




Western Bay of Plenty  
District Council

# Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy and TAB Venues Policy

Summary of Submissions



# Contents page

	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
1	Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	What approach should we take to limiting Class 4 gaming machines ('pokies') and venues?	3
2	Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	What approach is taken for Class 4 Gambling venue relocations (where a venue decides to close and move to a new venue)?	14
3	Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	What approach is taken for Class 4 Gambling locations?	24
4	Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	What approach is taken for Class 4 Gambling venues that wish to merge?	29
5	TAB Venues Policy	What approach should be taken for stand alone TAB venues (not part of a pub or hotel)?	38
6	Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	Gambling related harm	44
7	TAB Venues Policy	Gambling related harm	50
8	Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	Loss of funding	51
9	TAB Venues Policy	Loss of funding	57
10	Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	Entertainment	58
11	Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	Online options	59
12	TAB Venues Policy	Online options	61
13	Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	Central Government	62













## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	142	Stables, Nigel Harry John	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	143	Mcmanus, Russell Leo	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	144	Searle, Rosemary Margaret	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	145	Laing, Timothy Malcolm Mckenzie	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	146	Gall, Nance	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	147	Boyes, James Frank	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	148		Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	149	Winter, Lynne Amelia	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	150	Mcwilliam, Ann Winifred	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	151	Gane, Betty Alice	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	152	Macintyre, Jude	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	153	Van Loghem, Deborah Anne	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	70	Matire Duncan	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time. A gradual phase out of venues and machines.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	109	The Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga	<p>Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.</p> <p>4.2 Introduction of a Sinking Lid Policy, with no new gaming machines or venues allowed in the District.</p> <p>a. A Sinking Lid Policy is strongly supported by the Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga as the only way to guarantee a reduction in NCGM numbers over time and harm respectively. A sinking lid policy would permit machine numbers to drop gradually, in tandem with the long-term trend of diminished participation in gambling. This policy has been adopted by other Councils throughout New Zealand including major cities. We feel that the current over-saturation of NCGM gambling in some parts of the region contributes to the normalisation of gambling, and is unlikely to facilitate responsible choices by those at risk of harm. Addressing this oversupply may also help encourage a healthy diversity of entertainment options to flourish in the region and contribute to the revitalisation of the Central Business District.</p> <p>b. Evidence-based public policy which prioritises the health and wellbeing of communities is one of the most effective preventative tools available to us. A Sinking Lid is the only policy option endorsed by gambling researchers, public health experts, and all New Zealand gambling service providers.</p>
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	127	Drower, Sheryl Anne	<p>Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.</p> <p>Agree with sinking lid, but need to allow some machines, in clubs (like RSA).</p>
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	114	PGF	<p>Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.</p> <p>Sinking lid on machines. Sinking lid on venues</p>
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	104	Grace, Ian	<p>Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.</p> <p>Support "sinking Lid"</p> <p>Do Not Support "no relocations"</p>
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	155	Sole, Allan James	<p>Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.</p> <p>Supporting sinking lid policy</p>
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	154	Eru Loach	<p>Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.</p> <p>"Gold Star" policy.</p>
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	69	Kathryn Parker	<p>Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time. Isn't there enough hardship in the world now without adding to tabs or gambling venues where needless money is paid out. That money could be buying groceries etc.</p>
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	67	Jo farmer	<p>Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time. We do not need pokies or gambling or TABs in omokoroa or anywhere in the bay. They are harmful to society and families</p>

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	99	Olsen, Greame	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.  Supports sinking lid on gaming machine numbers in the District.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	9	Richards, Josephine Roberta	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time. I am totally against poke machines in Omokoroa I fully support the Council in stopping them.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	114	PGF	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.  PGF recommends that Western Bay of Plenty District Council adopt a sinking lid policy, currently the best policy option available to reduce, over time, the number of machines operating within a TLA.  A comprehensive sinking lid policy is where if a venue closes, the pokies cannot go to another venue and no new Class 4 licenses can be issued. Twenty of the 67 TLAs around New Zealand have already introduced sinking lid policies. This is partly driven by strong public opinion about harm and partly TLAs' concern to promote community wellbeing. This is consistent with the purpose of the Gambling Act 2003 and section 4 where the definition of gambling harm includes harm to society at large.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	98	Were, Richard Addington	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time. Support all changes for Class 4 Gambling.
1: Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time.	97	Chapman, Evelyn Mary	Option 1 - Introduce a sinking lid, with no new machines or venues allowed in the District, meaning there can be no new venues or machines, and the number of machines may reduce over time. Support all changes.
2: Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed.	13	Woisin, Freda Elizabeth	Option 2 - Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed.
2: Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed.	111	Katch Katikati	Option 2 - Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed. In regard to Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy, we oppose the sinking lid proposal as we, along with many other community groups, have been the beneficiary of funds from these trusts and cannot see that there would be a replacement source. We acknowledge that there can be social issues with problem gambling but feel that putting a cap on the number of machines allowed in the district would be preferred. This cap to be at the current level of machines.
2: Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed.	116	New Zealand Racing Board	Option 2 - Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed. Replace the proposed sinking lid on class 4 gaming machines, with a cap of current number of (154) machines.
2: Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed.	112	The Te Puke Lawn Tennis Club Incorporated	Option 2 - Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed. That the status quo policy cap on the present number of gaming machines remain.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

2: Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed.	20	Kelvin Jones	Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed.
2: Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed.	86	Peter Harwood	Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed.
2: Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed.	88	Jacqui Knight	Reduce the cap on machine numbers to the current number of machines (154), meaning there would only be new machines/venues if an existing closed.
3: Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as population grows more machines would be allowed.	126	Comrie, Brian Peter	Option 3 - Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as population grows more machines would be allowed. Instead - keep the ratio to population, allow for growth/new licences based on per capita ratio.
3: Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as the population grows more machines would be allowed.	36	Mark Rogers	Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as the population grows more machines would be allowed.
3: Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as the population grows more machines would be allowed.	54	Katharine Birks	Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as the population grows more machines would be allowed.
3: Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as the population grows more machines would be allowed.	81	Sue Stevens	Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as the population grows more machines would be allowed.
3: Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as the population grows more machines would be allowed.	82	Sunny Peeters	Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as the population grows more machines would be allowed.
3: Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as the population grows more machines would be allowed.	83	Rohan West	Link the cap on machines to population numbers, meaning as the population grows more machines would be allowed.
4: Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.	21	Tanya Piejus - New Zealand Community	Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.
4: Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.	23	Marilyn Roberts	Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.
4: Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.	38	Julie Cross	Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.
4: Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.	44	Theo Ursum	Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.
4: Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.	53	Katharine Birks	Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.
4: Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.	93	Jim Pooley	Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.
4: Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.	94	Donna Pfeffeler	Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.
4: Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.	95	Jim Pearson	Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.
4: Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.	21	Tanya Piejus - New Zealand Community Trust	Option 4 - Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines. maintain the current cap on gaming machines (191)
4: Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines.	115	Lion Foundation	Option 4 - Keep the cap at 191 machines, meaning that there is capacity for new venues and up to 37 new machines. Proposed Change:  The Lion Foundation DOES NOT support the proposed change to introduce a sinking lid approach. We recommend the existing cap at 191 machines is retained. - A Cap on machine numbers is an appropriate control which is exactly the purpose of the Act.
5: Other (please comment)	105	Hickson, Paul James	Option 5 - Other (please comment)
5: Other (please comment)	108	Donohue, Patrick	Option 5 - Other (please comment)

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

	5: Other (please comment)	134	Burgess, Heather	Option 5 - Other (please comment) Agree with sinking lid, but only to a certain point. Need to keep some.
	5: Other (please comment)	12	Knotts, Jacqueline	Option 5 - Other (please comment) No pokies
	5: Other (please comment)	124	Jury, Baden Leo	Option 5 - Other (please comment) Sinking lid, but only to a certain point, draw a line as to how far it can go.
	5: Other (please comment)	113	Sport Bay Of Plenty	Option 5 - Other (please comment) Sport Bay of Plenty would not support the implementation of a sinking lid policy however acknowledge restrictions still need to exist around the number of machines.
	5: Other (please comment)	82	Sunny Peeters	Option 5 - Other (please comment). All applications should be considered on their merits
	5: Other (please comment)	52	Laura Donovan	Other

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

Topic	Issue	Sub Issue	Sub ID	Name	Summary
GAM19-01: Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	2: What approach is taken for Class 4 Gambling venue realocations (where a venue decides to close and move to a new venue)?	1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	1	Passfield, Peter Arthur	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	2	Law, Josephine Mary	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	3	Davis, Lorraine Kay	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	4	Davis, Geoffrey Christopher	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	5	Renner, Dianne	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	6	Nottle, Deirdre Isabel	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	8	Uttinger, Paul Bruce	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	9	Richards, Josephine Roberta	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	9	Richards, Josephine Roberta	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	9	Richards, Josephine Roberta	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	10	Maclean, Deborah Carole	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	13	Woisin, Freda Elizabeth	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	24	Murray Grainger	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	26	Dale Jessop	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	73	Barnes, Ian Leslie	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	74	Barnes, Lorraine	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	100	Blaymires, Gael Mary	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	101	Macneil, Judith Anne	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	102	Hudson, Lynnette Anne Wynne	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
		1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	103	Murray, Tracy Olive	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	104	Grace, Ian	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District		
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	106	Lints, Monique Anne	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District		
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	107	Thorpe, Ronald Farrington	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District		
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	114	PGF	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District		

