

Decision Report

Plan Change 42 – Maximum height of radio and telecommunication facilities

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1** This report shows the decisions made on the topics in the Planning Report and then shows the whole of the Plan Change i.e. how the full notified Plan Change and subsequent decisions on topics are proposed to change the District Plan First Review.
- 1.2** For topics, any changes to rules are shown as follows; existing District Plan text in black, proposed changes as included in the Section 32 Report in **red**, and any changes resulting from decisions in **blue**.
- 1.3** For the whole of the Plan Change, any changes to rules are shown as follows; existing District Plan text in black, and changes (being the culmination of the notified Plan Change and subsequent decisions) in **red**.

2.0 Topic 1: limitations on amateur radio operators communications

2.1. Decision

That no change be made to the notified version of Plan Change 42.

The following submissions are therefore:

Rejected

Submission	Point Number	Name
FS 36	1	Amateur Radio Emergency Corps Branch 88
FS 38	1	Anthony Greaves Watson
FS 68	1	B E Stewart
FS 47	1	Cliff Gray
FS 40	1	David Horne
FS 50	1	David Hugh McGuire
FS 48	1	David King
FS 41	1	Donald Allan Horne
FS 66	1	Donald Gordon Spackman
FS 61	1	Eric Sears
FS 57	1	George Leonard Burt
FS 33	1	Hamilton Amateur Radio Club
FS 60	1	James Meachen
FS 69	1	Jason Wallace

FS 35	1	Jetta Pieternella Siegh
FS 63	1	John Cullen
FS 55	1	John Powell
FS 70	1	John Wakely
FS 54	1	Kevin Hampshire
FS 71	1	Markus Aniker
FS 49	1	Michael Bull
FS 62	1	Neil Francis Woodward
FS 56	1	Neill Ellis
FS 34	1	NZ Association of Radio Transmitters
FS 67	1	Peter Frederick Callow
FS 58	1	Peter Scott
FS 53	1	Phillip King
FS 52	1	Ross Alexander Hislop
FS 51	1	Spirit Networks
FS 59	1	Stewart Robinson
FS 46	1	Sydney John Rowe
11	1	Tauranga Emergency Communications Group
FS 64	1	Tauranga Emergency Communications Group
FS 37	1	Tauranga Search and Rescue
FS 45	1	Te Puke Amateur Radio Club Inc
FS 65	1	William Richardson

2.2. Reason

The proposed amendments to the District Plan will not affect the ability of amateur radio operators to communicate.

The existing rules did not distinguish between amateur and business installations.

The current rules covered height in both rural and urban locations.

The matters raised by Amateur Radio go beyond what was proposed to address an existing loophole.

3.0 Whole of Plan Change 42 - Changes to the District Plan First Review

3.1 That clauses (s) and (t) of Rule 10.3 (Activity Table for Infrastructure and Network Utilities) are amended as follows:

(s) When attached to a *building* or *structure* (other than a mast, pole or aerial falling within the District Plan definition of "building/structure") permitted within an activity zone, that complies with the maximum *height* of the zone for which it will be located, the following are provided for:

(i) Radio and telecommunication *aerials* up to 4m in *height*;

(ii) Dishes not exceeding 1.8m in diameter for Residential/Future Urban/Rural Residential/Lifestyle Zones, and 5m in all other zones;

(iii) *Antennas* not exceeding 1.2m² in area for Residential/Future Urban and Rural Residential Zones, and not exceeding 2m² in all other zones.

(t) Telecommunication and radio communication facilities (including *aerials, antennas, dish antennas* and associated mounts) attached to *buildings/structures* (other than a mast, pole or aerial falling within the District Plan definition of "building/structure") can exceed the maximum *height* limit of the zone for which it will be located provided it is contained within the following dimensions:

(i) Residential Zones – 2m high x 1m wide x 1m long ie 2m³ in volume;

(ii) All other zones – 5m high x 1m wide z 1m long ie 5m³ in volume.