

# Western Bay of Plenty District Council

**Minutes of Meeting No. OP17 of the Operations and Monitoring Committee held on 28 February 2019 in the Council Chamber, Barkes Corner, Tauranga commencing at 9.30am**

## **Present**

Councillor D Thwaites (Chairperson), Councillors G Dally, M Dean, M Lally, P Mackay, K Marsh, D Marshall, M Murray-Benge, J Palmer, J Scrimgeour, M Williams and His Worship the Mayor G J Webber

## **In Attendance**

G Allis (Deputy Chief Executive Officer), K Perumal (Group Manager Finance and Technology Services), J Pedersen (Group Manager People and Customer Services), P Watson (Reserves and Facilities Manager), B Williams (Strategic Property Manager), K Hill (Utilities Manager), C Ertel (Asset and Capital Manager), R Sumeran (Development Engineering Manager), (S Harvey Roading Engineer East), C Stevens (Legal Property Officer), N Silver (Legal Property Officer), M Leighton (Senior Policy Analyst), R Woodward (Communications Advisor), M Parnell (Governance Advisor) and A Alty (Democracy Advisor)

## **Community Boards**

M Grainger (Chairperson, Omokoroa Community Board), P Miller (Chairperson, Te Puke Community Board) and A Sole (Chairperson, Waihi Beach Community Board)

## **Others**

Seven Members of the public

## **Apologies**

An apology for lateness was received from Councillor Dally.

**Resolved:** Mackay / Williams

*THAT the apology for lateness from Councillor Dally be accepted.*

## Public Forum

**Resolved:** Murray-Benge / Marsh

*THAT the meeting adjourn for the purpose of holding a public forum.*

## Yeoman Walkway

Barbara Blackburn spoke to her submission to the Operations and Monitoring Committee relating to a proposal to install a 'hard/concrete surface' pathway between Park Road and the Bird Walk area on the Yeoman Walkway and outlined the following concerns:

- Residents had only recently found out about the proposal and yet the status update said that Council staff had been working with the Katikati Trails Development Group for a number of years. Why were residents bordering the walkway not advised of the proposal?
- Residents between Lively Lane Reserve and Francis Drive Esplanade would be the most affected by the construction of a hard surface.
- The majority of the grassed surface of the walkway was very well drained.
- Some areas of the walkway did need drainage work, particularly in the winter but the walkway had never been totally inaccessible.
- Money should be spent on draining areas of the walkway as needed, rather than constructing an expensive hard/concrete surface.
- The steep gravelled incline between Francis Drive and Park Road was slippery and could be widened and a suitable hard surface should be put down on this section only.
- Some of the walkway areas were poorly maintained by Council contractors.
- The grassed walkway was enjoyed by many people, not just cyclists.

In concluding her presentation Ms Blackburn reiterated her disapproval of a concrete walkway/cycleway on the pathway between Park Road and the Bird Walk area on the Yeoman Walkway and stressed that there were other areas that money could be far better spent.

9.35am Councillor Dally joined the meeting

Francis Young spoke to his submission in opposition to concreting a section of the Park Road Reserve walkway/cycleway. He provided a photograph of a concrete section of cycleway placed around Summerset Village – which in his opinion was a 'scar' on the landscape. He noted that:

- The cost of laying concrete was expensive and also disturbed the immediate land/grass area.
- It was not necessary to lay a full path along the walkway.
- Most of the walkway/cycleway was well drained even in the winter.
- In counting users of the area - walkers outnumbered cyclist.
- A hard packed surface or board walk could be an alternative option for the walkway/cycleway where required.

In summary Mr Young asked that a solution that was sympathetic to the surroundings of the walkway/cycleway route in question be talked through in liaison with Council staff, affected property owners and walkers and cycling advocates to reach a viable compromise that was acceptable to all.

The Chairperson advised that a feasibility study was currently being undertaken and the petition and submitters comments would be taken into consideration in any consultation process on the proposed Yeoman Walkway/Cycleway project.