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	122	Benning, David and Sally	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	123	Summerhayes, Karen	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	124	Jury, Baden Leo	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	125	Mayo, Norman Frederick	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	128	Fisher, Susan Kathleen	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	132	Lawn, Peter Donald	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	136	Brett, Barry Gordon	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	137	Hewison, Richard Douglas	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	138	Malcolm, Ronald Ian	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	140	Wright, Jacqueline Merle	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	141	Ardern, Suzanne Dorothea	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	142	Stables, Nigel Harry John	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	143	Mcmanus, Russell Leo	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	144	Searle, Rosemary Margaret	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	145	Laing, Timothy Malcolm Mckenzie	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	145	Laing, Timothy Malcolm Mckenzie	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	146	Gall, Nance	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	147	Boyes, James Frank	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	148		Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	149	Winter, Lynne Amelia	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	150	Mcwilliam, Ann Winifred	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	151	Gane, Betty Alice	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	152	Macintyre, Jude	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	153	Van Loghem, Deborah Anne	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	109	The Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga	<p>Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District.</p> <p>4. No new relocation of gaming machine venue will be granted consent by the Council.</p> <p>a. We endorse the Council's decision to not permit relocation of gaming machine venues as this undermines the effectiveness of the existing Sinking Lid Policy.</p> <p>b. By permitting relocations, gaming machine venues could move into vulnerable communities where they believe they may achieve higher turnover. Per the [City Plan/zoning restrictions etc], many areas to which a venue could relocate already have a relatively high concentration of gambling outlets. The social character and safety of these areas could be dramatically undermined by the introduction of an 18-machine venue from [other areas].</p> <p>c. If the Western Bay of Plenty District Council elects to retain this provision, however, we suggest that the relocation consent process should include a requirement for venue operators to demonstrate how they will mitigate the negative effects of their relocation towards gambling harm and the Sinking Lid Policy.</p>
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	68	Derek and Karin Brown	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District. It has been proven both overseas and within NZ that class 4 gambling machines are harmful to the community and hurt most, those who can least afford it.
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	98	Were, Richard Addington	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District. Support all changes for Class 4 Gambling.
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	98	Were, Richard Addington	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District. Support all changes for Class 4 Gambling.
1: Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District	97	Chapman, Evelyn Mary	Option 1 - Council will not allow any new venue to move locations within the District. Support all changes.
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	15	Richard Keech	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	16	Margaret Sullivan	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	17	Murray browne	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	18	Sharon	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	19	Sharon Browne	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	22	Joseph Nicholas Gray	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	25	jEAN WILLIAMS	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	26	Dale Jessop	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	27	Maureen Jacob	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	28	Bill Jacob	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	29	Joy Ilsley	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	31	Jill Clark	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	32	Susan Dark	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	33	Gabrielle Salt	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	34	Peter Butler	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	35	Carl Jones	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	37	Jason McCarthy	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	39	grant willis	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	40	Colin Pollitt	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	42	Wilson Orange	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	45	Nichola Collings	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	46	Jeni Temple	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	47	Gill Brown	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	48	Stan W	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	49	susan Lean	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	50	Colin Jamieson	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	51	Lynne Jamieson	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	55	Darryl Wesley	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	56	Thompson	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	57	Vaughan Cruickshank	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	58	G Annan	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	59	Rachel Hamilton	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	61	Helen Turrall	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	62	Peter Nicholson	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	63	Clare Neven	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	65	Adam Yeabsley	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	66	Marc Ulyatt	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	67	Jo farmer	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	68	Derek and Karin Brown	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	69	Kathryn Parker	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	71	A C Fairless	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	75	Liz Farrell	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	78	Wendy Pamela Morris	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	79	Matthew Farrell	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	84	Robyn Woods	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	85	Rachel Martin	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	87	Peter Goad	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	91	Judd	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district. "Gold Star" policy.
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	154	Eru Loach	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
1: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district	92	Judd	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	14	Sheila Rolfe	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	24	Murray Grainger	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	41	Melanie Olsen	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	43	Michael Kingston	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	60	Helen Turrall	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	64	Peter Musk	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	70	Matire Duncan	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	72	Stan Walton	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	77	William (Bill) Barry	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	80	Stephen Aldridge	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	89	David Lucas	Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the district, except in exceptional circumstances (fire damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the District, except in exceptional circumstances (fire, damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	7	Nottle, Neil Alfred	Option 2 - Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the District, except in exceptional circumstances (fire, damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
2: Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the District, except in exceptional circumstances (fire, damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)	11	Maclean, Brent George	Option 2 - Council will not allow any venue to move locations within the District, except in exceptional circumstances (fire, damage, flood, land acquired for road construction over the site etc.)
3: Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk	23	Marilyn Roberts	Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk
3: Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk	30	Simon Paget	Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk
3: Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk	36	Mark Rogers	Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

3: Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk	44	Theo Ursum	Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk
3: Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk	81	Sue Stevens	Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk
3: Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk	86	Peter Harwood	Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk
3: Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk	88	Jacqui Knight	Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk
3: Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk	90	linda lichtwark	Council will only allow venues to move location within the district if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk
3: Council will only allow venues to move location within the District, if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk.	13	Woisin, Freda Elizabeth	Option 3 - Council will only allow venues to move location within the District, if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk.
3: Council will only allow venues to move location within the District, if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk.	81	Sue Stevens	Option 3 - Council will only allow venues to move location within the District, if they are moving from an area at high risk of gambling harm to an area of lower risk. It may encourage less people to travel to the 'lower risk' areas.
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	112	The Te Puke Lawn Tennis Club Incorporated	Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District 2. That the status quo policy on relocation of venues remain.
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	104	Grace, Ian	Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District Reason - no relocations or merges can have negative impact on businesses clubs. Some clubs that are struggling have tried to merge, but it falls over because of pokie restrictions. Can't take their machines, so lose revenue.
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	116	New Zealand Racing Board	Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District Replace the proposed Relocation Policy and retain the current relocations provisions.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	115	Lion Foundation	<p>Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District.</p> <p>Alternative relocation policy wording 8. We provide the following alternative relocation clause for consideration Relocation Policy.</p> <p>The current relocation policy (at 5.4) permits relocation where Clause 5.3 location requirements are met. If the Council wishes to seek further restrictions without maintaining some discretionary power, then we suggest Clause 5.3 be retained and Clause 5.4 be amended to read:</p> <p>Venue Relocation Council may permit existing Class 4 venues to relocate to a new site and a new venue consent will be issued by Council where:</p> <p>(a) the venue is intended to replace an existing venue within the district; or (b) the new venue is in a preferable location to the old venue considering the requirements of Clause 5.3 of this policy; and (c) the proposed new location meets all the other requirements in this policy.</p> <p>Where a relocation consent is sought under this relocation provision, the venue may operate up to the same number of machines that was permitted to operate at the old venue <u>at time of relocation in accordance with legislation</u></p>
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	38	Julie Cross	<p>Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District.</p> <p>The current relocation policy is fair and reasonable.</p> <p>There are no new grounds that justify the removal of the current ability to relocate.</p> <p>Enabling venue relocation is reasonable as it:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Enables venues to re-establish after a natural disaster, flood, or fire.</li> <li>· Enables venues to move out of earthquake-prone buildings.</li> <li>· Enables venues to move to new refurbished premises.</li> <li>· Creates fairness in cases of public works acquisition or lease termination.</li> <li>· <u>Prevents landlords demanding unreasonable rentals.</u></li> </ul>
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	20	Kelvin Jones	<p>Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District.</p> <p>The current relocation policy is fair and reasonable. There are no new grounds that justify the removal of the current ability to relocate and the potential loss of funding revenue could be detrimental to community organisations like ourselves.</p>
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	94	Donna Pfefferle	<p>Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District.</p> <p>Times are always changing and the need to have businesses relocate should be high on the list.</p>
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	21	Tanya Piejus - New Zeland Community Trust	<p>Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move locations within the District maintain the current relocation provision</p>
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	110	Gaming Machine Association Of New Zealand	<p>Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move locations within the District Requests that the existing relocation policy remain.</p>
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	21	Tanya Piejus - New Zeland Community Trust	<p>Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move locations within the District See "NZCT's position" (page 10) of full submission.</p>
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	110	Gaming Machine Association Of New Zealand	<p>Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move locations within the District See "Retaining the Existing Relocation Provisions" (point 43-55) of the full submission</p>

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	115	Lion Foundation	Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move locations within the District.  The Lion Foundation DOES NOT support this proposed change We recommend that the existing relocation remain unchanged for the following reasons: 5. The current relocation policy is fair and reasonable. There are no new grounds that justify the removal of the current ability to relocate. Enabling venue relocation is reasonable as it: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enables venues to re-establish after a natural disaster, flood, or fire.</li> <li>• Enables venues to move out of earthquake-prone buildings.</li> <li>• Enables venues to move to new refurbished premises.</li> <li>• Creates fairness in cases of public works acquisition or lease termination.</li> <li>• Prevents landlords demanding unreasonable rentals.</li> </ul> 6. Maintaining the current relocation policy allows Council to use its discretion on a case by case basis. Council can still refuse a relocation where it does not feel a relocation is suitable. We believe that Council should retain this discretion.
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	112	The Te Puke Lawn Tennis Club Incorporated	Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move locations within the District We feel that the current venue relocation policy is fair and reasonable and there are no new grounds that justify the removal of the current ability to relocate. Venue relocation is reasonable as it enables venues to : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Re-establish after a natural disaster, flood, or fire.</li> <li>2. Move out of earthquake-prone buildings.</li> <li>3. Move to new refurbished premises.</li> <li>4. Creates fairness in lease terminations and landlord negotiations.</li> </ol>
4: Council will allow any venue to move loactions within the District	115	Lion Foundation	Option 4 - Council will allow any venue to move locations within the District. Proposed Change: The Lion Foundation DOES NOT support the proposal that the Council will not give consent for a venue to move locations within the district. We recommend that the existing relocation remain unchanged. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The current relocation policy is fair and reasonable. There are no new grounds that justify the removal of the current ability to relocate.</li> <li>2. Maintaining the current relocation policy allows Council to use its discretion on a case by case basis. Council can still refuse a relocation where it does not feel a relocation is suitable. We believe hat Council should retain this discretion.</li> </ol>
4: Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district	20	Kelvin Jones	Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district
4: Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district	21	Tanya Piejus - New Zeland Community Trust	Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district
4: Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district	38	Julie Cross	Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district
4: Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district	53	Katharine Birks	Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district
4: Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district	54	Katharine Birks	Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district
4: Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district	83	Rohan West	Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district
4: Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district	93	Jim Pooley	Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district
4: Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district	94	Donna Pfefferle	Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district
4: Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district	95	Jim Pearson	Council will allow any venue to move locations within the district

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

5: Other (please comment)	105	Hickson, Paul James	Option 5 - Other (please comment)
5: Other (please comment)	70	Matire Duncan	Option 5 - Other (please comment) Agree to not allow venue to move locations, except in exceptional circumstances if the venue is at risk BUT not to a Low-Socio economic zone.
5: Other (please comment)	126	Comrie, Brian Peter	Option 5 - Other (please comment) If venues can't relocate, and landlords know this, they will put rents up and put them out of business.
5: Other (please comment)	12	Knotts, Jacqueline	Option 5 - Other (please comment) not allow a venue in the first place
5: Other (please comment)	155	Sole, Allan James	Option 5 - Other (please comment) Want to see the ability to re-locate to more suitable areas would be something to look further at.
5: Other (please comment)	82	Sunny Peeters	Option 5 - Other (please comment). all applications should be considered on their merits
5: Other (please comment)	52	Laura Donovan	Option 5 - Other (please comment). Businesses should not be permitted to service their communities any longer with any form of gambling (including TAB's).

