach Ward Reserve Management Plan that was recently released for community engagement and consultation in accordance with the requirements of section 41(6) of the Reserves Act 1977.

### **Recommendation**

1. ***THAT the Recreation Planner's report dated 3 May 2018 and titled "Katikati – Waihi Beach Ward Reserve Management Plan Review" be received.***
2. ***THAT the Tauranga Moana and Te Arawa ki Takutai Partnership Forum does/does not wish prepare a submission to the Katikati – Waihi Beach Ward Reserve Management Plan Review.***
3. ***THAT the Tauranga Moana and Te Arawa ki Takutai Partnership Forum requests that in addition to proposed engagement with Tangata Whenua, the following action be taken:***
  - ***xxx***



John Rauputu  
**Recreation Planner**



## 1. Background

Council is required under Section 41(4) of the Reserves Act 1977 to keep its reserve management plans under continuous review, so that they are adapted to changing circumstances and align with increased knowledge and sector best practice.

The former Katikati Ward Reserve Management Plan was first prepared in December 2002, reviewed in August 2008 and, the former Waihi Beach Ward Reserve Management Plan was prepared in September 2007. These are now due for review.

Reviewing the Katikati – Waihi Beach Ward RMP and preparing the draft has included research and pre-engagement sessions with elected members from the Katikati and Waihi Beach Community Boards and staff. Respective communities also had the opportunity to provide feedback about reserves during the 2018 Long Term Plan community conversations.

A Workshop with the Policy Committee on the 19 February 2018 supported the release of the draft Katikati – Waihi Beach Ward Reserve Management Plan and on the 2<sup>nd</sup> of May 2018 Council approved the release of the Management Plan for consultation.

## 2. Reserve Management Plan

The draft Katikati – Waihi Beach Ward Reserves Management Plan, available on Council website, [www.westernbay.govt.nz](http://www.westernbay.govt.nz), comprises the following:

- Reserve location maps,
- Statutory context for reserve management,
- Reserve management plan structure,
- Recreation and leisure strategy outcomes,
- Reserve classification and generic objectives,
- Reserves management plan generic policy,
- Katikati – Waihi Ward vision for open space and recreation facilities,
- Generic reserves technical levels of service,
- Specific reserve policies for 81 reserves and 13 walkway reserves,
- Legal descriptions, and
- Appendices.

## 3. Planned community engagement

Engagement Plan	Dates
Draft Katikati - Waihi Beach Ward RMP approved for community consultation.	2 May 2018
Consultation invitations advertised in Notice board, Weekend Sun, Bay of Plenty Times, posted on Council website and mailed out to stakeholders and Tangata Whenua.	14 May 2018 to 9 July 2018

Council web page site will provide background information, draft RMP and online feedback submission forms.	
Report to Tauranga Moana and Te Arawa ki Takutai Partnership Forum, to seek direction on any further ways to connect with Tangata Whenua.	15 May 2018 Meeting
Organise Media releases for online engagement on the Have Your Say website.	14 May 2018 to 9 July 2018
Drop In/Open Days in conjunction with the Reserves and Facilities Bylaw review at Katikati and Waihi Beach.	26 May 23 June
Arrange Have Your Say /feedback sessions and deliberations.	Katikati W/Beach 19 July 2018
Prepare analysis Report for Council Workshop	26 July 2018
Decisions	6 Sept 2018

The Plan is available on our website, [www.westernbay.govt.nz](http://www.westernbay.govt.nz). Hard copies will also be held at the Council Office at Barkes Corner, Tauranga or Council's library and service centres at Main Road, Katikati; McDonnell Street, Omokoroa; Jellicoe Street, Te Puke or Waihi Beach Road, Waihi Beach.

Feedback on the Plan will be available until **4pm, Monday 9 July 2018** and can be:

- made online via Council's website [www.westernbay.govt.nz](http://www.westernbay.govt.nz); or
- posted to: Chief Executive Officer, Western Bay of Plenty District Council, Private Bag 12803, Tauranga 3143; or
- emailed to Have Your Say on our website [www.westernbay.govt.nz](http://www.westernbay.govt.nz);
- delivered to the main Council Office at Barkes Corner, Tauranga or Council's library and service center at Main Road, Katikati; McDonnell Street, Omokoroa; Jellicoe Street, Te Puke or Waihi Beach Road, Waihi Beach.

Council is holding an Open Day where elected members and staff will be able to provide information and explanations about the plan as follows:

Venue	Date	Time
The Lounge, Katikati Memorial Hall, Main Street, Katikati	Saturday 26 May 2018	9.00 am to 12.00 Noon
Supper Room, Waihi Beach Community Centre, Waihi Beach Road, Waihi Beach.	Saturday 23 June 2018	9.00 am to 12.00 Noon

---

## Western Bay of Plenty District Council

### Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa ki Takutai Partnership Forum

#### 2018 Policy and Planning work programme

---

#### Purpose

The purpose of this report is to provide the Partnership Forum with an overview of the work programme for the Policy and Planning team, as determined by the Policy Committee at its meeting on 12 April 2018. The Partnership Forum is also asked to identify the projects that it considers to be of specific interest, where Forum members may wish to contribute to the project.

#### Recommendation

1. ***THAT the Policy and Planning Manager's report dated 4 May 2018 and titled 2018 Policy and Planning work programme be received.***
  2. ***THAT the Partnership Forum notes that the following projects from the Policy and Planning work programme are of specific interest:***
    - *xxx*



---

Emily Watton  
**Policy and Planning Manager**

## 1. Background

The Policy Committee determines the priorities for the calendar year by adopting work programmes for the Policy and Planning team and Resource Management team. This report provides an overview of the work programme for the Policy and Planning Team, as adopted at the Policy Committee's May meeting.

Direction is also sought on the projects where the Partnership Forum considers that there is a specific interest for Māori, and how they may like to be involved in those projects.

## 2. 2018 Policy and Planning team work programme

The work programme includes both ongoing commitments and specific projects, as set out below.