### **Te Puke Parking Review**

Joan Dugmore spoke to the Committee outlining her concerns relating to the Te Puke Parking Review noting the following:

- There were 24 feeder roads into Te Puke from Domain Road to the TEL
- There was extensive housing, industrial, horticultural and agricultural activity along these roads.
- There were eight Kiwifruit pack houses in the Te Puke area.
- It was said that the main Te Puke road was altered to make Te Puke a destination town – vehicle travelling through the town do not stop.
- Council did not listen to people who had lived here for many years
- There were now 5 roundabouts and three pedestrian crossings – all was needed were lights to control the pedestrians who ran out and stopped the traffic.
- Parking spaces were taken away.
- Unnecessary gardens were put in.
- The single traffic lanes had slowed traffic through town.
- A lot of people would not drive across town as it was hard to get through the traffic.
- Council had not provided for the rights of the people of Te Puke.
- The foliage planting was not right for a township and people's vision was impaired.
- Te Puke had lost its economy as people cannot shop in town.
- Emergency vehicles got caught in the traffic build up through town.

In conclusion Mrs Dugmore stated that she would like to see a passing lane through Lawrence Oliver Park to Stock Road, coming out at Collins Lane. Land to Bell and Parton Roads needed to be opened up to give people access.

Bev Button spoke to the Committee outlining that when she became a Community Board member she thought it would be an avenue whereby the Board could help everybody but she had found that the Board was very limited in the things that they could do. Speaking as an individual she noted:

- There had been a lot of talking and many meetings about the road and she recalled that it was said 'this is what is happening and if you don't like it – it would be put back the way it was.'
- We were told that there was plenty of money to do this – now we are told that there is no money.
- People in the town were caught up in the middle and had been misled.
- The town centre was going backwards.

The Chairperson thanked Mrs Dugmore and Mrs Button for their respective presentations to the Committee and advised that reports relating to the Te Puke Town Centre Parking Review and Review of the Te Puke Main Street Project would be addressed under Items OP17.6 and OP17.7 of the meeting agenda.

**Resolved:** Marshall / Murray-Benge

*THAT the meeting be reconvened in formal session.*

OP17.1      **Proposed Walkway/Cycleway from Yeoman Walkway to Park Road Reserve**  
**- Petition**  
**- Status Update 22 January 2019**

The Operations and Monitoring Committee considered a report from the Reserves and Facilities Manager dated 31 January 2019.

It was clarified that a feasibility study was currently being undertaken and the petition would be taken into consideration in any consultation process on the proposed Yeoman Walkway/Cycleway project.

**Resolved:** Webber / Mackay

1. *THAT the Reserves & Facilities Manager's report dated 31 January 2019 and titled Petition –Walkway/Cycleway - Yeoman Walkway to Parkway Reserve be received.*
2. *THAT the petition in Attachment A be received.*
3. *THAT the Committee notes that the Status Update report in Attachment B has been provided to the petition organiser and the Katikati Community Board, the Katikati Advertiser, the Katikati Trails Development Group and is available on Council's website.*
4. *THAT a copy of the petition be taken into consideration in any consultation process on the proposed Yeoman Walkway/Cycleway project.*
5. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of low significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*

OP17.2      **Petition Supporting Development of Highfields Pond Katikati**

The Operations and Monitoring Committee considered a report from the Chief Executive Officer dated 18 February 2019 as circulated with the agenda.

**Resolved:** Mackay / Williams

1. *THAT the Deputy Chief Executive's Report dated 18 February 2019 and titled Petition Supporting Development of Highfields Pond Katikati be received.*
2. *THAT the petition regarding the allocation of funding to raising the water level in the Highfields Pond Katikati be received and be referred to the 2019/20 Annual Plan submission process.*
3. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of low significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*

### OP17.3 **Highfields Pond**

The Operations and Monitoring Committee considered a report from the Asset and Capital Manager dated 5 February 2019 as circulated with the agenda.

The Asset and Capital Manager spoke to the report advising that monitoring of the surrounding groundwater had been undertaken to assess any impact of raising the pond water level would have on surrounding properties.