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

Topic	Issue	Sub Issue	Sub ID	Name	Summary
GAM19-01: Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	3: What approach is taken for Class 4 Gambling locations?	1: Retain the current approach	13	Woisin, Freda Elizabeth	Option 1 - Retain the current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	54	Katharine Birks	Option 1 - Retain the current approach. The current system is working and is producing significant benefits for our community
		1: Retain the current approach	16	Margaret Sullivan	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	20	Kelvin Jones	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	21	Tanya Piejus - New Zeland Community Trust	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	23	Marilyn Roberts	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	36	Mark Rogers	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	38	Julie Cross	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	44	Theo Ursum	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	48	Stan W	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	53	Katharine Birks	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	54	Katharine Birks	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	81	Sue Stevens	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	83	Rohan West	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	93	Jim Pooley	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	94	Donna Pfefferle	Retain current approach
		1: Retain the current approach	95	Jim Pearson	Retain current approach
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	17	Murray browne	Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	18	Sharon	Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	29	Joy Ilsley	Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	56	Thompson	Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	75	Liz Farrell	Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	77	William (Bill) Barry	Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	86	Peter Harwood	Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	89	David Lucas	Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	90	linda lichtwark	Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	7	Nottle, Neil Alfred	Option 2 - Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	9	Richards, Josephine Roberta	Option 2 - Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	73	Barnes, Ian Leslie	Option 2 - Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		2: Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive	74	Barnes, Lorraine	Option 2 - Amend to become more prescriptive and restrictive
		3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	14	Sheila Rolfe	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
		3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	15	Richard Keech	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	19	Sharon Browne	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	22	Joseph Nicholas Gray	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	24	Murray Grainger	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	25	Jean Williams	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	26	Dale Jessop	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	27	Maureen Jacob	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	28	Bill Jacob	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	30	Simon Paget	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	31	Jill Clark	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	32	Susan Dark	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	33	Gabrielle Salt	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	34	Peter Butler	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	35	Carl Jones	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	37	Jason McCarthy	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	39	grant willis	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	40	Colin Pollitt	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	41	Melanie Olsen	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	42	Wilson Orange	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	43	Michael Kingston	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	45	Nichola Collings	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	46	Jeni Temple	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	47	Gill Brown	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	49	susan Lean	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	50	Colin Jamieson	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	51	Lynne Jamieson	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	52	Laura Donovan	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	55	Darryl Wesley	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	57	Vaughan Cruickshank	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	58	G Annan	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	59	Rachel Hamilton	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	60	Helen Turrall	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	61	Helen Turrall	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	62	Peter Nicholson	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	63	Clare Neven	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	64	Peter Musk	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	65	Adam Yeabsley	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	66	Marc Ulyatt	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	67	Jo farmer	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	68	Derek and Karin Brown	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	69	Kathryn Parker	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	70	Matire Duncan	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	71	A C Fairless	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	72	Stan Walton	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	78	Wendy Pamela Morris	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	79	Matthew Farrell	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	80	Stephen Aldridge	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	84	Robyn Woods	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	85	Rachel Martin	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	87	Peter Goad	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	88	Jacqui Knight	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	91	Judd	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	154	Eru Loach	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled "Gold Star" policy.
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	92	Judd	I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	1	Passfield, Peter Arthur	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	2	Law, Josephine Mary	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	3	Davis, Lorraine Kay	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	4	Davis, Geoffrey Christopher	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	5	Renner, Dianne	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	6	Nottle, Deirdre Isabel	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	8	Uttinger, Paul Bruce	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	9	Richards, Josephine Roberta	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	9	Richards, Josephine Roberta	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	10	Maclean, Deborah Carole	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	11	Maclean, Brent George	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	12	Knotts, Jacqueline	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	13	Woisin, Freda Elizabeth	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	26	Dale Jessop	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	97	Chapman, Evelyn Mary	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	100	Blaymires, Gael Mary	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	101	Macneil, Judith Anne	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	102	Hudson, Lynnette Anne Wynne	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	103	Murray, Tracy Olive	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	104	Grace, Ian	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	106	Lints, Monique Anne	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	107	Thorpe, Ronald Farrington	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	122	Benning, David and Sally	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	123	Summerhayes, Karen	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	128	Fisher, Susan Kathleen	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	132	Lawn, Peter Donald	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	136	Brett, Barry Gordon	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	137	Hewison, Richard Douglas	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	138	Malcolm, Ronald Ian	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	140	Wright, Jacqueline Merle	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	141	Arderm, Suzanne Dorothea	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	142	Stables, Nigel Harry John	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	143	Mcmanus, Russell Leo	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	144	Searle, Rosemary Margaret	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	145	Laing, Timothy Malcolm Mckenzie	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	146	Gall, Nance	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	147	Boyes, James Frank	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	148		Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	149	Winter, Lynne Amelia	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	150	Mcwilliam, Ann Winifred	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	151	Gane, Betty Alice	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	152	Macintyre, Jude	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	153	Van Loghem, Deborah Anne	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	114	PGF	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled No relocation of venues
3: I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled	98	Were, Richard Addington	Option 3 - I don't agree that any new venues or relocated venues should be enabled. Support all changes for Class 4 Gambling.
4: Other (please comment)	105	Hickson, Paul James	Option 4 - Other (please comment)
4: Other (please comment)	82	Sunny Peeters	Option 4 - Other (please comment) all applications should be considered on their merits

0

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

Topic	Issue	Sub Issue	Sub ID	Name	Summary
GAM19-01: Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	4: What approach is taken for Class 4 Gambling venues that wish to merge?	1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	1	Passfield, Peter Arthur	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	2	Law, Josephine Mary	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	3	Davis, Lorraine Kay	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	4	Davis, Geoffrey Christopher	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	5	Renner, Dianne	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	6	Nottle, Deirdre Isabel	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	8	Uttinger, Paul Bruce	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	9	Richards, Josephine Roberta	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	9	Richards, Josephine Roberta	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	10	Macleane, Deborah Carole	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	11	Macleane, Brent George	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	13	Woisin, Freda Elizabeth	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	13	Woisin, Freda Elizabeth	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	26	Dale Jessop	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	73	Barnes, Ian Leslie	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	74	Barnes, Lorraine	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	100	Blaymires, Gael Mary	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	101	Macneil, Judith Anne	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	102	Hudson, Lynnette Anne Wynne	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	103	Murray, Tracy Olive	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	104	Grace, Ian	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
		1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	106	Lints, Monique Anne	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	107	Thorpe, Ronald Farrington	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines		
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	122	Benning, David and Sally	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines		

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	123	Summerhayes, Karen	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	124	Jury, Baden Leo	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	125	Mayo, Norman Frederick	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	128	Fisher, Susan Kathleen	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	132	Lawn, Peter Donald	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	136	Brett, Barry Gordon	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	137	Hewison, Richard Douglas	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	138	Malcolm, Ronald Ian	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	140	Wright, Jacqueline Merle	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	141	Ardern, Suzanne Dorothea	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	142	Stables, Nigel Harry John	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	143	Mcmanus, Russell Leo	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	144	Searle, Rosemary Margaret	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	145	Laing, Timothy Malcolm Mckenzie	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	146	Gall, Nance	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	147	Boyes, James Frank	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	148	Layzell, Dianne Elizabeth	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	149	Winter, Lynne Amelia	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	150	Mcwilliam, Ann Winifred	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	151	Gane, Betty Alice	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	152	Macintyre, Jude	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	153	Van Loghem, Deborah Anne	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	109	The Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines 4.4 Merging clubs are prohibited to host gaming machines. a. We support the Council's decision to prohibit club merges from hosting gaming machines to increase effectiveness of the Sinking Lid Policy. b. Allowing two or more clubs with Class 4 Gambling licenses to merge and host gaming machines would not contribute to minimising gambling harm, despite having a combined cap of machines. Increasing the number of gaming machines in a merged venue would create a casino-like environment potentially increasing popularity and accessibility of the merged venue. This can also conflict with section 95 (1)(d) of the Gambling Act 2003. c. Removing gaming machines from club merges would limit the impact of gambling.
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	114	PGF	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines No club mergers
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	98	Were, Richard Addington	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines. Support all changes for Class 4 Gambling.
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	97	Chapman, Evelyn Mary	Option 1 - That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines. Support all changes.
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	16	Margaret Sullivan	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	17	Murray browne	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	18	Sharon	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	19	Sharon Browne	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	22	Joseph Nicholas Gray	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	25	Jean Williams	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	26	Dale Jessop	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	27	Maureen Jacob	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	28	Bill Jacob	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	29	Joy Ilsley	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	32	Susan Dark	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	33	Gabrielle Salt	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	34	Peter Butler	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	37	Jason McCarthy	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	39	Grant Willis	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	40	Colin Pollitt	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	42	Wilson Orange	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	46	Jeni Temple	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	47	Gill Brown	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	48	Stan W	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	49	susan Lean	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	50	Colin Jamieson	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	51	Lynne Jamieson	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	52	Laura Donovan	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	55	Darryl Wesley	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	56	Thompson	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	57	Vaughan Cruickshank	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	58	G Annan	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	62	Peter Nicholson	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	63	Clare Neven	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	64	Peter Musk	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	65	Adam Yeabsley	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	67	Jo farmer	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	68	Derek and Karin Brown	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	69	Kathryn Parker	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	71	A C Fairless	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	75	Liz Farrell	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	79	Matthew Farrell	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	80	Stephen Aldridge	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	84	Robyn Woods	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	85	Rachel Martin	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	87	Peter Goad	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
1: That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gaming machines	89	David Lucas	That clubs that decide to merge will no longer be allowed to have gambling machines
2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	7	Nottle, Neil Alfred	Option 2 - Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines
2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	104	Grace, Ian	Option 2 - Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines Don't support "no mergers"
2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	15	Richard Keech	Option 2 - Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines Thirty as a maximum seems too many
2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	14	Sheila Rolfe	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	15	Richard Keech	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	20	Kelvin Jones	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	23	Marilyn Roberts	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	24	Murray Grainger	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	30	Simon Paget	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	31	Jill Clark	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	35	Carl Jones	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	36	Mark Rogers	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	38	Julie Cross	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	41	Melanie Olsen	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	43	Michael Kingston	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	44	Theo Ursum	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	45	Nichola Collings	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	53	Katharine Birks	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	54	Katharine Birks	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	59	Rachel Hamilton	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	60	Helen Turrall	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	61	Helen Turrall	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	66	Marc Ulyatt	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	70	Matire Duncan	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	72	Stan Walton	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	77	William (Bill) Barry	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	78	Wendy Pamela Morris	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	81	Sue Stevens	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	82	Sunny Peeters	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	83	Rohan West	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	86	Peter Harwood	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	88	Jacqui Knight	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	90	linda lichtwark	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	91	Judd	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	92	Judd	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	93	Jim Pooley	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	94	Donna Pfefferle	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	2: Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines	95	Jim Pearson	Where two or more clubs wish to merge, and they previously had gaming machines, then the new merged club can retain the same number of machines as the clubs previously had, up to a maximum of 30 machines.
	3: Other (please comment)	105	Hickson, Paul James	Option 3 - Other (please comment)
	3: Other (please comment)	12	Knotts, Jacqueline	Option 3 - Other (please comment) DONT ALLOW CLUBS TO HAVE GAMING MACHINES
	3: Other (please comment)	70	Matire Duncan	Option 3 - Other (please comment). Depends on phased out programme.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

