Decision Report
Plan Change 39 – Protection Lot Rule

1.0 Introduction

1.1 This report shows the decisions made on the topics in the Planning Report and then shows the whole of the Plan Change i.e. how the full notified Plan Change and subsequent decisions on topics are proposed to change the District Plan First Review.

1.2 For topics, any changes to rules are shown as follows; existing District Plan text in black, proposed changes as included in the Section 32 Report in red, and any changes resulting from decisions in blue.

1.3 For the whole of the Plan Change, any changes to rules are shown as follows; existing District Plan text in black, and changes (being the culmination of the notified Plan Change and subsequent decisions) in red.

2.0 Topic 1: Expansion of scope of Protection Lot rule to extend qualifying features and introduce more enabling provisions

2.1 Decision

That the requested amendments be rejected.

The following submissions are therefore:

Rejected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission</th>
<th>Point Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>D155 Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS72</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>D155 Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Maxine Graham and Robin Hanvey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Reasons

Expansion of qualifying features

While in some cases this has potential merit, the implications of the requested changes warrant further research and investigation and their introduction at this stage is therefore premature. The issues raised in these submissions will be addressed in a future Plan Change.
Activity status
The existing and proposed "tiered approach" to activity status is appropriate given the scope of available discretion necessary to achieve the desired environmental outcomes and maintain the integrity of the District Plan approach to rural subdivision.

Notification
The potential for adverse effects to be created by a Protection Lot subdivision to be beyond the "less than minor" threshold is such as to preclude the introduction of District Plan rules which dispense with the usual notification and affected persons provisions of the RMA.

Certification/management plan requirements
Because of the potential for changes to the values of a scheduled feature to have occurred over time and/or to occur in the future, the existing certification and management plan requirements of the Protection Lot rules should continue to apply.

3.0 Topic 2: Cultural Heritage Features

3.1 Decision
That the following text (coloured blue) be added to rule 18.4.2(h)(i)(2):

2. Other cultural heritage or ecological features subject to clause (iv) of this rule. In the case of ecological features, this may include previously degraded ecological sites that through enhancement or restoration can at the time of application be proven to meet the requirements of clause (iv). In the case of cultural heritage features, these are items of historic heritage (as defined in section 2 of the Act) which are of cultural significance to Maori, including wahi tapu.

The following submission is therefore:

Accepted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission</th>
<th>Point Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>7, 8</td>
<td>NZ Historic Places Trust</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Reason
The additional wording is required to clarify the meaning of the term "cultural heritage feature".
4.0 Whole of Plan Change 39 - Changes to the District Plan First Review

4.1 That Discretionary Activity 18.3.4 (m) is deleted and a new Restricted Discretionary 18.3.3(c) of the same wording is created as follows;

18.3.3 Restricted Discretionary Activities
(c) Subdivision specified in Rule 18.4.2(h) Protection Lot Subdivision, excluding Matakana Island.

18.3.4 Discretionary Activities
(m) Subdivision specified in Rule 18.4.2 (h) Protection Lot Subdivision, excluding Matakana Island.

4.2 That the protection lot rules in 18.4.2 (h) are amended as shown in Attachment A.
(h) Protection lots

In exchange for the protection of an *Identified Significant Feature* as defined in this District Plan or other existing features of value to the community additional *lots* over and above what other rural subdivision rules provide for may be created.

(i) Application

Additional *lots* or Transferable Protection Lot credits may be created from a qualifying existing *lot within the Rural Zone* in conjunction with the legal protection in perpetuity of a significant natural or other existing feature of value to the community as follows:

- **On-site Protection Lots within the Rural Zone** – maximum of 5 additional *lots*. The feature to be protected must be within the land being subdivided.

- **One or more Transferable Protection Lot credits** to be used in conjunction with the subdivision of land within the Lifestyle Zone, subject to compliance with the requirements for subdivision in that Zone (refer Section 17) are subject to clause (vi) of this rule.

In both the foregoing clauses, clause (vi) of this rule shall apply where the additional *lots* or credits are being created in conjunction with the protection of an ecological feature.