Assurance was given that if the pond level was raised, if any potential problems were apparent, the pond level could be lowered at any time.

**Resolved:** Mackay / Dean

1. *THAT the Asset & Capital Manager's report dated 5 February 2019 and titled Highfields Pond be received.*
2. *THAT the Committee note the recommendations within the Tonkin and Taylor Report dated 7 November 2018 – Highfields Groundwater Study Attachment A.*
3. *THAT the Committee approve the raising of the Highfields Pond water level to a Reduced Level (RL) of 2.55m for the first stage of the Highfields Pond trial.*
4. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of medium significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy*

### OP17.4 **Recommendatory Report from Katikati Community Board - Uretara Stream Restoration**

The Operations and Monitoring Committee considered a report from the Democracy Advisor dated 18 February 2019 as circulated with the agenda.

**Resolved:** Williams / Murray-Benge

1. *THAT the Operations and Monitoring Committee approve the recommendation from the Katikati Community Board for funding of \$1,500.00 towards the cost of a Vegetation Management Strategy allocated from the Tauranga Harbour Esplanade Reserves Management Project budget.*
2. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of low significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*

OP17.5

### **Maketu Surf Club Car Park Erosion Protection**

The Operations and Monitoring Committee considered a report from the Reserves and Facilities Projects and Asset Manager dated 12 February 2019 as circulated with the agenda.

The Reserves and Facilities Manager spoke to the report that sought direction on whether to invest financially into a hearing process.

In discussion the following points were noted:

- The Bay of Plenty Regional Council had agreed to place the Resource Consent Hearing on hold until Council provided direction on whether it wished to proceed with or withdraw the application to repair the Maketu Surf Club Car Park steps.
- Councillors from the eastern end of the Western Bay of Plenty District advised that the consent process had been held up over a long period of time by a small number of local representatives. The situation needed to be resolved and the steps needed to be repaired as soon as possible.
- The surf club car park steps were a community asset and there was a strong feeling within the Maketu community that the steps in their current state were an eyesore and a health and safety and needed to be repaired as soon as possible.

**Resolved:** Marsh/Scrimgeour

1. *THAT the Reserves and Facilities Projects & Assets Manager report dated 12 February 2019 and titled Maketu Surf Club Car Park Erosion Protection be received.*
2. *THAT regarding the resource consent application for an erosion protection structure at the Maketu Surf Club car-park, Council as the applicant, proceeds to a Regional Council hearing with costs estimated at up to \$50,000 allocated from the Structures Coastal Renewal budget, but should the decision be appealed to the Environment Court that staff will refer this back to Council for direction.*

3. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of low significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*

10.25 am The meeting adjourned for morning tea  
10.40 am The meeting reconvened

#### OP17.6 **Te Puke Town Centre Parking Review**

The Operations and Monitoring Committee considered a report from the Senior Policy Analyst Built Environment and Urban Design dated 13 February 2019 as circulated with the agenda.

The Senior Policy Analyst Built Environment and Urban Design spoke to the report outlining the following:

- In 2016 some shop owners in the Te Puke town centre expressed their concerns about the availability of public car parks in the town centre especially along Jellicoe Street.
- In February 2018, the Operations and Monitoring Committee resolved that the parking situation be monitored throughout 2018 (especially during the kiwifruit season and the period before Christmas) and that the findings be reported back during the first quarter of 2019.

The report outlined the parking supply (on-street and public car park parking) and parking uptake including:

- Methodology – dates and times of surveys undertaken.
- Uptake of carparks – average number of carparks and mobility carparks available.
- Jellicoe Street – vacant carparks between Jocelyn Street and Oxford Street.
- Other Streets within the study area – Jocelyn Street between Queen Street and Jellicoe Street.

The forthcoming Traffic and Parking Review would provide the opportunity for people to think about time restrictions in various parking areas in the town centre and to also think about the placement of mobility parking spaces in the township.