Topic	Issue	Sub Issue	Sub ID	Name	Summary
GAM19-02: TAB Venues Policy	1: What approach should be taken for stand alone TAB venues (not part of a pub or hotel)?	1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	16	Margaret Sullivan	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	17	Murray browne	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	18	Sharon	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	19	Sharon Browne	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	23	Marilyn Roberts	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	24	Murray Grainger	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	25	Jean Williams	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	26	Dale Jessop	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	28	Bill Jacob	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	29	Joy Ilsley	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	31	Jill Clark	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	33	Gabrielle Salt	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	34	Peter Butler	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	35	Carl Jones	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	37	Jason McCarthy	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	39	grant willis	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	40	Colin Pollitt	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	41	Melanie Olsen	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	42	Wilson Orange	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
		1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	43	Michael Kingston	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	45	Nichola Collings	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District		
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	46	Jeni Temple	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District		
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	47	Gill Brown	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District		
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	49	susan Lean	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District		
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	50	Colin Jamieson	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District		

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	51	Lynne Jamieson	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	52	Laura Donovan	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	55	Darryl Wesley	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	57	Vaughan Cruickshank	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	58	G Annan	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	59	Rachel Hamilton	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	61	Helen Turrall	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	62	Peter Nicholson	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	63	Clare Neven	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	64	Peter Musk	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	65	Adam Yeabsley	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	66	Marc Ulyatt	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	67	Jo farmer	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	68	Derek and Karin Brown	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	69	Kathryn Parker	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	70	Matire Duncan	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	71	A C Fairless	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	72	Stan Walton	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	75	Liz Farrell	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	77	William (Bill) Barry	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	78	Wendy Pamela Morris	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	79	Matthew Farrell	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	80	Stephen Aldridge	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	84	Robyn Woods	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	85	Rachel Martin	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	87	Peter Goad	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	88	Jacqui Knight	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	89	David Lucas	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	91	Judd	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	92	Judd	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	93	Jim Pooley	No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	1	Passfield, Peter Arthur	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	2	Law, Josephine Mary	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	3	Davis, Lorraine Kay	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	4	Davis, Geoffrey Christopher	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	5	Renner, Dianne	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	6	Nottle, Deirdre Isabel	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	8	Uttinger, Paul Bruce	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	9	Richards, Josephine Roberta	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	10	Maclean, Deborah Carole	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	11	Maclean, Brent George	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	12	Knotts, Jacqueline	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	13	Woisin, Freda Elizabeth	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	13	Woisin, Freda Elizabeth	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	24	Murray Grainger	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	26	Dale Jessop	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	73	Barnes, Ian Leslie	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	74	Barnes, Lorraine	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	100	Blaymires, Gael Mary	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	101	Macneil, Judith Anne	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	102	Hudson, Lynnette Anne Wynne	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	103	Murray, Tracy Olive	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	104	Grace, Ian	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	106	Lints, Monique Anne	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	107	Thorpe, Ronald Farrington	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	122	Benning, David and Sally	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	123	Summerhayes, Karen	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	128	Fisher, Susan Kathleen	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	132	Lawn, Peter Donald	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	136	Brett, Barry Gordon	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	137	Hewison, Richard Douglas	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	138	Malcolm, Ronald Ian	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	140	Wright, Jacqueline Merle	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	141	Ardern, Suzanne Dorothea	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	142	Stables, Nigel Harry John	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	143	Mcmanus, Russell Leo	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	144	Searle, Rosemary Margaret	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	146	Gall, Nance	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	147	Boyes, James Frank	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	148		Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	149	Winter, Lynne Amelia	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	150	Mcwilliam, Ann Winifred	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	151	Gane, Betty Alice	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	152	Macintyre, Jude	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	153	Van Loghem, Deborah Anne	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	154	Eru Loach	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	109	The Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District 4.5 No stand-alone TAB venues allowed in Western Bay of Plenty district. a. We endorse the Council's decision to remain free of stand-alone TAB venues within the WBOP district. b. Each TAB venue is entitled to operate up to 9 gaming machines. This number, along with the existing total of NCGMs within the district, would further increase gambling opportunities, leading to more gambling harm and reduce the impact of a Sinking Lid Policy. c. Prohibiting stand-alone TAB venues would help regulate the impacts of gambling, and control the growth of gambling and minimisation of harm caused by gambling.
1: No stand-alone TAB venues will be allowed in the District	97	Chapman, Evelyn Mary	Option 1 - No stand-alone venues will be allowed in the District. Support all changes.
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	7	Nottle, Neil Alfred	Option 2 - TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	116	New Zealand Racing Board	Option 2 - TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions Replace the proposed sinking lid policy for TAB Board Venues, with the retention of the current policy for the establishment of TAB Board Venues
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	14	Sheila Rolfe	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	15	Richard Keech	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	22	Joseph Nicholas Gray	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	30	Simon Paget	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	32	Susan Dark	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	36	Mark Rogers	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	38	Julie Cross	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	44	Theo Ursum	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	48	Stan W	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	53	Katharine Birks	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	54	Katharine Birks	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

	2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	60	Helen Turrall	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
	2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	81	Sue Stevens	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
	2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	82	Sunny Peeters	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
	2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	90	linda lichtwark	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
	2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	94	Donna Pfefferle	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
	2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	95	Jim Pearson	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
	2: TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions	96	Chris McAlpine	TAB stand-alone venues are allowed in the District, subject to location provisions
	3: Other (please comment)	105	Hickson, Paul James	Option 3 - Other (please comment)
	3: Other (please comment)	108	Donohue, Patrick Sean	Option 3 - Other (please comment)

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

Topic	Issue	Sub ID	Name	Summary
GAM19-01: Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	5: Gambling related harm	5	Renner, Dianne	I believe gambling does cause considerable harm to the community as a whole. I totally believe a sinking lid policy for gaming machines is the way for our Council to move forward.
		6	Nottle, Deirdre Isabel	Gaming / gambling machines should not be able to operate in urban communities at all! or TABs. They harm families and communities, especially where alcohol is available. The hours are generally not social either. We don't need them! at all!
		12	Knotts, Jacqueline	The current ban on gaming machines becomes permanent. No need for yearly petitions etc. Gaming machines have a proven track record of being detrimental to individuals an the community.
		21	Tanya Piejus - New Zeland Community Trust	Such policies are based on the erroneous belief that limiting gaming machine numbers will limit problem gambling. In fact, despite the 39% reduction in gaming machine numbers during the past 15 years, New Zealand's problem gambling rate has remained consistently low at around 0.3% to 0.7% of the population. The 2015 New Zealand Gambling Study (the most recent) found the rate was 0.2% and the latest Health and Lifestyles survey found it was 0.1%. The 2012 New Zealand Gambling Study concluded "...there has probably been no change in the prevalence of current problem and moderate-risk gambling since 2006".
		21	Tanya Piejus - New Zeland Community Trust	Location of gaming machines is more important than their number:  Research suggests that when it comes to preventing and minimising harm, the location of gaming machines is more important than the number of gaming machines operating. The Government acknowledge this point in 2013 when it amended the Gambling Act to require local authorities to consider adding relocation clauses to their gambling policies.  As well as harm minimisation benefits from allowing venues to relocate out of areas of high deprivation, relocation clauses provide sensible options for business owners who are otherwise at the mercy of building owners who know they have captive tenants. Relocation clauses also give Councils more flexibility for re-zoning and city planning.
		21	Tanya Piejus - New Zeland Community Trust	See "Gaming machine numbers have little effect on problem gambling numbers" (page 13) of full submission (NZCT)
		21	Tanya Piejus - New Zeland Community Trust	Reducing the number of gaming machines in communities does not reduce problem gambling, which has been consistent at a rate of around 0.5% of the adult population since 2003 (currently 0.2%) despite a decrease of 10,000 gaming machines since then., Research has shown that allowing gaming venues to relocate out of areas of high deprivation is more effective in reducing problem gambling.
		26	Dale Jessop	The impact on communities, is Hugh, studies have been completed and the impact on families the financial, state that some families ended up is not something we would want to encourage, Omokoroa does no want to be part of this, as you have seen with the number of people who submitted their submissions, we are totally against this happening, Omokoroa should have a family environment,
		26	Dale Jessop	The loop holes have to be covered, so that these greedy people who have know interested in the environment of Omokoroa, cant bring in venues that would down grade Omokoroa, and cause harm to the people of Omokoroa
		28	Bill Jacob	I am against the establishment of, or continued use of, any facility that involves pokies or TAB type of gambling for a number of reasons:  1. They are large contributors to "the Poor" remaining as "Poor" because they soak up a lot of discretionary income.  2. Money spent on gambling would be much better spent on healthy food and shelter.  3. Establishments with pokies and/or TAB attract a clientele that cause significant problems in a community such as graffiti, hooliganism, roudy vehicles and less than satisfactory toiletry practices.  4. The safety of vulnerable community members is put a risk. it should be possible for anyone to be out in the community walking/cycling etc at <u>any time of day or night without fear of being harrassed or intimidated by undesirables</u> .
		35	Carl Jones	The policy should be in line with Tauranga Council not because of Tauranga Council policy but because the focus should be on the reduction of harm in the community not whether funds might flow to organisations. The harm done by gambling far outweighs any benefit provided to these organisations.
		46	Jeni Temple	Pokie machines add no value to society they encourage some people to gamble and spend money that they cannot afford to lose and cause hardship for their families.
		48	Stan W	I'd love to see pokie machines completely phased out. They are a cancer on the people who are addicted to them. People should be going to pubs and clubs to socialize rather than gamble on those machines.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