### 2.1. Ongoing commitments in 2018

<b>SmartGrowth</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Participating member of three SmartGrowth fora (Population Aging Technical Advisory Group, Social Sector Forum, Strategic Partners Forum)</li> </ul>
<b>Working with tangata whenua to ensure their views and aspirations are reflected in work undertaken by the team and organisationally</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support the implementation of Te Ara Mua</li> <li>Input into post-Treaty arrangements e.g. co-governance/management models.</li> <li>Updates on a range of policy and planning projects to the Partnership Forum.</li> <li>Ensure appropriate tangata whenua input into policies, bylaws, plans and strategies.</li> </ul>
<b>Water Allocation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Participation in regular meetings of the Pongakawa/Kaituna catchment group.</li> </ul>
<b>Healthy Whare project</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ongoing coordination and preparation of funding applications on behalf of the group</li> </ul>
<b>Council's role in housing</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Steering group member for the Housing Needs Assessment, and</li> <li>Involvement in seasonal worker research.</li> <li>Involvement in BOP Health Housing Forum Steering group member of 'Our Community Project' for a hub for homeless people in Tauranga City.</li> </ul>
<b>Research and monitoring</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A number of reports and surveys undertaken as required e.g. SmartGrowth Development Trends report, Annual Residents Survey etc.</li> </ul>
<b>Project Wai Ora</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ongoing work project managing the working party and progressing actions.</li> </ul>
<b>Submissions on behalf of Council</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Submissions prepared as required in response to Bills, draft plans etc. Also provide input to SOLGM and LGNZ as required, to contribute to submissions representing local government as a whole.</li> </ul>

## 2.2. Specific projects for 2018

The below projects will be progressed in 2018, noting that not all will be completed within the calendar year and may continue into 2019.

<b>Long Term Plan</b>	Community engagement planning and deployment for phase 3 (special consultative procedure). Project management and delivery of the Consultation Document and final Long Term Plan by 30 June 2018.
<b>Review of General Bylaw 2008</b>	Due for review in 2018. This includes animals (excluding dogs), cemeteries, nuisances, and public places. As part of this review, it is intended that a first principles review of our bylaws framework is undertaken.
<b>Review of the Trading in Public Places Bylaw</b>	The bylaw requires review to address some complexities that have arisen through its implementation.
<b>Water Supply System Bylaw review</b>	A review of the bylaw (including the Terms and Conditions for Supply) relating to Council's water supply system, and the conditions for supply to customers. This is required because of the bylaw review timeframes in the Local Government Act 2002.
<b>Development of a policy on urupa</b>	Direction given at Policy Committee workshop on 31 July 2017 that a policy should be developed. This would set out Council's approach to urupa provision.
<b>Completion of Kaimai Ward concept plans</b>	Plan review/development process for the concept plans in relation to Ruahihi Power Station Reserve, Maramatanga Park, Precious Reserve and Lynley Park Reserve.
<b>Policy on supporting provision of infrastructure of Council to Marae in our district</b>	Operations Committee on 26 October 2017 recommended to the Policy Committee that a policy on this matter should be developed. This policy will need to be scoped in conjunction with the approach Council has taken as part of Project Wai Ora.
<b>Waihi Beach/Katikati Reserve Management Plan</b>	Continuation of the review, consistent with direction given at the scoping workshop in December 2017.
<b>Development of a Climate Change Action Plan</b>	Budget for this project has been included for 2018/19. This will set out the actions Council will take to respond to the effects of Climate Change.
<b>Paengaroa concept plans</b>	Review of the concept plans for the reserve on Conway Road and Pokapoka Reserve, as requested through the Paengaroa Community Plan. The Community Association have shown interest in progressing these concept plans.
<b>Review of the Recreation and Leisure Strategy</b>	A review of this strategy is recommended, particularly to ensure clear levels of service are developed that will support a future development contributions policy. Council must transition from financial contributions to development contributions over the next 5 years, as required by amendments to the Resource Management Act.

<b>Natural Environment Strategy review</b>	A review of this strategy will take place alongside the development of the Climate Change Action plan, and due to the potential impacts on this activity from development contributions. This can include the exploration of Council's approach to conservation, protection and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity and natural eco-systems (as endorsed by Policy Committee in response to the SmartGrowth forums position papers).
<b>17A on solid waste services</b>	To include the investigation of kerbside rubbish and recycling services, as well as other actions included in the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan. Project budget for these investigations has been included in the Long Term Plan for 2018/19.
<b>Implementation of Te Ara Mua actions</b>	It is noted that the Partnership Forum is in the process of determining priorities. The Policy and Planning team will work alongside the Forum to progress these actions as appropriate.
<b>Development of a Housing Action Plan</b>	The sub-regional Housing Need and Demand Assessment was completed at the end of 2017. The Housing Action Plan will set out Council's intended response to this.
<b>Council's approach to land disposal</b>	A matter included on the 2017 work programme, but not progressed given that ownership arrangements for Panepane are not finalised. There is no clear process for how Council will respond to requests for surplus land to be returned, transitioned into joint ownership or disposed of. This may be progressed through development of a policy or a process document.
<b>Freedom Camping Bylaw review</b>	The review was due November 2017, and the bylaw will lapse if the review is not completed by November 2019.
<b>Communities Strategy review</b>	This will include reviewing Council's approach and levels of service in relation to community hubs, libraries and other community infrastructure.
<b>S17A review on pensioner housing</b>	Direction to undertake this work was given by the Policy Committee in August 2017, and consequently budget included in the Long Term Plan for 2018/19.
<b>Road naming policy</b>	The final Road Naming Policy has been adopted by the Policy Committee (without amendment from the last Partnership Forum meeting).
<b>Class 4 and TAB venues policies</b>	Statutorily required to be reviewed by 2016, but remain in effect.
<b>Earthquake-prone buildings</b>	Amendments to the Building Act require Council to undertake a special consultative procedure to determine priority buildings and thoroughfares
<b>Dog Control Policy and Bylaw amendment</b>	Undertake a special consultative procedure with regard to the Haiku walkway in Katikati, following public concern and recommendation from Community Board.
<b>Review of Reserves and Facilities Bylaw</b>	The draft bylaw has been adopted for consultation from 14 May to 9 July 2018. The draft bylaw proposes the revocation of horse riding access to Tuapiro Point, and also incorporates provisions relation to the use of drones and model aircraft on Council land.