In discussion the following points were noted:

- Some of the traffic island kerbs restricted the ability for larger utility vehicles to back out of parking spaces and easily merge with into traffic and these need to be looked at and altered as required.
- Generally people could park in the main street, but there were other issues in the central business district that retailers needed to also address.
- It was important that people were encouraged to 'come to town' and be encouraged to stay and shop in town.
- There should be parking time restrictions for car parks in Commerce Lane.
- There was no problem with parking in Te Puke

- There was a continuous 'roll-over' of vehicles parking and leaving the town centre
- Te Puke main street had never been safer
- The single lane traffic flows of the main street had created an ambience to the township.

**Resolved:** Thwaites / Dean

1. *THAT the Senior Policy Analyst Built Environment and Urban Design's report dated 13/02/2019 and titled Te Puke Town Centre Parking Review be received.*
2. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of low significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*
3. *THAT it be noted that:*
  - *There are currently 520 public carparks in the Te Puke town centre, compared to 488 public carparks that existed prior to the town centre upgrade project.*
  - *There are sufficient carparks within the Te Puke town centre.*
4. *THAT it be recommended to the Policy Committee that the 10 carparks in Commerce Lane have a P120 parking restriction.*

**Moved Amendment:** Dally / Scrimgeour

1. *THAT the Senior Policy Analyst Built Environment and Urban Design's report dated 13/02/2019 and titled Te Puke Town Centre Parking Review be received.*
2. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of low significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*
3. *THAT it be noted that:*
  - *There are currently 520 public carparks in the Te Puke town centre, compared to 488 public carparks that existed prior to the town centre upgrade project.*
4. *THAT it be recommended to the Policy Committee that the 10 carparks in Commerce Lane have a P120 parking restriction.*

*The amendment was put and carried.*



*The amendment as follows became the SUBSTANTIVE MOTION and was put and carried.*

**Resolved:** Dally / Scrimgeour

1. *THAT the Senior Policy Analyst Built Environment and Urban Design's report dated 13/02/2019 and titled Te Puke Town Centre Parking Review be received.*
2. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of low significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*
3. *THAT it be noted that:*
  - *There are currently 520 public carparks in the Te Puke town centre, compared to 488 public carparks that existed prior to the town centre upgrade project.*
4. *THAT it be recommended to the Policy Committee that the 10 carparks in Commerce Lane have a P120 parking restriction.*

OP17.7

### **Review of Te Puke Main Street Project**

The Operations and Monitoring Committee considered a report from the Engineering and Specialist Projects Manager dated 13 February 2019 as circulated with the agenda.

The Deputy Chief Executive Officer outlined a number of issues that had been raised in regard to the Te Puke Main Street upgrade that included the new landscaping and vegetation throughout the main centre, the new Te Puke Main Street Plaza between the Heritage Walkway and the pedestrian crossing and the impact of traffic through Te Puke. Common complaints noted were:

- Complaints about excess growth blocking paths, obscuring vision at roundabout, intersections and pedestrian crossings.
- Low growing plants needed.
- Large flaxes and grasses were not the right plants.
- Plants were put in and then not maintained – the entrances to the town often looked untidy.

There was unanimous agreement that some of the flax plantings were wrong type of planting and these should be removed as soon as possible.

There had also been some positive feedback about some of the plantings, noting that as they matured the overall effect would improve.

There had been no specific (positive or negative) feedback about the plaza area and the area does not seem to have been utilised for public events.

It was considered that the traffic flows in Te Puke were reasonable, with limited congestion and delays for short periods.

**Resolved:** Webber / Dally

1. *THAT the Engineering and Special Projects Manager's report dated 13 February 2019 and titled "Review of Te Puke Main Street Project" be received.*
2. *THAT the report relates to issues that is considered to be of low significance in term of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*
3. *THAT the committee notes that there will be replacement of vegetation at intersections to increase visibility for motorists and pedestrians and that staff review the roadside planting with appropriate experts.*
4. *THAT the Committee notes the traffic data for Te Puke Highway, that there is only minor congestion within Te Puke and requests an update on traffic volumes and associated issues in the first quarter of 2020 to ensure traffic counts undertaken during the kiwifruit season were included in the analysis.*

It was noted that the report had not been referred to the Te Puke Community Board. It was important the Board, in consultation with retail/business interest groups such as EPIC Te Puke and Te Puke EDGE be given the opportunity to make comments back to Council.