49	susan Lean	Do not permit more machines. Gambling causes horrendous social problems. Council has a responsibility to encourage Community Health and Wellbeing.
51	Lynne Jamieson	Communities don't need these types of facility as they initiate problem gambling which ruin families. We need to protect the people who can't protect themselves
52	Laura Donovan	The number that are currently operating out in the community are only continuing to further impoverish families. Gambling is addictive and it is damaging our communities - affecting (at large) the children in our communities whose parents are frequenting them. People with addictions rarely have the ability to set restrictions in which to help themselves; where we see our communities are sick, it makes sense to responsibly take action, to address major concerns head on. Of course there will be objections to removing gambling machines (from gamblers themselves, from those businesses profiting from their use, etc). However, I believe - above all - that responsible councils/leaders are to take care of their communities well-being. Gambling has generational effects, not limited to: financial, emotional, social and mental. This pathway is not be any means a favourable one. My suggestion - let us address this obvious problem within our communities sooner rather than later.
55	Darryl Wesley	I support the Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy as these machines/pokies cause three times more harm than illicit drugs do per annum in our communities. This is not a good thing.
70	Matire Duncan	I do not support gaming machines in my community, they have not served any purpose and are not helping the Low-Socio economic communities as their income are not being properly used for the children and family health and well-being.
73	Barnes, Ian Leslie	STOP all gambling it is a destructive pastime wrecking peoples chances in life and allowing people to trade on peoples misery and make money - ban it.
75	Liz Farrell	If this policy does not change, then I believe a change to the existing policy needs to be addressed. Currently, gambling is a permitted activity in a commercial zone. I believe all gambling should be discretionary (just like alcohol licencing) regardless of location. The risk of harm is just as high as alcohol.
79	Matthew Farrell	To control the growth of gambling and minimise the harm caused by gambling, it is best to limit gambling as far as possible. No new venues should be established or relocated. If a premises cannot continue to operate at the existing venue site, it is lost.
84	Robyn Woods	<p>Gambling is a public health issue as stated in the Gambling Act 2003. Gaming machines cause more harm than any other form of gambling. The Bay of Plenty District Health Board (Bay of Plenty DHB) supports strategies that minimise gambling-related harm and inequity across the spectrum of problem gambling behaviour and recommends that Western Bay of Plenty District Council adopt a sinking lid policy to reduce gambling-related harm in the Western Bay of Plenty District.</p> <p>An analysis of problem gambling in New Zealand and Australia found that there is an increase in problem gambling of nearly one person for each new machine (Storer, 2009). This study found that restricting the density of gambling machines leads to a decrease in gambling harm.</p> <p>Of particular concern to the Bay of Plenty DHB is the Social Impact Assessment finding that the highest amount and percentage of district wide gaming machine proceeds come from the Te Puke/Maketu ward which is also a highly deprived community. Additional concern stems from the finding that there is a district-wide trend that higher deprivation wards contain higher densities of gaming machines. This indicates that the harms from gambling are inequitably distributed in the community and therefore a greater burden of harm is experienced by the district's less resilient population groups. This situation should be remedied in order to fulfil Western Bay of Plenty District Council's vision that "we can all enjoy a healthy and safe lifestyle".</p>

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

84	Robyn Woods	<p>A sinking lid policy is a district-wide ban on any new Class 4 Gambling venues or machines. To achieve a true sinking lid approach, existing licences or machines cannot be transferred or replaced elsewhere under any circumstance. This results in a natural attrition in the number of venues and machines over time.</p> <p>Allowing the relocation of pokies will not support the policy objective of decreasing the numbers of Class 4 Gambling machines or minimising gambling harm caused by Class 4 Gambling in the district.</p> <p>The recent Social Impact Assessment highlights Te Puke has higher average gaming machine expenditure per machine compared with the rest of the district. In addition, the Maketu/Te Puke ward has the highest risk profile for the district due to the high numbers of gaming machines; high Maori population and high deprivation index score (Western Bay of Plenty District Council, February 2019). Bay of Plenty DHB note if Council adopt a policy to allow for relocations, a condition should be placed that any relocation must be from a more harmful (high risk profile) location to a less harmful (low risk profile) location and not the reverse.</p> <p>Western Bay of Plenty District Council. Social Impact Assessment of Gambling in the Western Bay of Plenty. February 2019. Accessed <a href="https://haveyoursay.westernbay.govt.nz/gambling-and-tab/documents">https://haveyoursay.westernbay.govt.nz/gambling-and-tab/documents</a></p>
84	Robyn Woods	<p>Allowing the merge of gambling venues and machines will not support the policy objectives of decreasing the numbers of Class 4 Gambling machines or minimising gambling harm caused by Class 4 Gambling in communities. For example, when Te Puke Memorial RSA merged with Te Puke Citizens Club, gambling machines were moved to the Citizen's Club increasing the number of machines at one venue in Te Puke to 21. The recent Social Impact Assessment highlights Te Puke has higher average gaming machine expenditure per machine compared with the rest of the district. In addition, the Maketu/Te Puke ward has the highest risk profile for the district due to the high number s of gaming machines, high Maori population and high deprivation index score (Western Bay of Plenty District Council, February 2019).</p> <p>Western Bay of Plenty District Council. Social Impact Assessment of Gambling in the Western Bay of Plenty. February 2019. Accessed <a href="https://haveyoursay.westernbay.govt.nz/gambling-and-tab/documents">https://haveyoursay.westernbay.govt.nz/gambling-and-tab/documents</a></p>
84	Robyn Woods	<p>Health begins where we live, learn, work and play. Many of the crucial underlying factors that affect the health of people and communities are directly influenced by the decisions and activities of councils. The Bay of Plenty DHB is required under legislation to improve, promote, and protect the health of people and communities; to promote the inclusion and participation in society and independence of people with disabilities; and to reduce health disparities by improving health outcomes for Maori and other population groups. For these reasons, Bay of Plenty DHB recommends that all councils adopt a sinking lid policy in order to reduce the total number of venues and machines over time with the goal of reducing gambling harm. Whereby, a sinking lid policy is a district-wide ban on any new Class 4 Gambling venues or machines. If a venue closes or merges, the machines cannot be transferred or replaced elsewhere. This results in a natural attrition in the number of venues and machines over time. It does not affect existing venues.</p> <p>This submission has been prepared by Toi Te Ora which is the public health unit of the Bay of Plenty DHB.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>SALLY WEBB Board Chair</p>
86	Peter Harwood	<p>Naturally we should endorse moves that would reduce the harm done by peoples addictions that translate into hurting home life but without overly affecting those that enjoy the game and can afford to do so. Can technology on the new machines play a part here in redistricting use of problem individuals?</p>
88	Jacqui Knight	<p>I acknowledge that some people have gambling problems but I believe that if you take the machines away they will only find another avenue for gambling.</p>
89	David Lucas	<p>I think council should adopt the sinking lid proposal as this is in the best interests of the general population. As you mention those with the least seem to spend the most at these venues, which has a huge negative effect on their families..</p>
91	Judd	<p>Gambling can be an addiction and preys on the vulnerable. Plenty of gaming machines and facilities through out the country. ie visiting Auckland Sky City.</p>
105	Hickson, Paul James	<p>Attack the addiction itself, rather than the opportunity to do it.</p>

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

		109	The Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga	<p>2.2 As a provider of services to those affected by gambling harm, The Salvation Army sees the detrimental effects that harmful gambling has on the wellbeing of communities around New Zealand. Our observations are supported by a wealth of New Zealand research which indicates that the range of potential harms from gambling spans multiple domains of individual and community wellbeing, including mental and physical health, material welfare, employment and productivity, quality of life and social cohesion. While recent estimates of the prevalence of problem gambling vary widely, it is likely that between 3.2% and 7.5% of adult New Zealanders are currently placed at risk by their gambling. This equates to between 1,603 and 3,758 local residents whose health is directly threatened by the current gambling environment. Policy remains one of the most effective means of addressing this harm.</p> <p>2.3 Non-Casino Gaming Machines - Class 4 Gambling The Salvation Army is particularly concerned with non-casino gaming machines (NCGMs), as this mode of gambling is responsible for the majority of the harm observed in New Zealand. The gambling industry itself acknowledges that NCGMs are 389 times more likely to induce harm than lottery products. NCGMs are also the most highly accessible mode of gambling in New Zealand aside from online gambling, with 1,117 venues nationwide. The literature advocates for a number of practices to minimise and prevent problem gambling - one of the best-supported strategies involves limiting access to gaming machines.</p>
		109	The Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga	<p>3. GAMBLING ENVIRONMENT IN THE WESTERN BAY OF PLENTY DISTRICT</p> <p>3.1 In the 12 month period ending in December 2018, \$6,655,081.54 was spent on NCGMs in the Western Bay of Plenty (WBOP) District alone, representing 0.72% of the total national expenditure with WBOP residents making up 1% of the national population. Furthermore, the quarterly summary expenditure in the WBOP district has gradually risen from 0.68% in January to 0.75% in December. The per-capita density of NCGM gambling opportunities in the WBOP District sits just under the national average but there are 154 gaming machines in the WBOP District alone, representing 1.09% of the national total.<sup>20</sup></p> <p>3.2 During the 2018/2019 year, The Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga provided over 400 gambling intervention sessions to Tauranga-WBOP residents. This group included 90% gamblers, with the remainder made up of family members and affected others. 39.1% of these clients identify as Maori. NCGMs were identified as the primary gambling mode of 90% of all clients over the same period.<sup>21</sup> The intense stigma associated with gambling-related harm means that a very small minority of affected individuals seek help, and those who do are often in desperate need.</p> <p>3.3 The Gambling Act 2003 provides for self-identified problem gamblers to voluntarily exclude themselves from selected gambling venues. The Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga operates a Multi-Venue Exclusion (MVE) Service, through which clients can self-exclude from multiple venues at once, without having to enter venues and make a request in person. At the present time, 35 MVE clients have active exclusions from one or more Tauranga-WBOP venues. This number does not include individuals who have requested exclusions in-venue.<sup>22</sup></p> <p>3.4 Through our MVE work, The Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga has the opportunity to visit venues and observe the standard of host responsibility practice. We think it is relevant to note that, while the Gambling Act 2003 requires gambling venues to have in place a number of harm minimisation measures, the legislation is not consistently adhered to. While some venues perform adequately, we feel that many fail to provide an acceptable standard of care to their customers. This sentiment is echoed by several of our clients, who have been able to enter venues from which they are excluded, and exhibit clear signs of harmful gambling without attracting the attention of venue staff. We are particularly concerned about the capacity of venues to protect the welfare of intellectually disabled people who have difficulties with gambling.</p> <p>3.5 With the Western Bay of Plenty's relatively large territorial area, number of gaming machines, and limited number of gambling harm services offered, this is a concerning issue that can have strong impact on the increasing gambling environment. Accessibility and availability of NCGM's and other modes of gambling require consideration in the regulation and control of gambling opportunities.</p>