### **3. Next steps**

The above listed projects will commence at various times throughout the year. The Policy and Planning team will consider how to best give effect to the recommendations of the Partnership Forum for those projects where the Forum has indicated a desire for member involvement, as well as generally keeping the Forum updated on work being progressed through the Policy Committee.

---

## Western Bay of Plenty District Council

### Tauranga Moana / Te Arawa ki Takutai Partnership Forum

## Resource Management Work Programme

---

### Purpose

The purpose of this report is to update the Forum on the Resource Management Work Programme.

### Recommendation

- 1. THAT the Resource Management Manager's report dated 4 May 2018 and titled Resource Management Work Programme be received.***



---

Phillip Martelli

**Resource Management Manager**



## 1. Background

The Resource Management Team consists of the Manager and two Senior Policy Analysts. The key work areas are:

- Reviewing the District Plan to ensure it is kept up to date with current trends and community expectations
- Preparing structure plans to provide for the growth of the District.
- Input to SmartGrowth on growth-related projects.

The 2018 Resource Management Work Programme was approved by the Policy Committee at its 12 April 2018 Meeting. The report that outlines the work programme is attached.

The current projects that are considered to be of particular interest to the Forum are as follows with a brief explanation of the project and what is currently happening with the project:

### i. **Katikati Urban Growth Area Selection and Zoning**

Katikati is running short of available residentially zoned land and more needs to be provided to meet the expected growth. Consultation with the landowners and the community is being held on the week of 7 May. Contact has been made with the local Tangata Whenua, and a meeting is being arranged.

### ii. **Omokoroa Stage 3 Structure Plan**

This structure plan covers the area from SH2 to the Railway and will cater for when Omokoroa is fully developed with a population of 12,000 in 30-40 years time. Pirirakau and Ngati Taka have been involved in the planning workshops. A meeting has been arranged with Ngati Haua in late May.

### iii. **Regional Coastal Environmental Plan**

Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape appeal. The Environment Court ruled in favour of Council's appeal to make the whole of the sand barrier an ONFL. THE Court referred it back to the parties to develop a set of "values and attributes" that could be used to assess any development on the barrier. WBOPDC has been working with the Regional Council and the Islanders to develop an agreed position to put before the other parties.

### iv. **Natural Hazards**

there has been a recent public release to affected landowners about new information on a range of natural hazards affecting the District including liquefaction (particularly affecting lower lying coastal areas), flooding in the Wairoa River Valley, flooding at Waihi Beach, and tsunami at Maketu, Pukehina and Little Waihi. Emails have been sent to relative iwi and hapu representatives in the affected rohe.

Projects that are coming up that will be of interest to Tangata Whenua are:

**i. Housing, in conjunction with the Policy Team**

This is an examination as to what will be Council's response to the housing issues facing the district and will include likely changes to the District Plan.

**ii. Cultural and Heritage features**

This involves working with Tangata Whenua to add sites of significance to the District Plan. Funding will be provided to hapu to undertake the exercise. Staff will be approaching hapu on a case by case basis.

**iii. Statutory Acknowledgements**

These will be addressed as they arise with Treaty Settlements.

**iv. A review of the District Plan**

Ensuring Tangata Whenua concerns are covered through the resource consent process. In particular will be identifying when, and for what activities, Tangata Whenua should be consulted.

A submission has been made to the LTP for additional resources for the Resource Management Team. If this is approved it will be possible to commence on the list of District Plan Changes listed in the attached report. It is appropriate for the Partnership Forum to review that list and to consider whether there are additional issues that they believe are important, and assessing what are considered to be priorities.

---

## Western Bay of Plenty District Council

### Policy Committee

### 2018 Resource Management Work programme

---

#### Purpose

The purpose of this report is to agree the 2018 work programme for the Resource Management Team.

This report outlines the key projects progressed in 2017, provides an overview of ongoing work, and other projects that could be included for 2018.

#### Recommendation

- 1. THAT the Resource Management Manager's report dated 21 March 2018 and titled 2018 Resource Management Work Programme be received.**
- 2. THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of low significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.**
- 3. THAT the following project(s) be included in the 2018 Resource Management work programme, alongside the team's current programme:**

---

Phillip Martelli  
**Resource Management Manager**

## 1. Background

A Discussion Paper was discussed at a Policy Committee Workshop in December 2017 that sought direction on the matters that the Policy Committee wished to prioritise for the 2018 calendar year. This report proposes a Work Programme for adoption for 2018.

## 2. Current Projects

Project	Comment	Level of work
Katikati urban growth area selection and zoning, potentially including Lifestyle Zone	Consultation on alternative locations to commence in April, followed by the development of a preferred option for notification under the RMA	Major
Omokoroa Structure Plan Stage 3	Preparation of structure plan options to consult with the community, followed by developing a preferred option for notification under the RMA.	Major
Omokoroa Industrial Zone review	Review of Industrial Zone provisions as they affect the amenity of neighbours. Plan Change notified 24 March 2018.	Minor
Matakana Island ONFL – Regional Coastal Plan appeal	Mediating with all parties to finalise the “values and attributes” that will be used to assess resource consent applications.	Minor
SmartGrowth notably Tauriko West, Te Tumu, Tauranga Urban Strategy, NPS on Urban Development Capacity, Future Development Strategy	Ongoing watching brief and input as necessary on Tauriko West, Te Tumu, and TUS. NPSUDC monitoring and reporting systems now in place for regular reporting on trends. FDS just commenced and required by statute to be finalised by December 2018.	Major
Natural Hazards collaboration with BOPRC and TCC on harbour inundation, tsunami liquefaction, and other hazards	Projects underway for this year are: Tauranga Harbour and coastal erosion and inundation. Waihi Beach tsunami.	Moderate
Package of Plans meetings – pre-lodgement meetings with developers	Ongoing meetings.	Moderate
Subdivision and development for people	Examination of what needs to change (rules, processes, attitudes – internal and external, incentives) to achieve better urban design that meets the expectations of the community that is going to live there, rather than the current focus on what the developers want to provide.	Major
Post Harvest Zone	Review of the PHZ to ensure it meets the needs of the industry going forward. Aspects include expansion of existing Zones, possibility of new zones, seasonal worker accommodation provisions. It does not include seasonal worker accommodation provisions outside the PHZ. Plan change to be in place for next season.	Moderate
Te Puke Parking Review	Review of town centre parking in response to the town centre road upgrade	Moderate