**Further Resolved:** Thwaites/ Mackay

*THAT the report be referred to the Te Puke Community Board for comment and then further consideration by the Operations and Monitoring Committee at a future meeting.*

## OP17.8

### **Street Light LED Upgrade**

The Operations and Monitoring Committee considered a report from the Roading Engineer dated 8 February 2019 as circulated with the agenda.

The Roading Engineer East gave a demonstration of 3000k luminaire LED lighting and spoke to the report. He advised that:

- Council had budgeted \$3.1 million for the replacement project at an 85% subsidy for the installation of LED luminaires over the next two years.
- The total cost was dependant on Luminaire supply, installation upgrading required to cross arm poles due to additional weight of fittings and the number of poles that may need replacing.
- The work would be undertaken in two phases:
  - The urban network – under a standard replacement approach

- The rural network – under a lighting assessment and design as required for each location

**Resolved:** Mackay / Williams

1. *THAT the Roding Engineer's (East) report dated 8 February 2019 titled Street Light LED Upgrade be received.*
2. *THAT the Operations and Monitoring Committee approve the utilisation of a 3000K luminaire LED for the installation within the District as replacement for the existing HPS (High Pressure Sodium Lights).*
3. *THAT a variation to the WestLink One Network Maintenance Contract for the supply and installation of the LED replacement street lights be approved and that the Deputy CEO be authorised to negotiate the details.*
4. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of medium significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*

OP17.9

### **Omokoroa Road Urbanisation Project (Western Avenue to Tralee Street Intersection)**

The Operations and Monitoring Committee considered a report from the Engineering and Specialist Projects Manager dated 13 February 2019 as circulated with the agenda.

OP17.9.1

### **Power Pole Relocation/Undergrounding**

The Deputy Chief Executive officer advised the Committee that there was no budget provision for the undergrounding of high voltage power lines in the Omokoroa Structure Plan and the Long Term Plan. While the relocation of power poles to allow the road widening had been included in the project cost, for safety reasons, amenity value and maintenance cost savings, it was suggested that the overhead power lines be undergrounded. The cost difference between power undergrounding and relocation of poles was in the order of \$550,000.00.

The following points were raised in regard to this item:

- The Omokoroa Community Board was not aware that there would be an extra cost involved for undergrounding the power.
- Design/Plans would need to be re-done and advised to the Board.
- Council does not have a policy to underground power.
- If this was a higher level of service for Omokoroa – then Omokoroa should pay.

**Resolved:** Webber/ Mackay

1. *THAT the Engineering and Special Projects Manager's report dated 15 February 2019 and titled "Omokoroa Road Urbanisation Project (Western Avenue to Tralee Street)" be received.*
2. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of low significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*
3. *THAT the undergrounding of the overhead power lines on Omokoroa Road between Western Avenue and Tralee Street not be included in the project but only the cost of the relocation of the power lines be included in the project cost.*

#### OP17.9.2 **Vegetation on Omokoroa Urbanisation Project**

The Deputy Chief Executive Officer advised that a high level of landscaping and plantings had been included in the Omokoroa Urbanisation project to enhance the amenity and natural beauty of Omokoroa. The Omokoroa community had expressed a strong view for specimen avenue trees and screen planting on the Omokoroa Urbanisation Project. The cost of planting was \$720,000 compared to a lower level of landscaping and planting estimates at \$120,000. Both options included specimen trees.

In discussion the following points were noted:

- The vegetation planted on the earlier developed part of Omokoroa Road should be continued.
- Saturation planting was not favoured as there was still a lot of new subdivision work.

Councillor Dean advised that in his opinion five of the plant species in the report should not be used as landscaping plants along Omokoroa Road.