In the context of this rule a “feature of value to the community” is deemed to be:

1. An *Identified Significant Feature* as specified in the District Plan (see Appendices 1, 2, and 3).

2. Other cultural heritage or ecological features subject to clause (iv) of this rule. In the case of ecological features, this may include previously degraded ecological sites that through enhancement or restoration can at the time of application be proven to meet the requirements of clause (iv). In the case of cultural heritage features, these are items of historic heritage (as defined in section 2 of the Act) which are of cultural significance to Maori, including wahi tapu.
3. Land for expansion of, or access to, reserves.

Explanatory Note:
Enhancement means improving the existing qualities and values of an area that are ecological, cultural, and/or related to amenity. Restoration will have a corresponding meaning.

In the context terms of a protection lot, Enhancement or restoration means improvement to a level which meets the qualifying criteria for ecological features set out in section 18.4.2(h)(iv) 2 1 (b).

(ii) Qualifying standards for controlled onsite protection lot subdivision

Up to two additional lots may be created through an on-site Protection Lot subdivision within the Rural Zone, subject to each lot complying with the following:

1. Vehicle access shall be to an existing sealed road, excluding a State Highway;

2. Maximum lot size shall be 1ha.

1. To qualify for an onsite protection lot subdivision, the lot to be created shall meet the following criteria:

   (i) Up to two additional lots on a sealed road;

   (ii) Rural Zone be a maximum of 1ha;

   (iii) Does not gain access directly to a State Highway.

2. The Transferable Protection Lot Credit may only be transferred into the Lifestyle Zone

(iii) Qualifying features

1. This rule shall apply to features according to their respective lot boundaries as existed at 1 August 1992.

2. Within the subject title, where the an ecological feature concerned exceeds the size criteria in (iv) 2 1 (b) or (vi) below then
the entire feature shall be protected under this rule.

3. Where the feature being protected is capable of realising more than one protection lot, credits will be given for additional lots. These credits are able to be used in the Lifestyle Zone only.

   (a) For credits created on or after 30 January 2010, the credits will expire five years from the date of issue of the consent or five years after the date that the Minden Lifestyle Zone Structure Plan becomes operative, whichever is the later.

   (b) For credits created prior to 30 January 2010 the following applies:

   (i) The credit will expire ten years from the date of the Minden Lifestyle Zone Structure Plan being made operative (16 June 2012).

   (ii) Thirty percent of the total credits (calculated per donor lot) may be used in conjunction with Rule 18.4.2(f).

(iv) Certification

1. Ecological Features

   (a) In the case of those Identified Significant Ecological Features referred to in Appendix 1 of the District Plan or of other ecological features, certification from an appropriately qualified independent person that the feature in question meets the criteria in 2.1 (b) below shall be submitted with the application for subdivision consent.

   (i) In the case of Viewshafts referred to in Appendix 2 and Identified Significant Historic Heritage Features referred to in
Appendix 3 certification from an appropriately qualified independent person that the feature in question still exists in terms of the description as provided in the respective Appendix shall be submitted with the application for subdivision consent.

(ii) In the case of features of community benefit, certification from an appropriately qualified independent person that the feature in question meets the criteria in 3. below shall be submitted with the application for subdivision consent.

(iii) Such certification shall be accompanied by a report prepared by the certifier detailing the attributes of the feature recommended for preservation and include a management plan specifying any protective or enhancement measures deemed necessary.

(b) 2. Criteria for ecological features

The feature must be assessed in the context of the relevant ecological district, bioclimatic zone and landform type. Each feature is required to rank highly on three or more of the following criteria:

(i) Representativeness - the extent to which an area is characteristic or representative of natural diversity;

(ii) Diversity and pattern - the diversity of species and community types;

(iii) Shape - larger areas with a compact shape are more likely to be ecologically viable;

(iv) Ecological viability and sustainability - the likelihood of an area remaining ecologically viable and the management
input necessary for long term sustainability;

(v) Naturalness - degree of modification as compared with likely original unmodified character.

(vi) Rarity and special features - presence of rare community types, species or other rare features;

(vii) Fragility and threat - threat processes or agents (actual or potential) that are likely to destroy or substantially modify the feature, and the vulnerability of the feature to damage;

(viii) Ecological context – the extent to which an area is buffered from modifying influences, or provides a key buffer for other ecological areas, or the connectivity role that site provides for the wider landscape;

(ix) Long term viability – the extent to which the features of the area will maintain themselves in the long term.

Explanatory Note:
Riparian areas only need to meet criteria (v) and (ix) above to qualify.