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

109	The Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga	5. CONCLUSION Gambling harm remains a stigmatised and neglected public health issue, yet the impact gambling-related harm has on crime, poverty, employment, productivity, family functioning, and individual and community wellbeing is significant. Through this policy review, the Western Bay of Plenty District Council has an opportunity to make changes which will reduce harm and benefit our communities both now and in the future. We urge the council members, as leaders in our community, to adopt these new policy options that prevent and minimise gambling harm reduction. To do so will demonstrate that council leadership places value on community wellbeing, and is doing everything possible to support healthy communities.
110	Gaming Machine Association Of New Zealand	12. New Zealand has a very low problem gambling rate by international standards. The New Zealand National Gambling Study: Wave 4 (2015) <sup>3</sup> found the problem gambling rate was 0.2% of people aged 18 years and over. The problem gambling rate is for all forms of gambling, not just gaming machine gambling.
110	Gaming Machine Association Of New Zealand	See "Existing Gaming Machine Safeguards" (point 15-30) of full submission
110	Gaming Machine Association Of New Zealand	See "The Existing Cap is Appropriate" (point 31-36) of full submission
114	PGF	Harmful gambling is a significant issue often overlooked in the context of public health and social wellbeing. Causing three times the harm to communities as drug use disorders, gambling has wideranging implications for individuals and their families including decreased health, emotional or psychological distress, financial harm, reduced performance at work or educational institute, relationship disruption (conflict or breakdown) and criminal activity.
114	PGF	See "Social Cost of Gambling" (page 4-7) of full submission
114	PGF	See "EGMS: Location, Density an Deprivation" (Page 7-8) of full submission
114	PGF	<p>The latest New Zealand National Gambling Study (NGS), published 2018 with data from 2015, found that 0.2% of the sample adult population were problem gamblers, 1.8% were moderate-risk and 4.6% were low-risk gamblers.</p> <p>2 A problem gambler experiences about half the quality of life of a regular person - roughly the same as someone with severe alcohol problems - and a low-risk gambler about 20% less than average.</p> <p>3. Measuring gambling harm is often referred to as the tip of the iceberg because each person with a gambling problem affects six other people.</p> <p>4 The Australian Productivity Report (2010) stated that less than 15 percent of people impacted by gambling would attend traditional problem gambling services.</p> <p>5 Problem, moderate and low-risk gamblers account for 18, 34 and 48% of total harm respectively, creating severe situations at one end of the spectrum and wide-ranging deprivation at the other (Appendix 1). Individuals, families, friends, workmates, businesses and the community all suffer the negative outcomes of harmful gambling, which should be particularly noted in New Zealand because of its contribution to child poverty and impact on families at greater socioeconomic risk.</p> <p>Class 4 electronic gaming machines (EGMs or 'pokies') - those housed in pubs and clubs in the community as opposed to in casinos - are the most harmful form of gambling. (Appendix 3) However, the most recent data on New Zealand gambling behaviour reported that in 2015, the vast majority of adults (87.2%)<sup>6</sup> didn't use any kind of pokie machine at all. This means the losses, over \$910 million to Class 4 gambling in 2018, come from a very small percentage of the population.</p>
114	PGF	See "Class 4 Gambling in New Zealand and Western Bay of Plenty: Expenditure and national gambling trends" of full submission.
114	PGF	See "Gambling in Western Bay of Plenty District" in full submission.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

		115	Lion Foundation	7. Losing the ability to permit suitable locations may even perpetuate (rather than lessen) the very risk of gambling harm the Council is trying to mitigate. It doesn't allow the Council to consider suitability of that location, safety issues, compliance record, harm minimisation initiatives or changing community profiles. Council may also end up a 'passive observer' when there may be a more suitable location (away from community facilities, away from high deprivation areas etc) but the Council is unable to approve the better location.
		115	Lion Foundation	There is no evidence that a reduction in venues or machines results in a sustainable reduction in problem gambling. Effective harm minimisation processes and regulatory obligations are in place to mitigate harm.
		115	Lion Foundation	<p>3. A sinking lid policy assumes that less machines = less problem gambling. There is no evidence that a reduction in venues or machines results in a sustainable reduction in problem gambling<sup>1</sup>.</p> <p>In the past 5 years, machine numbers have reduced by 8.9%.</p> <p>Problem gambling numbers have remained constant for the past 10 years (at 0.2-0.4%) despite \$180 million being paid by the sector as a problem gambling levy to fund problem gambling service providers Reducing machine numbers reduces opportunities for the community majority to engage in a legitimate entertainment option. The risk is also that problem gamblers simply turn to online gambling - which is unsupervised and there is no legal requirement to return any funds to the community.</p>
		115	Lion Foundation	<p>4. In contrast to online gambling, machines at venues allow gaming in a supervised environment with staff trained to identify those who may show signs of problem gambling. Playing at a venue means those players with potential problems can be identified, monitored and/or offered support.</p> <p>Effective harm minimization processes and regulatory obligations are in place to mitigate harm. This now includes facial recognition detection which prevent problem gamblers from having access to machines. Additionally, there is also tailored harm minimisation training for venue staff including how to identify a potential problem gambler and what steps to take when someone is identified.</p> <p>Software is installed on all gaming machines that advises players how long they have been playing a machine, how much they have spent, and whether they wish to continue playing. This is known as PID (Player Information Display) and pops up on the screen automatically every 30 minutes.</p>
		116	New Zealand Racing Board	Point 43. Gaming machine numbers are in a natural decline and gaming machine participation is reducing. However, the harm minimisation measures that are now in place have never been higher. In light of the new regulations now in place, a cap at current numbers (541 machines) is entirely appropriate.
		116	New Zealand Racing Board	See "Existing Safeguards-Class 4 Gambling" (point 17-23) of full submission.
		116	New Zealand Racing Board	See "Harm Minimisation" (point 29-38) of full submission.
		124	Jury, Baden Leo	Worried about removing pokies, people will spend their money on worse things (including TAB etc spending a lot more on that), drive them in to further debt and crime.
		126	Comrie, Brian Peter	Now the venues are well run, so the added restrictions are not needed - they are well regulated by the D.I.A.
		126	Comrie, Brian Peter	If we don't have regulated venues, gambling will revert back to "under the table" through clubs, like in the old days.
		114	PGF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lower socio-economic areas didn't want gambling machines, so should they be in our higher socio-economic areas to begin with?</li> <li>- Speaking with the PGF Group's Counsellors there's a higher number of affected families. However, there are often other factors involved too.</li> <li>- There's a lack of support for problem gamblers, as currently there's only the Salvation Army. Not very many organisations are available to help communities in lower socio-economic areas. Gambling harm is more common and prevalent in middle and lower socio-economic areas and it's only looked at from those who are identified as problem gamblers.</li> <li>- Gambling harm goes far beyond lack of money - social pain, loneliness and many other issues.</li> </ul>

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Sub ID</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Summary</u>
GAM19-02: TAB Venues Policy	2: Gambling related harm	84	Robyn Woods	<p>The Bay of Plenty DHB supports strategies that minimise gambling-related harm and inequality across the spectrum of problem gambling behaviour. The Bay of Plenty DHB therefore recommends that Western Bay of Plenty District Council adopts a district-wide sinking lid policy banning any new class 4 gambling venues or machines (covering and including stand-alone TAB venues) to reduce the number of class 4 gambling machines in the region.</p> <p>The Bay of Plenty DHB supports no stand-alone TAB venues on the basis that Councils can only control stand-alone TAB Licenced Board venues and not TAB facilities in pubs and hotels. According to the Problem Gambling Foundation stand-alone TAB venues can allow another venue option for gaming machines (that is if a sinking lid policy wasn't in place or did not cover stand-alone TAB venues).</p>
		116	New Zealand Racing Board	Point 41. TAB Board Venues provide the safest, most controlled environment to participate in gambling, including class 4 gaming.
		116	New Zealand Racing Board	See "Existing Safeguards-TAB Board Venues" (point 7-15) of full submission.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

Topic	Issue	Sub ID	Name	Summary
GAM19-01: Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	6: Loss of funding	20	Kelvin Jones	<p>Gaming machine funding is extremely important to a large number of community organisations within the Western Bay of Plenty District and beyond. The Bay Oval Trust has worked with cricket organisations within the WBOPDC in improving cricket relating facilities and opportunities. Without gaming grants this would simply not have been possible and anything that puts that at risk will have an adverse effect in the region.</p> <p>The Bay Oval Trust has also been able to develop the Bay Oval Cricket ground with significant support from gaming funders. The Oval has been a benefit to the entire Western Bay of Plenty Community without any rate contribution from ratepayers living outside of The Tauranga City Region. This would not have been possible without support from gaming trusts.</p> <p>If the sinking lid policy is retained, this funding will eventually be lost. There is no replacement funding available. In order to keep the valuable funding sustainable, it is now time to consider replacing the sinking lid with a cap at current numbers. This will not see any additional gaming machines be introduced but will protect the funding stream.</p>
		21	Tanya Piejus - New Zealand Community Trust - New Zealand Community Trust	See "Community organisations rely on pub gaming to survive" (Page 4-5) of full submission
		21	Tanya Piejus - New Zealand Community Trust - New Zealand Community Trust	See "Pub gaming's vital support for the community" of full submission
		21	Tanya Piejus - New Zealand Community Trust - New Zealand Community Trust	See "reasons to maintain a cap on gaming machines and venues" (page 12, paragraph "Community funding, Regional funding, National funding and Difference between pub gaming societies and clubs and New Zealand racing Board") of full submission.
		21	Tanya Piejus - New Zealand Community Trust - New Zealand Community Trust	<p>Gaming trusts return around \$300 million to the New Zealand community every year in grants, while implementing the Gambling Act's stringent requirements for preventing and minimising harm from gambling, Many grassroots organisations would struggle or cease to operate without gaming trust funds.</p> <p>Council gambling venue policies are critical to maintaining the infrastructure that allows community funding from gaming trusts to be sustainable long term. Sinking Lid and no-relocation policies destroy this infrastructure. Councils need to take a balanced approach to community benefit and potential harm from gambling.</p>
		36	Mark Rogers	<p>Tauranga City Basketball Association provides healthy activities for over 4000 Tauranga City and Western Bay of Plenty District residents each year. Our programmes cater for 5 to 80 year olds. The Association, like most not for profit organisations, relies on grants from class 4 gambling to maintain our organisation. Any changes that reduce the amount of money available to community groups from this source, will have a negative impact on the many positive activities this funding supports. A sinking lid policy will in all likelihood reduce the amount of funding available to community groups in the Region. This will have many negative impacts, including lost health and vibrancy. People have gambled since ancient times and will continue to do so. A sinking lid policy will not stop people gambling.</p> <p>Tauranga City Basketball support: 1. a Council policy of a per capita level for class 4 machines. 2. limiting the location of new or relocating sites.</p>
		38	Julie Cross	As a volunteer service, Maketu Coastguard rely on funders such as Lion Foundation etc, who have always been very supportive of our organisation. They understand the need for our service and the lack of funding available, other than through grants process, as we are not government funded.
		38	Julie Cross	<p>Gaming machine funding is extremely important to a large number of community volunteer organisation within the Western Bay of Plenty District, including Maketu Volunteer Coastguard. If the sinking lid policy is retained, this funding will eventually be lost.</p> <p>Without funding from providers such as Lion Foundation, as a unit we would not be able to develop and build new emergency rescue vessels and operate, as there is no replacement funding available.</p> <p>In order to keep the valuable funding sustainable, we request that you consider replacing the sinking lid with a cap at current numbers. This will not see any additional gaming machines be introduced, but will protect the funding stream.</p>