**Resolved:** Dean / Williams

*THAT the vegetation on the Omokoroa Urbanisation Project include grass and specimen trees (with a similar look and feel to the current planted species) on the level surfaces and grass and lower level landscape planting on the banks at an estimated cost of \$120k and an annual maintenance cost \$20k.*

#### OP17.9.3 **Tralee Street/ Omokoroa Road Roundabout inclusion in Omokoroa Road Urbanisation Project**

The Deputy Chief Executive Officer advised that the Tralee Street roundabout and its approaches were not included in the current LTP 2018 – 2028. Due to the intensity of the commercial and residential development and the high use of the Tralee Street intersection, it was recommended to include the upgrade of the Tralee Street roundabout in the Omokoroa Urbanisation Project. There were cost benefits and less

disruption to the community if both projects were constructed at the same time.

**Resolved:** Thwaites / Mackay

*THAT the Tralee Street/Omokoroa Road roundabout reconstruction be included in the Omokoroa Road Urbanisation Project subject to NZTA subsidy being approved for the project.*

1.25 pm Councillor Murray-Benge re-joined the meeting

#### OP17.10 **Sale of Land - Housing Affordability Forum**

The Operations and Monitoring Committee considered a report from the Strategic Property Manager dated 12 February 2019 as circulated with the agenda.

Strategic Property Manager spoke to the report regarding the sale price of Council land set aside for the Housing Affordability Forum pilot project. The Committee was presented with two options to consider being:

- Council may forgo a full market return for the land in question and choose to sell the land to HAF for \$750,000. This option would fit with Council's Housing Action Plan in order to provide affordable housing but would discount the land value.
- Council may choose to reaffirm its desire to charge a market related sale price in accordance with the HAF agreement. This would enable certainty on land cost and allow decisions on the viability of the project.

The Committee was reminded that if the pilot project did not proceed Council could consider options for negotiating a suitable development that complied with Council's Housing Action Plan, or choose to go back to the market to assess the market appetite for projects of this nature.

Members stressed that it was important for Council to develop affordable housing on a designated block within the Omokoroa Special Housing Area.

**Resolved:** Mackay / Marsh

1. *THAT the Strategic Property Manager's report dated 12 February 2019 and titled Sale of Land - Housing Affordability Forum be received.*
2. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of low significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*
3. *THAT it be recommended to Council that land within the Special Housing Area, designated for use by the Housing Affordability Forum, be offered for sale to the approved developer for \$1,100,000*

*generally on the following conditions:*

- *Price fixed until 31 March 2020*
- *Development plan, funding and ownership structure to be approved by Council by 1 November 2019*
- *Subdivision consent (if required) to be approved by 31 March 2020*
- *Building consent to be lodged by 30 June 2020*
- *Payment for land 30 June 2020*
- *All development investment at purchasers' risk*
- *Buy back clause at cost if building does not proceed.*

**AND**

4. *THAT staff meet with the Housing Affordability Forum to discuss the developer options, funding and ownership structures and seek to reach agreement on an approach that meets the complimentary objectives of both the pilot project and Council's Housing Action Plan.*

Councillor Dally voted against motion.

12.20 pm  
12.45 pm

The meeting adjourned for lunch  
The meeting reconvened in formal session

OP17.11

### **Infrastructure Services Report - February 2019**

The Operations and Monitoring Committee considered a report from the Deputy Chief Executive dated 12 February 2019 as circulated with the agenda.

The Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Utilities Manager, Strategic Property Manager, Reserves and Facilities Manager, provided updates on the following projects and issues:

Bethlehem Road Manhole Remedial Works Contract  
Te Puke Water Leak  
Storm Event Pio Shores  
Ongare Point  
Makahae Marae  
Water Conservation Signs  
Replacement Water Tanks Corner Youngston and Whakamara Roads  
Water Consumption Graphs 29 October 2018 to 26 February 2019  
Eastern Comprehensive Stormwater Consent  
SH2 Safety Improvements Impact on Water  
Paengaroa – Okere Cycle Trail  
Omokoroa – Tauranga Cycleway

- Wairoa Bridge – Clip-on Cycleway
  - Design, Costings, Funding, Work Programme, Location Plan
  - Pats Lane Cycleway
  - Plummers Point to Lynley Park Bridge
- Te Puke Animal Shelter  
Omokoroa Library & Sports Pavilion  
Omokoroa Stormwater Pond  
Cycleway Western Avenue to Kayelene Place  
Te Puke Highway Culvert