### 3. Work programme options for 2018

The Resource Management Team maintains a list of possible plan changes that is brought to our attention via a range of sources including the Team's use of the District Plan, the Consents Team through administration of the Plan, the Compliance and Monitoring Team through enforcement, consultants, developers, and residents and landowners. The current list numbers 78 different items and is provided in **Attachment 1**.

There are also strategic studies underway that will either result in plan changes and/or relate to plan changes on the list. It therefore makes sense to group these where possible to ensure a more holistic view is taken. For example to address the housing issue will require a range of responses of which a plan change will be only one option.

The RMA requires District Plans to be reviewed ten yearly. The whole Plan does not have to be reviewed at once, but can be done in sections in a "rolling" review. The intention is to do the latter and thus group possible plan changes into sections making the process more holistic rather than focusing on specific provisions.

Taking the above into account (and accepting current projects) the topics that are seen as priorities are as follows. Housing is seen as the priority and the intention is to address this alongside the Omokoroa structure plan project. The remainder are in no particular order. Each would be subject to the appropriate level of analysis to firstly understand what is the best response for Council, whether a plan change is required (it is likely that some will not, but other action may be possible/preferred), and if so what should be the content of the plan change.

It should be noted that with the current resources available (three staff and a small Consultants budget of \$50,000 pa) progress on the list below or the list of Possible Plan Changes, (except the Housing topic) will be constrained.

1. Housing
  - Review of Medium Density Residential Provisions and merger of Residential and Medium Residential Zones into one.
  - Urban design controls.
  - Minor dwellings and whether fincos should be charged.
  - Large dwellings that cater for more than one household.
  - Accommodation facilities/dwelling definition alignment with Building Act (4 vs 6 residents)
  - Seasonal worker accommodation.
  - Outcomes of the Housing Strategy e.g. inclusionary zoning, density controls, tiny houses.
  - SmartGrowth Housing Needs Assessment.
2. Industrial Zones
  - Intra-zone noise
3. Rural
  - Frost fans.

- Home enterprises, particularly industrial-type activities.
4. Cultural and Heritage Features
    - Adding sites of significance to tangata whenua.
  5. Statutory Matters
    - Ensure District Plan compliance with various National Policy statements and National Environmental Standards. Some of these can be made directly without a plan change.
    - Changeover from financial contributions to development contributions.
    - Statutory Acknowledgements as a result of Treaty Settlements. Although these have been mapped in the District Plan as they arise, there is a need to review how the RMA requirements for consultation with tangata whenua on activities affecting these sites and other matters of significance to Maori are given effect to in the District Plan, in particular with regard to Permitted and Controlled Activities.

#### 4. Significance and Engagement

The Local Government Act 2002 requires a formal assessment of the significance of matters and decisions in this report against Council's Significance and Engagement Policy. In making this formal assessment there is no intention to assess the importance of this item to individuals, groups, or agencies within the community and it is acknowledged that all reports have a high degree of importance to those affected by Council decisions.

The Policy requires Council and its communities to identify the degree of significance attached to particular issues, proposals, assets, decisions, and activities.

In terms of the Significance and Engagement Policy this decision is considered to be of low significance because it is an internal matter. Whilst each project is likely to have differing levels of significance to the community, the direction sought on prioritisation of the projects is not considered significant.

#### 5. Engagement, Consultation and Communication

Interested/Affected Parties	Completed/Planned Engagement/Consultation/Communication
Management Team	Management Team workshop in December 2017.
General Public	Internal matter – n/a.

**6. Issues and Options Assessment**

<b>Option A</b>	
<b>THAT the following project(s) be included in the 2018 Resource Management work programme</b>	
<b>Assessment of option for advantages and disadvantages taking a sustainable approach</b>	This will depend on the projects Council direct staff to work on.
<b>Costs (including present and future costs, direct, indirect and contingent costs) and cost effectiveness for households and businesses</b>	Budgets are allocated for 2017/18 and 2018/2019 to undertake the current Resource Management work programme. If Council wishes staff to undertake projects additional to the current programme and the housing topic, further staff or consultant resourcing would be required.
<b>Other implications</b>	Projects for inclusion into the work programme need to consider legislative requirements and community expectations.

**7. Statutory Compliance**

Projects need to be undertaken to meet the requirements of the Resource Management Act.

**8. Funding/Budget Implications**

Budget Information	Funding	Relevant Detail
RM Operational budget		Additional budget will be required if additional projects are to be undertaken.

## Attachment One: Possible Plan Changes (2018)

Notes:

Topic refers to the part of the District Plan.

Scale refers to the amount of input likely to be required for the specified topic.

Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
District Plan-wide Home Enterprises Industry	Should industrial zone activities be excluded from being permitted as a home enterprise because of the frequent complaints about the likes of noise, traffic. See A2835490.	1	Medium
District Plan-wide Statutory acknowledgements	District Plan needs to show all statutory acknowledgements from TOW settlements e.g. Tapuika.	1	Medium
District Plan-wide Farming vs cleanfill Re Oropi Road	Landowners next to 479C Oropi Road are complaining about noise, traffic and amenity issues relating to the importing and dumping of cleanfill on this property. The landowner argues it is contouring as part of farming which would mean the activity is permitted. However, if people are paying money to import and dump the cleanfill then is this a business in a rural zone and required to obtain a resource consent to deal with effects, such as noise, traffic and amenity.	1	Medium
NPS and NES Review	Need to review all to see what changes are required to the District Plan.	1	Large
NPS Review NZ Coastal Policy Statement	The first review of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS) took effect in December 2010. Council must give effect to this as soon as practicable through a Plan Change. This was delayed to wait upon the Regional Council first giving effect to the NZCPS through its Regional Policy Statement as Council is required to give effect to both documents. Variation 1 (Coastal Policy) to the Regional Policy Statement has become Operative, Council should undertake this review once Matakana Island ONFL has been finalised.	1	Large
Section 03 Definitions Kitchens Kitchen facilities Kitchenettes	Paula Golsby has prepared a Section 32 for this Plan Change. The issue is summarised as follows: It has been identified that there is duplication between the definitions for " <i>Kitchen Facilities / Kitchen</i> " and " <i>Kitchen Facility</i> " as set out in Section 3 of the District Plan. In addition, there is a conflict between the definitions for " <i>Kitchen Facility</i> " and " <i>Kitchenette</i> ".	1	Medium



Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
Section 03 Definitions Lot	Hapu partitions may actually meet the definition of "lot". This means resource consent may not be required for additional dwellings and FINCOs may not be able to be charged. Need to review research on this. It would seem unfair to allow Maori land to qualify for multiple permitted dwellings (free of FINCOs) when the hapu partitions are created through the Maori Land Court without paying FINCOs. This would result in the under-funding of Council infrastructure and would be different to how European title is treated.	1	Medium
Section 04B Transportation Parking Cash in Lieu	Need for a linking in relation to the cash in lieu provisions, to be able to charge for the offsite parking.	1	Small
Section 04C Amenity Odour	Paula Golsby has identified a need for edits as well as some changes which likely need a Plan Change. The odour rules need to be clarified to clearly set out the roles of WBOPDC and Regional Council and to clarify the application of the rules.	1	Medium
Section 08 Natural Hazards Building/structures not affected by flooding and definitions of retaining walls and closed boarded fences	The Hookey Drive case has highlighted a number of issues including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A retaining wall is not a retaining wall unless it is retaining earth – even if it is constructed as a retaining wall.</li> <li>• There is no definition in the Plan for a closed boarded fence.</li> <li>• The rules allow an activity to be permitted if not affected by a floodable area. This makes sense for things like poles (which Powerco intended in their submission to Plan Change 35) however not for all activates – like Powerco's submission also requested. It should also test whether the activity would affect the flood hazard. It shouldn't be a permitted rule anyway because it requires judgement.</li> </ul>	1	Medium
Section 13 Residential Minor dwellings	As a controlled activity, someone can have "minor dwellings" (more than one). There is also no connection to having enough land area. This means there is no limit to how many they can have as a controlled activity. The wording in the blue plan says "one minor dwelling".	1	Medium
Section 17 Katikati Lifestyle Zone	Preparation of structure plan (carry over from 2011).	1	Large
Section 17 Te Puke Lifestyle 17.4.2 (g) (i)	Rule 17.4.2 (g) (i) needs amending because Council has taken over the SH. It has become TP highway. Council plans to upgrade those intersections which will satisfy the existing requirements relating to SH. This will mean subdivision and development will take off and therefore we need to revise the structure plan to allow for this.	1	Medium

Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
Section 18 Rural Zone Frost Fans	<p>Item 1 Review of controls on portable fans and matters relating to noise in general. (A2728316)</p> <p>Item 2 A2702635. "2° - 3/4°" need to change to reflect business practice. "</p> <p>Item 3 With the new crops currently being introduced throughout the district that are more frost sensitive and have a far higher value than the Hayward Green, there needs to be a complete and strategic review of this rule and performance standards. This rule has an effect on the major industry of this district. There has been one noise query about frost fans this year, on the coldest night of the year where temperatures dropped to 1 degree for approximately 6 to 7 hours. That query was as to what activated the fans and when told they were temperature controlled he was quiet happy as he could see the need for it. Council is reacting to a very small sector of the district population in relation to the current rule that has a major potential effect on our largest industry. A way forward is to look at Marlborough District Plan Rule regarding the permitted activity of frost fan use. This plan appears to be more practicable as it has real world levels of performance standards for frost fan noise and also places performance standards on those building habitable buildings in the horticultural areas.</p>	1	Medium
Section 20 Commercial Transition Zone	With respect to subdivision, the commercial transition zone references to the medium density rules (14.4.4 and 14.5). The layout has caused some confusion.	1	Medium
Section 22 Post Harvest	<p>Review of provisions to for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New PH Zones</li> <li>• Expand existing PH Zones</li> <li>• Increase the permitted limit for accommodation above 75 people within PH zones</li> <li>• Allow permitted accommodation facilities to increase from 4-10 people</li> <li>• Cheaper FINCOs</li> <li>• Review definition of dwelling, and/or introduce a definition of boarding houses, to limit the number of occupants (there were up to 53 RSE workers in one dwelling in 2017).</li> </ul>	1	Large

Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
Section 3 Definitions Lot	<p>There was a recent application for an additional dwelling on a cross leased property in a primary risk area. Initial advice from Council staff was that the two cross leased portions of the site were not their own "lot" and therefore the dwelling on one of the crossed leased portions became the second dwelling on the property and therefore was a prohibited activity. Legal advice has since confirmed that each crossed leased portion is actually its own title and therefore meets the definition of "lot" in the District Plan. This may have resolved the issue for the landowner in this case, however it means we've advising the opposite in the past e.g. making people get resource consents for additional dwellings and taking FINCOs.</p> <p>We will need to change our advice from this point and clarify the definition of "lot" accordingly. This could be an edit. Or we will need to do a District Plan so that cross leases are not their own lot. If this is even possible, this is likely to be opposed. Also need to clarify the scope of fincos.</p>	1	Medium
Section 08 Natural Hazards Change 2 RPS RMA Amendment	RMA Amendment and Change 2 RPS require a review of all Natural Hazards (maps and rules). This is currently in progress under the Natural Hazards Planning Charter between WBOPDC, TCC and the Regional Council.	1	Large
District Plan-wide Residential & Business Development Capacity RMA Amendment	RMA Amendment and NPS UDC requires the establishment, implementation, and review of objectives, policies, and methods to ensure that there is sufficient development capacity in respect of housing and business land to meet the expected demands of the district.	1	Large
Section 09 Hazardous Substances RMA Amendment	RMA Amendment removes explicit function of district and regional councils to control hazardous substances. This is because there are two other Acts that deal with hazardous substances including the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act (1996) and the Health and Safety at Work Act (2015). Councils can however still place additional controls on hazardous substances under the RMA to control effects not covered by the other Acts. It is unclear whether the District Plan needs amending or not but this needs to be investigated.	1	Medium
District Plan-wide Subdivision Permitted Activities RMA Amendment	RMA Amendment makes all subdivision permitted unless restricted by a rule in a district plan. The non-complying rule for unlisted activities in section 4A of the District Plan probably ensures that all subdivision is restricted i.e. needs resource consent. Just need to double check to make sure.	1	Small

Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
District Plan-wide Boundary Activities RMA Amendment	RMA Amendment requires district councils to permit boundary activities provided that written approval is received from affected neighbours. Boundary activities included yards, daylighting and fences. The District Plan already allows permitted activity status for many such infringements subject to written approval. However, where it does not, the consents team are obliged to treat them as a permitted activity subject to written approval being received. The issue here is that some yard rules and fence rules are in place for reasons other than simply protecting neighbours. For example, yards in the rural zone are about the zone's amenity. Fences rules provide for visual permeability for crime prevention. These implications need to be checked.	1	Small
District Plan-wide Accommodation facility numbers	With respect to seasonal accommodation, the accommodation facility rules which allow four people or less is inconsistent with the Building Act's allowance for 6 people before a change of use is required. These limits are both in place because of potential effects. Is there a need to bring consistency?	2	Small
Planning Maps Esplanade Reserves	Proposed esplanade strips follow the legal stream boundaries on the DP maps. However there are cases where the stream has been straightened or altered naturally and the maps do not align (eg Pongakawa Stream). The dataset could be updated using current and new aerial photography.	2	Large
Planning Maps Ecological Features	Inaccuracy of our ecological sites layer. Apart from landowner submissions this dataset has not been updated since it was introduced in 1991. The dataset could be updated using current and new aerial photography.	2	Large
Section 03 Definitions Building/Structure	From "loopy rules meeting" A2702635. The notes say: "Definition should be building act definition and "structure".	2	
Section 03 Definitions Heights of retaining walls and fences	Retaining walls are a structure if they exceed 1.5m (above or below ground level). Fences are a structure if they exceed 2m.  Firstly, why is a retaining wall measured for overall height rather than its height above ground level? This is confusing and people may be applying this rule differently? Secondly, why is it ok for a fence to be 2m high on the boundary, but a retaining wall needs to be lower? Same effect so why not the same height restriction?	2	Medium

Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
Section 03 Definitions  Building/structure	The definition first of all says that a building/structure is as per its ordinary and usual meaning. Then it specifically lists other things e.g. a decks exceeding 1.5m and fences exceeding 2m in height. A deck less than 1.5m or a fence less than 2m in height is still a structure by its ordinary meaning. The way we've been applying the rule is that a deck or fence lower than this is not a building/structure. This would have made sense when the rule was just to control boundary issues like yards and daylight, however it doesn't make sense within hazard areas and potentially in other places of the District Plan.	2	Medium
Section 04A General Activities on the surface of water	The District Plan says that a transfer of powers occurred in 1993 giving Regional Council our functions relating to activities on the surface of water. The Regional Council disputed this claiming the transfer was approved by the relevant Council committees but was never given effect to.	2	Large
Section 04B Roading Hierarchy	NZTA have moved to a "one network" roading classification system. We are considering whether to align the District Plan with this new classification system. It would involve changing the roading hierarchy.	2	
Section 04B Transportation Parking Cash in lieu	Should it not be rates funded? Reason being that different activities have different parking requirements. As most of the activities are Permitted Activities, these activities can change without requiring a resource consent. On-site parking for customers is not good planning practice. Also keep in mind that in the Commercial and Industrial zones, the transportation rates are higher than Residential, therefore rates should pay for public parking.	2	Medium
Section 05 Natural Environment RPS/RCEP eco/landscape features	Do we need to update our maps to copy these features? So our rules apply? The Pukehina Rd wetland protection lot subdivision has been opposed by Regional Council on grounds that the feature is of regional significance, even though its not shown in the District Plan.	2	Medium
Section 07 Heritage Cultural Heritage	Cultural Heritage Sites of Significant to iwi/hapu. Many significant heritage and cultural sites ae not identified in the DP.	2	Large
Section 08 Natural Hazards Tsunami	Tsunami zones are being identified by BOPRC. How will the District Plan need to respond?	2	Large

Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
Section 08 Natural Hazards CDEM implications	Possibility of rules that make the recovery process easier e.g. earthworks and clearance of properties/debris.	2	Medium
Section 08 Natural Hazards Flooding / inundation	In response to increasing flood levels in Waihi Beach, an amendment to the daylight Rules was included in the District Plan. However, those Rules are specific to those areas of Waihi Beach which are identified as floodable on planning maps A03 and U01-U04. A policy response by Council is yet to occur for the balance of the District so that such allowances are extended to the wider District.	2	Medium
Section 12 Subdivision Activity status for non-compliance with tables 1 and 2 in Section 12	It is not clear what the activity status is for an activity which involves an increase in capacity and hence requires an increase in width. Part 12.3.4 "Activity Status" does not provide any clarification. It's also not clear why it relates just to controlled activities and overlooks any other activity. Perhaps the whole of Section 12 could be made clearer in terms of activity status.	2	Medium
Section 17 General Lifestyle Artificial crop protection in the Lifestyle Zone	Artificial crop protection should be made permitted in Lifestyle <b>and</b> Future Urban. There are existing growers in this area that need to be provided for.	2	Small
Section 17 Minden Lifestyle Intersection upgrades and increasing the 256 Lots	Because of the Minden roundabout, we need to start thinking about negotiating with NZTA to increase the lots within the Minden Road catchment of the Structure Plan. The Minden roundabout is currently NZTA, it will soon transfer to WBOPDC once the Northern Link is in place. Therefore, NZTA will not be affected by any increase in capacity of the Minden Lifestyle Zone.	2	Large
Section 17 Minden Lifestyle Wairoa Catchment	Review of Wairoa Catchment and Minden Road end (carry over from 2011-follow up from Hearings). Regarding greenlanes and developer roads.	2	Large
Section 18 Rural Zone Harbour reclamations	Committee want this Plan Change to be proceeded with. Section 32 was prepared by Grant Bridgwater but Plan Change is on hold because it will allow new lots in potential harbour erosion and inundation hazards which are in process of being identified.	2	Small

Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
Section 19 Commercial Zone Parking	RC9891 proposes three new on-site carparks for Plunket. The location of these parks will necessitate creating access to the site which will in turn remove three existing on-street parks. There is nothing to prevent this from happening and there is no way of taking cash in lieu or any other form of mitigation. See A2615171 for the site plan.	2	
Section 04C Amenity Noise - Industrial	Measurement is only at the Zone boundary, and does not apply between sites within the Zone.	2	Medium
Section 18 Rural Zone Wind turbines	Frost fans can now go to 15m, so why not wind turbines.	3	Small
Development Code	TCC and WBOPDC are combining and creating one development code. TCC don't reference this in their District Plan but we do. A Plan Change / edits are needed to tidy up the District Plan.	3	Large
District Plan-wide Allowing small structures in yards	From "loopy rules meeting" A2702635. The notes say: "In side yards e.g. aluminium garden sheds, gazebos, shade house, green houses (definition of building)" Do they require a BC or not.	3	Small
District Plan-wide On-site manager	From "loopy rules meeting" A2702635. The notes say: Onsite Manager etc. should be permitted – not just "above ground floor".	3	Small
District Plan-wide Matters of control	Controlled on-site protection lot subdivision has no matters of control and therefore Council may not be able to impose conditions and someone may try argue that. We may possibly lack matters of control in other parts of the plan so this should be reviewed as one Plan Change.	3	Medium
District Plan-wide Rural provisions for lifestyle, rural-residential, future urban, post harvest and All Terrain.	Certain rural zone rules are not applied within other zones such as lifestyle. Examples such as artificial crop protection, 200m2 buildings and allowances for protection lots come to mind. Should they?	3	Medium

Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
District Plan-wide Minor dwellings Resource consent	Should minor dwellings really need resource consent? It is for FINCOs but it is a constant issue trying to answer queries or check applications that relate to whether something is a minor dwelling or a caravan or an accommodation facility etc. There are also anomalies like what if someone has a caravan which has a kitchen and they park it next to a shed which has a bathroom and toilet? Is this a minor dwelling requiring FINCOs?	3	Medium
District Plan-wide Mineral Prospecting	Mineral prospecting is not listed as a permitted activity in the Natural Environment Section, nor is it listed as an RDA along with mineral exploration, mining and quarrying. Therefore, it is non-complying, so more restrictive than these other activities which have greater effects. It probably should be permitted in the natural environment section, or RDA.  Another issue is that mineral exploration, mining and quarrying is DA in the Rural Section and RDA in the Natural Environment Section, so is less restrictive in ecological features. However, most ecological features are in the rural zone and so will be DA anyway.  Definitions of minerals exploration and mining may also be helpful for differentiating all of the terms. Paula Golsby has identified some potential changes. Most likely an edit. A2896933.	3	Small
District Plan-wide Permitted activities that aren't actually permitted activities	There are quite a few of these in the District Plan where something is listed as permitted but there is a related rule which overrides this but which isn't obvious such as a yard or daylighting. For example, a single medium density dwelling appears to be permitted but is actually RDA if on a lot less than 1400m2. The other is in the commercial and industrial zones where a number of activities are listed as permitted but automatically default to controlled for being high water users e.g. accommodation facilities. In the later example, it is actually wrong to refer to them as permitted because there will be no circumstances where they are.	3	Medium
Rezoning request Manoeka Road	Zoning of Manoeka Rd east from Te Puke Quarry Road. Currently zoned Rural but has been developed along Residential standards and was previously a mix of Rural and Residential zoning.	3	Medium
Rezoning requests Te Puna Industrial Commercial	Possible rezoning of land in Te Puna to commercial/industrial in exchange for land given to Council. The industrial rezoning may be a private plan change?	3	Medium



Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
Section 03 Definitions Dwellings - one household	From "loopy rules meeting" A2702635. Situations where very large dwellings are built to accommodate 'one' very large family. There have been instances of 3-4 families living together with one kitchen and kitchenette e.g. Chinese. There are also many instances of RSE workers living in one house. Are these examples of 'one' household? Are there enforcement issues?	3	Small
Section 03 Definitions Building/Structure	This has a tricky relationship with Section 8 – Natural Hazards. The definition was put in place to control boundary nuisance issues and was incorporated into Section 8 later through Plan Change 4. This has created a number of anomalies e.g. fences under 2m height won't need a resource consent in a floodable area but those over 2m will.	3	Medium
Section 03 Definitions Rural Activity	From "loopy rules meeting" A2702635. The notes say: "Composting, Firewood, Commercial" Are they asking for a new definition? This needs clarifying.	3	Medium
Section 03 Definitions Terraces/Platforms	Tim Richardson is arguing that earthworks are a platform under the definition of building/structure which means that rules such as height/yards/daylight and floodable areas apply. Our view is that platforms refers to a building, rather than an area of land prepared for a house site.	3	Small
Section 04C Amenity Vibration	From "loopy rules meeting" A2702635. The notes say: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No rules</li> <li>• Not road based</li> <li>• Activity driven vibration</li> </ul> In addition, there has been the odd call over the years and the rules say that Council doesn't have a role with controlling vibration. Is this correct? Should we? Can we measure?	3	Medium
Section 04D Signs Various	From "loopy rules meeting" A2702635. The notes say: Sign Policy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarity of requirements</li> <li>• Highly restrictive – only relates to site to which property pertains eg: restaurant cannot advertise at another location</li> </ul>	3	Medium

Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
Section 07 Heritage Trees	Mrs Thea Baigent-Still, the owner of 202 Tanners Point Road would like to protect some trees along her front and side boundary. Objective ref. A2952492.	3	Small
Section 07 Heritage Trees	Objective number A1054511. Allen Rountree a resident from Waihi Beach wants to protect some Pohutukawa Trees on his property by registering them in the District Plan or by some other means.	3	Small
Section 07 Heritage Trees	See e-mail. Objective link A1333656. Braden Rowson BOPRC.	3	Small
Section 08 Natural Hazards Earthworks	<p>There have been a few instances where people have asked whether the 5m3 limit for earthworks is per activity or cumulative?            The plan is not clear.</p> <p>The general interpretation of the permitted activity lists is that if an activity is listed, this is what is allowed on the title as a permitted activity e.g. one dwelling, or accommodation for 4 people. You can't do one dwelling twice or accommodation for 4 people twice. So why should you be able to do earthworks of 5m3 twice or more?</p> <p>Buildings are obvious and therefore it is easy to see how many there are or how many people they provide for. However, for earthworks, it is hard to know whether there has previously been 5m3 and therefore whether the next lot of earthworks is exceeding the limit.</p> <p>Examples:            The reserves team wanted to know whether they could do 5m3 of earthworks now for something and then do it again for a similar activity in the future.            An external customer asked whether they could do 5m3 of earthworks for each site they wanted to level for water tanks and be permitted.</p>	3	Small`
Section 08 Natural Hazards Earthworks	It is not clear whether people clearing drains to meet regional council requirements need resource consent in a floodable area.	3	Small

Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
Section 12 Subdivision Street widths	From "loopy rules meeting" A2702635. The notes say: "Minimum Requirements need to accommodate parking both sides of road."	3	Small
Section 12 Subdivision Section 12.3.7 (e) 300m2 site	Is the minimum buildable area of 300 m <sup>2</sup> inclusive of setbacks? For example, for argument's sake, if you were looking at a lot of 300 m <sup>2</sup> , would all of that be potentially buildable or do you exclude the setback areas from that? One thought is it would exclude setbacks, but then where you have a minimum lot area of 350 m <sup>2</sup> or even 400 m <sup>2</sup> it wouldn't be possible to have 300 m <sup>2</sup> of buildable area without including the setback areas.	3	Small
Section 13 Residential Visual permeability of fences	From "loopy rules meeting" A2702635.  Notes indicate that this rule is difficult to enforce.	3	Small
Section 13 Net Land Area Dwellings Subdivision	The more than one dwelling per lot rules require a net land area, however the subdivision rules do not. Is an oversight or intentional?	3	Small
Section 17 General Lifestyle 400m2 buildings	It is possible to have this – but possibly shouldn't be. The 200m2 rule was put in place for Rural Zone and other similar zones were overlooked. One view might be that if the larger rural zone lots can't have a 400m2 building, then why should smaller lifestyle lots where this impact will be greater. Another view might be that the lifestyle zone is more residential in nature and a large building won't be out of place next to a number of large dwellings nearby i.e. the rural character is already compromised.	3	Medium
Section 17 Minden Lifestyle Unnecessary Structure Plan Roads	Planning Report 5A for the Minden Lifestyle Zone says that five new SP roads are identified, however Council has identified that the Clark Road extension project will not be required but no submissions were received and therefore couldn't be removed. We should also review the other structure plan roads as there is at least one other off Minden Road that isn't useful. This also had no submissions against it.	3	Small
Section 13 Residential Zone Beekeeping	From "loopy rules meeting" A2702635. The notes say: "Cannot occur as District Plan defines as farming but allowed under Bylaw."	3	

Topic	Explanation	Priority	Scale
Section 18 Rural Zone Nominated house sites and consent notices for 'production lots'	There seems to be a bit of a grey area in regards to the certification of nominated house sites in rural/productive lot situations. In some situations a consent notice requiring a geotechnical report confirming the suitability of a proposed house site at the time of building consent has been applied for and in other situations the house site is not needed due to the large area of land where there are many possibilities for a house site. District Plan rule 12.4.1 (f) requests for consent notices requiring geotechnical reports subsequent to Section 224 issue will not be permitted.  Maybe we can have a minimum lot size where a specific house site does not need to be certified as there is ample area for a possible house site, and to certify one specific house site with geotechnical investigation seems over the top.	3	Medium
Section 18 Rural Zone Quasi industrial activities	EG Newnham Park, Bill Webb depot. Large buildings no longer required for original purpose but suitable for other activities – option of resource consent or plan change for specific/spot zone that gives more flexibility eg for rural type businesses such as depot, manufacturing, research, office.	3	Medium
Section 18 Rural Zones Education facilities and other activities	Option of stipulating that they are to serve the needs of the local Rural community to avoid the practice of jumping the urban fence because of cheaper land e.g. ACG. Issue of protecting urban limits.	3	Medium
Section 19 Commercial Zone Child care facilities	Perhaps should be RDA instead of Discretionary. Should be encouraged here rather than Residential Zone.	3	Small
Section 22 Post harvest subdivision	This is controlled subject to 18.4.2 (the rural subdivision standards), which would rule out most subdivision in PHZ. Is this an issue?	3	Medium