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

	44	Blue Rovers Junior Football Club	Our club relies on funding from gaming trust to be able to support and develop our players. Without this support, their will be a lot more reliance on parents chipping in and potentially also local councils. As we are based in a mainly lower decile area, it will certainly mean that quite few of our families won't be able to come up with the extra money and kids will miss out on a sport activity. So a sinking lid policy will mean a considerable dent in our ability to support the development of our players.
	44	Blue Rovers Junior Football Club	Clubs are relying on income from the machines to support their sport. taking it away or cutting it in half will impact the clubs ability to support their players and the sport itself.
	53	Tauranga RDA Foundation	<p>There is no doubt problem gambling is a matter for concern. The suggested response by Council however will not solve the problem in fact evidence suggests it will actually make it worse. The saddest result, should the Council get their way, is how it will affect the many not-for-profits and sports organizations that rely on the funds for their very existence and the thousands of people from our community that they support. Many, many more than are affected by problem gambling, evidence would suggest. If one looks around the community here in WBOP the amount of support that the gaming trusts have provided to the community is profound.</p> <p>As a fundraising consultant who works for several not-for-profits I know how these organisations rely on the funds from gaming trusts. Just in the past year I have seen gaming trusts provide significant grants to help YSAR train young men and women in search and rescue protocols so that when they complete their three year course they will be active contributing members of search and rescue teams - helping locate individuals with dementia who wonder off at great risk to themselves or making our great outdoors a safer place for all New Zealanders. I have watched the extension of the amazing facility at the Tauranga RDA which would not exist at all without the support of Gaming Trusts. NZCT recently made a major grant to the extension project and without their support and that of Pub Charity and Lion Foundation that facility would have only been a dream. The Gaming Trusts pay salaries, they pay operational costs, they purchase equipment they contribute to capital projects, without their support these organizations would be unable to provide the services they do to the literally thousands of WBOP individuals. The Government and the Councils, including you at WBOPDC do not appear to be providing a solution to the shortfall if the proposal you have on the table goes through. How are you going to explain to those challenged by disability or who are at risk within our community, or the 1000's of young sports participants why services they rely upon are no longer available? I would REALLY like to hear your answer to that question.</p>
	53	Tauranga RDA Foundation	This suggested move by Council is a bad move, it wont stop problem gambling - in fact there is evidence to strongly suggest that it will make it worse and the whole community will pay a dreadful price. The not-for-profit sector that is so vital to our community will be badly affected as will the numerous sporting codes and amateur clubs that are so critical to the wellbeing of our young people. To make them pay the price for those who have gambling issues doesn't make sense.
	81	Tauranga Badminton club (2003) Inc	'Pokies' provide revenue for a huge range of organisations, whom many of would suffer without this funding to assist/subsidise their costs.
	81	Tauranga Badminton club (2003) Inc	As a member of a Not For Profit sporting club and organisation we would struggle to maintain our current funding to maintain the club. We are constrained by no community facilities

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

		82	Coastguard Eastern Region	<p>The proceeds from gambling provides significant funding for a variety of community purposes (national sports and community organisations). These funds can enhance empowerment, participation and the quality of life across all types of the communities. These grants provide financial support to many clubs and organisations that find it increasingly difficult to obtain sponsorship and other revenue streams including Coastguard. Funding received is imperative to Coastguard, saving lives and families.</p> <p>We do this by</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Providing management, operational and funding support to 14 Coastguard unit boards and committees in the Eastern Region</li> <li>• Providing training and support to 546 volunteers who work within our 14 units</li> <li>• Working in the best interests of our units and their volunteers with our national body and liaison with the three other Coastguard regions</li> <li>• We also maintain a Regional Communications Hub (CER RCH) that monitors all VHF Marine Channel within the region 24/7.</li> </ul> <p>We train and retain sufficient professional volunteer crews to provide our marine rescue service. We Provide education services to the general public on all aspects of boating safety. Update and maintain our rescue vessels, unit building, technology and equipment to a high level so that they are fit-for-purpose; to save lives at sea.</p> <p>Coastguard units in our region are run entirely by volunteers. We provide the necessary management, training, operational support and promote a safe culture to 546 volunteers so that Coastguard can meet our community promise of saving lives at sea. CER will continue to measure and enhance the capability standards of coastguard's rescue crews and ensure they receive the support they need; not limited to training, fundraising, health and safety, financial and accounting, capacity auditing and compliance support.</p> <p>Every year, nearly 7,000 New Zealanders - 19 a day on average - are brought home safely from our seas, lakes and rivers after an emergency. This prevents 18,000 children from losing their mum or dad, 11,000 grandparents from losing their grandchildren and 1.6 million of us from losing someone we know. And it's all made possible by Kiwi institution Coastguard New Zealand, a charity run by over 2,000 volunteers all dedicated to saving lives, and families, on the water - a place where things can go very wrong, very quickly.</p> <p>Coastguard volunteers give over 300,000 hours of their free time every year to make sure Kiwis can enjoy New Zealand's magnificent waterways, secure in the knowledge that should anything go wrong, someone highly organised, trained and equipped will be there to help.</p> <p>Without the funding we receive we could not keep Boaties safe and lives would be lost Please stay with the status quo.</p>
		84	Bay of Plenty District Health Board	<p>The purpose of gambling is not to raise money for the community. Gambling machine societies are required by law to allocate a minimum 40% of proceeds (the amount wagered, less the amount paid back as prizes) back to community groups and organisations, but not necessarily to the community where the money was lost. In 2015, only 27% of gaming machine spending in the Bay of Plenty was redistributed to the region by way of grants (DIA, 2017). This is an extremely inefficient method of funding organisations which provide valuable services. The money lost through gambling also comes at a very high cost to individuals, families and whanau, and the community. The Bay of Plenty DHB recognises the need to support community organisations move to alternative, more equitable, and less harmful, sources of funding.</p>
		86	Mayorview Fire Brigade	<p>From a community service point of view the players/funds generated from these machines contribute greatly in the providing of improved emergency service in their communities.</p> <p><u>if in the phasing out of these machines, what would WBDC suggest to replace the loss in potential funding?</u></p>
		88	Jacqui Knight	<p>Our communities benefit greatly from funding grants from the gaming machines. The draft proposed changes will reduce the funding available and there is no alternative fund.</p>
		93	Waihi Beach Volunteer Coastguard	<p>Western Bay of Plenty community organisations such as ours rely heavily on gaming machine funding. All of our rescue vessels and tractors have been purchased with significant funding from this source. Consideration needs to be given to the effect a sinking lid policy will have on this funding over time. Our view is that there should be a cap at current numbers to protect the funding source.</p>
		93	Waihi Beach Volunteer Coastguard	<p>WBOPDC need to be mindful of the effect policy will have on the significant funding available from gaming machines to organisations like ours. We would struggle to survive financially without it. Council currently provide no funding to our rescue service and need to take this into consideration also.</p>
		94	Waihi Beach Lifeguard Services Inc	<p>So many funders are part of the gambling machine business and they are already mandated to gift funds to community projects so they are supporting the community.</p>

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

	94	Waihi Beach Lifeguard Services Inc	Please consider the number of organisations who would be impacted by the changes in the region, Waihi Beach Lifeguards, Coastguard, Fire Brigade to name a few. Lives will be impacted by any significant change. Understand the need for status quo but not a reduction.
	95	Papamoa Community Surf Rescue Base Trust	Gaming machine funding is extremely important to community organisations like Surf Lifesaving within the Western Bay of Plenty District. If the sinking lid policy is retained, this funding will eventually be lost or at best decreased in the future). There is no replacement funding available. Organisations like ourselves that serve our community with essential services rely heavily on the grants that these machines provide. Without these available funds the essential services we provide will be at risk.
	95	Papamoa Community Surf Rescue Base Trust	The current relocation policy is fair and reasonable. There are no new grounds that justify the removal of the current ability to relocate and the potential loss of funding revenue could be detrimental to community organisations like ourselves.
	96	Bay of Plenty Golf Inc	As a non profit sports organisation we rely heavily on funding support from Charitable trusts to support programmes for juniors and the development of the game at school and club level. Our ability to provides these services would seriously be affected with less funding access.
	108	Donohue, Patrick Sean	Don't support, because the money generated does a lot of good.
	109	The Salvation Army Oasis - Tauranga	2.5 Sustainability of Community Funding The long-term trend of declining participation in gambling activities, including NCGM gambling, is likely to gradually reduce the availability of gambling-derived community funds with or without further regulatory intervention. The Salvation Army maintains that the Class 4 funding model is neither sustainable in the long term, nor favourable in the short term for New Zealand communities. Only about 42% of GST-inclusive NCGM revenue ever reaches grant recipients, and a high proportion leaves the regions as central government taxes and society/venue costs. Some of the charitable causes funded through Class 4 gambling are essential public goods and services. However, because a large proportion of gambling revenue is derived from those with the least disposable income, the Class 4 funding model has been criticised as being analogous to regressive taxation. Public opinion reflects such concerns - since 1985, the proportion of New Zealanders who are opposed to or uncertain about the use of gambling revenue to fund charitable causes has risen steadily. The Salvation Army believes that councils have an important role to play in incentivising communities to seek less harmful ways to fund necessary services.
	110	Gaming Machine Association Of New Zealand	No Evidence to Justify a Sudden Change in Policy 3. The Western Bay of Plenty District has always had a policy that allows for a small number of additional gaming venues to be established. Such a policy is consistent with the district's strong population growth. There has been no new evidence or new data that supports a departure from the well-established status quo.  4. A social impact assessment has been commissioned by council. The report confirms that council has not received any formal complaints regarding class 4 gambling over the past two years. The report also notes that since the previous policy review, the district's adult population has risen by 6,790, while during the same period, the number of gaming machines has decreased by 19.4% (a 37 machine reduction).