#### OP17.11.1 **Kiwi Camp Facility**

The Reserves and Facilities Manager gave an update relating to the Kiwicamp facility noting the following:

- There had been opposition from the community in regard to the siting of the facility at the Waihi Beach Community Centre
- A public meeting had been held and was attended by 50 – 60 people
- Protest signs had been erected
- Waihi Beach Residents and Ratepayers had proposed a different carpark site to re-locate the facility.

It was asked that given that a trial could not be held at Te Puke for the facility and it would be sensible to use the unit - could it be used as a demonstration model for kiwifruit operators to see first-hand how the facility worked? It was explained that while the facility was transportable and sat on piles – it would not be cost effective to move the unit and hold a trial at Te Puke at this time and the proposed site may require consents and services.

The general consensus was that another location at Waihi Beach would be more appropriate and consultation with the community should be undertaken. While a formal decision of the Committee was not required at this time, the Deputy Chief Executive Officer advised that on listening to the concerns raised, the facility would be put into storage and consultation with the community be undertaken to decide where the facility should be located for the next summer season which was supported by the Committee.

#### OP17.11.2 **Sapphire Springs Geotechnical Investigation Funding**

The Reserves and Facilities Officer spoke to a presentation relating to a geotechnical investigation of land at the Sapphire Springs Reserve for a future cemetery/urupa. As part of due diligence, a geotechnical investigation of the land was required to ensure the site had the physical attributes for a cemetery/urupa.

The Committee was advised that a late report would be put to the next Council meeting to be held on 7 March 2019, seeking approval of allocated funds for the Sapphire Springs Reserve Geotechnical Investigation.

**Resolved:** Webber / Mackay

1. *THAT the Deputy Chief Executive's Report dated 12 February 2019 and titled Infrastructure Services Report February 2019 be received.*
1. *THAT the Open Section of the Operations & Monitoring Committee Information Pack No. OP17 dated 28 February 2019 be received.*
2. *THAT the report relates to an issue that is considered to be of low significance in terms of Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.*

## Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act

### Exclusion of the Public

#### Schedule 2A

**Resolved:** Thwaites / Williams

*THAT the public be excluded from the following part of this meeting namely:*

- *Information Pack*
- *Maketu Wastewater Legal & Process for Non Connected Properties*
- *Kayelene Place Land Exchange*
- *Infrastructure Services Briefing – February 2019*

The general subject to each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

| <b><i>General subject of each matter to be considered</i></b>  | <b><i>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</i></b>   | <b><i>Ground(s) under Section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</i></b>   |
|--|---|--|
| <i>Information Pack<br/>(In Confidence)<br/>- Minute Action Sheets Completed – February 2019<br/>- Minute Action Sheets Not Complete or Under Action – February 2019</i> | <i>THAT the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would likely result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist.</i> | <i>To enable the Council to carry on without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations).</i> |



| <b><i>General subject of each matter to be considered</i></b>  | <b><i>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</i></b>   | <b><i>Ground(s) under Section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</i></b>  |
|--|---|---|
| <i>Maketu Outstanding Wastewater Connections<br/>(In Confidence)</i>   | <i>THAT the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would likely result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist.</i> | <i>To enable the Council to carry on without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations).</i><br><br><i>To avoid prejudice to measures protecting the health or safety of members of the public.</i><br><br><i>Protect the privacy of natural persons, including that of deceased natural persons.</i> |
| <i>Land Exchange – 75 Kayelene Place<br/>(In Confidence)</i>   | <i>THAT the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would likely result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist.</i> | <i>To enable the Council to carry on without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations).</i>  |
| <i>Infrastructure Services Report – February 2019<br/>(In Confidence)<br/>- Property Negotiations<br/>- Contract and Project Updates</i> | <i>THAT the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would likely result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist.</i> | <i>To enable the Council to carry on without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)</i>   |