The following table shows minimum feature size dependant on whether the feature is listed in the District Plan as significant or whether it can be identified as an 'other feature' subject to 18.4.2(h), (i) and (iv).

Features smaller than the minimums below can shall be considered as Non-Complying Activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat Type</th>
<th>Minimum Size for significant ecological features</th>
<th>Minimum size for 'other features'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tall Forest</td>
<td>3ha</td>
<td>5ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regenerating Forest</td>
<td>4ha</td>
<td>8ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Shrub Land</td>
<td>5ha</td>
<td>10ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian margins</td>
<td>500m in length and 20m wide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Explanatory Note:
Riparian areas are measured from 20m landward of the stream edge on one side. When a stream is wholly contained within one title this can be measured on each side.

3. Criteria for features of community benefit

(i) The feature must provide for expansion of an existing reserve, or access (not otherwise shown in the District Plan) to an existing or proposed reserve or esplanade reserve. The acceptance of such applications is at Council’s sole discretion.

(ii) The minimum size and multiple lot entitlement is the same as for the following ecological features:

- Access equates to Riparian Margins
- Expansion of reserves equates to Wetlands.

2. Viewshafts

(a) In the case of Viewshafts referred to in Appendix 2 certification from an appropriately qualified independent person that the feature in question still exists in terms of the description as provided in Appendix 3 shall be submitted with the application for subdivision consent.

3. Cultural Heritage Features

(a) In the case of Identified Significant Historic Heritage Features referred to in Appendix 3 certification from an appropriately qualified independent person that the feature in question still exists in terms of the description as provided in Appendix 3 shall be submitted with the application for subdivision consent.
(b) With respect to cultural heritage features not listed in Appendix 3, the feature in question shall be certified by an appropriately qualified and experienced independent person as being of such cultural or archaeological significance to the wider community as to warrant preservation in perpetuity. Such certification shall be accompanied by a report prepared by the certifier detailing the attributes of the feature recommended for preservation and shall include:

(i) Endorsement from an authorised representative of the recognised tangata whenua for the area in question;

(ii) A management plan specifying any protective or enhancement measures deemed necessary.

4. Land for expansion of, or access to, reserves

(a) In the case of land for expansion of, or access to, reserves, certification from an appropriately qualified independent person that the feature in question meets the criteria below shall be submitted with the application for subdivision consent.

(i) The land must provide for expansion of an existing reserve, or access (not otherwise shown in the District Plan) to an existing or proposed reserve or esplanade reserve. The acceptance of such applications is at Council's sole discretion.

(ii) The minimum size and multiple lot entitlement is the same as for the following ecological features:

- Access equates to Riparian Margins
- Expansion of reserves equates to Wetlands.
Buffering on Wetlands

(i) Wetlands less than 2ha require a minimum of 10m indigenous buffer (larger areas may be required where topography dictates). This buffer must be established prior to being eligible for a protection lot;

(ii) Wetlands greater than or equal to 2ha require a buffer area of a suitable width prescribed by the certifying ecologist and must be established prior to obtaining Section 224 consent.

Number of lots

One lot for every separate feature type as set out in clauses (i) and (iv) of this rule. Multiple lots will be allowed based on feature type, whether the feature is listed as significant or as an ‘other feature’, and the feature size. The following tables show the feature sizes required in hectares and the total number of corresponding multiple protection lots that can be obtained.

### Multiple Lots Features listed as significant in the District Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Type</th>
<th>Feature Size Requirement per lot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tall Forest</td>
<td>6ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regenerating Forest</td>
<td>8ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Shrubland</td>
<td>10ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian Margins</td>
<td>1km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>1ha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Multiple Lots for Features not listed as significant in the District Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Type</th>
<th>Feature Size Requirement per lot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tall Forest</td>
<td>10ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regenerating Forest</td>
<td>16ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Shrubland</td>
<td>20ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian Margins</td>
<td>1km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>1ha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legal protection

Legal protection of the feature shall be achieved by way of a condition imposed on the subdivision consent requiring a Consent Notice, Memorandum of
Encumbrance or similar legal instrument to the satisfaction of the Council to be registered on the title of the land containing the feature to be protected. All costs associated with compliance with this requirement shall be met by the applicant;

(viii) Exclusions

This rule shall not apply to any land that has been designated in the District Plan (for any purpose), or is classified under the Reserves Act 1997, or is subject to the Conservation Act 1987.