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

	110	Gaming Machine Association Of New Zealand	<p>Gaming Machine Funding</p> <p>5. The Gambling Act 2003 seeks to balance the potential harm from gambling against the benefits of using gaming machines as a mechanism for community fund raising. Approximately \$300 million<sup>1</sup> in grants are made each year from non-casino gaming machines. In addition to the external grants, clubs such as RSAs and Workingmen's Clubs receive approximately \$50 million each year in gaming proceeds to assist with meeting the clubs' operating costs. This funding is crucial. The total authorised purpose funding (including the non-published club authorised purpose payments) received from Western Bay of Plenty District-based venues is over \$2.57 million annually. Examples of recent grants include:                  \$29,324.00 to Mayor View Volunteer Fire Brigade                  \$20,000.00 to Katikati Theatre Inc                  \$6,525.00 to Te Puke Cricket Club                  \$20,000.00 to Omokoroa No 1 School                  \$10,000.00 to Matahui Road School                  \$15,000.00 to Te Puke Gymsport Inc                  \$10,000.00 to Canoe Slalom NZ Inc                  \$20,000.00 to Te Puke High School</p> <p>7. The total grants amount quoted by the Problem Gambling Foundation is less than the \$2.57 million stated above, as the Problem Gambling Foundation's data is gathered from society websites, and not all societies publish their authorised purpose payments. The funds applied and distributed by club societies, for example, are not published. Further, if the grant recipient's name does not indicate that it is located within the territorial authority, the amount of that grant is not included in the Problem Gambling Foundation's figures.</p>
	112	The Te Puke Lawn Tennis Club Incorporated	<p>Our tennis club is the recipient of annual grants from the Lion Foundation whose income from licensed gaming machines at venues in our area is used to provide contributions and funding for local community programmes and projects.</p> <p>We are very concerned that the new proposals to be put in place for the Council's gaming venues policy will result in our club's community programme for the coaching of tennis both at our club and at the many schools in the Te Puke district being severely restricted or cancelled.</p>
	112	The Te Puke Lawn Tennis Club Incorporated	<p>Gaming machine funding via our grant provider is extremely important to our Tennis Club and, we understand, to a large number of community organisations in the Bay of Plenty District.</p> <p>If the sinking lid policy on the number of gaming machines allowed is retained in the reviewed policy, our funding and that of other organisations will eventually be lost.</p> <p>There will be no replacement funding available to us.</p> <p>In order to keep the valuable funding sustainable, we feel it is necessary to replace the sinking lid policy with a cap at current numbers of gaming machines in the WBOP District.</p> <p><del>Thus, there would not be any additional gaming machines introduced in the future and our funding stream would be protected.</del></p>
	113	Sport Bay Of Plenty	<p>As an organisation we understand and appreciate the negative impact that problem gambling can have within a community, but we are also more aware of the significant community benefit made by community funding. Community funding derived from gaming trusts is an important revenue stream for the majority not for profit sport and recreation organisations. Over the past year over \$900,000 has been injected into the Western Bay of Plenty Community through class 4 gaming funding. The majority of the community organisations receiving these funds rely heavily on this revenue stream to remain operational and provide crucial services to the wider community. If a sinking lid policy was to be put in place, a significant shortfall in accessible funds would negatively impact the not for profit sector who provide critical services across the Sport and Recreation communities within the region. The end result would see a number of community groups no longer able to operate, resulting in a high percentage of the community losing out on the opportunity to participate in activities that promote and establish healthy, active lifestyles. A change in policy would have a significant impact to community groups and organisations that are able to access grants for their not for profit purposes, each of which contribute to a stronger community with high levels of participation in active and healthy lifestyles throughout the region. We would like to thank you for your consideration of this written submission.</p>
	114	PGF	See "The ethics of gambling funding" (Page 8-10) of full submission
	114	PGF	Misconceptions around the funding from gambling complicate the issue and it is time that councils and government take a closer look at the relationship between harmful gambling and social disparities, and the funding model which supports it.
	114	PGF	See "Funding" (page 2-3) in full submission
	115	Lion Foundation	See "Executive Summary" of full submission

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

		115	Lion Foundation	The funding model was explained and Mr Coles, a representative from Papamoa Surf Club which has 800 members, advised that receiving funding from the Lion Foundation was a great help.
		115	Lion Foundation	The representative from Katikati Sport and Recreation Centre explained that the Centre was a new project at Moore Park and they needed over \$4M to be raised for the project. They were thankful for \$20,000 which had been received from The Lion and Avocado Festival, however, this put into perspective just how difficult extracting \$4M in donation is. They were reliant on organisations such as The Lions Foundation to raise significant amounts of funding, rather than relying on the local community. Without adequate funding the project wouldn't go ahead and Katikati Sport and Recreation Centre wanted to provide a place for multi sport clubs to use.
		115	Lion Foundation	The representative from Sport Bay of Plenty advised they don't support the changes. Gaming funding coming into this region is crucial to ongoing activities. They also support coaching programmes. Without it, the sports organisations would "simply fall away", therefore, it would be a shame if this was adopted today. How spoke of how important The Lion Foundation and other such organisations are to sports and the community in general.
		115	Lion Foundation	A "sinking lid" limits the capacity to provide increased funds to the community as the population grows and ultimately deprives community organisations and projects of valuable funds. Funds generated are an invaluable source of income for community projects and there is no obvious substitute to replace this funding should funds decrease in the future. Subsequently, community groups will suffer and will find it extremely difficult to maintain their level of services.
		115	Lion Foundation	See "Background" of full submission.
		115	Lion Foundation	2. A "sinking lid" limits the capacity to provide increased funds to the community as the population grows into the future and ultimately deprives community organisations and projects of valuable funds. Funds generated for community projects are an invaluable source of income and there is no obvious substitute to replace this funding should funds decrease in the future. Subsequently, community groups will suffer and will find it extremely difficult to maintain their level of service provision to the community.
		116	New Zealand Racing Board	See "Funding" (point 24-26) of full submission.
		126	Comrie, Brian Peter	Those who do have licences, will become very wealthy.
		114	PGF	- PGF Group is split into two parts, public health and clinical. Within the public health arm there's a focus on alternatives to public funding. Part money is always available to clubs and PGF Group recommend clubs know and support where their money comes from. However, it's difficult because this kind of money is much easier to get. - More people are applying for community funding, however, most people don't like gaming machines, thus the conflict.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Sub ID</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Summary</u>
GAM19-02: TAB Venues Policy	3: Loss of funding	94	Donna Pfefferle	NZ Racing Board support local and national not-for-profit, charities and volunteer organisations. What other income streams have this type of money to donate to critical service providers?

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Sub ID</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Summary</u>
GAM19-01: Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	7: Entertainment	21	Tanya Piejus - New Zeland Community Trust	See "Gaming machines are a legal and valid entertainment choice" (page 13) of the full submission.
		82	Sunny Peeters	<p>Coastguard Eastern Region would like to make a submission on the Gambling Venues Policy review.</p> <p>We would like to recommend Western Bay of Plenty Council stays with the Status Quo.</p> <p>There are many aspects to consider when it comes to Gambling, the majority of the population (77% of New Zealanders) gamble. It is a very popular entertainment, with the vast majority gambling without issue.</p> <p>"Entertainment Gambling, is often a harmless entertainment activity from which people derive personal enjoyment and positive social effects. Research has found that socialising, enjoyment, and fun are directly related to communal activities such as housie and community raffles. Based on these findings, there is a clear view that people genuinely enjoy participating in gambling activities, and there are benefits of being able to socialise with others, particularly whanau members"</p> <p>It provides employment opportunities, for the corporates societies administering the gaming machines, venues operating them, and the servicing industries. "A survey undertaken in 2009 estimated that there were 300 full-time equivalents (FTEs) directly employed by class 4 non-club corporate societies and 215 employees of external service providers and contractors also working for the corporate societies."</p>
		110	Gaming Machine Association Of New Zealand	10. Gambling is a popular form of entertainment that most New Zealanders participate in. The New Zealand National Gambling Study: Wave 4 (2015) <sup>2</sup> found that 75% of adult New Zealanders had participated in some form of gambling in the previous 12 months.
		116	New Zealand Racing Board	See "The Temptation to Simply 'Reduce Gambling Activity'" (point 27-28) of full submission.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

Topic	Issue	Sub ID	Name	Summary
GAM19-01: Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	8: Online options	13	Woisin, Freda Elizabeth	With the advent of the 'internet', digital services, applications (apps) controlling / legislating gambling / gaming bylaws like the way of the 'dry areas' of alcohol licensing trusts become almost redundant. Possibly needing a nation wide conversation / investigation involving central government, DHB, local communities, gambling / addiction services (already stretched) Global Regulation Platforms such as Facebook, Twitter etc.
		21	Tanya Piejus	If gaming venues are removed from the community, gamblers are likely to move to the online environment where gambling is unregulated and unmonitored has no harm minimisation measures, incentivises spending and returns nothing to the new zealand community.
		21	Tanya Piejus	Online gambling is an unregulated threat The public has access to a growing number of overseas gambling websites where they can spend their entertainment dollar. These sites are highly accessible, even to minors, often offer inducements to keep players betting, and have no bet size restrictions or guaranteed return to players. They do not return any funds to the New Zealand community or the New Zealand Government, and have to harm minimisation measures in place.
		21	Tanya Piejus	Concerns regarding increased online gambling were expressed. There hadn't been a any research done on it, but international research suggested increased online gambling. Canadian research says people are 210 time more likely to become addicted to gambling online. It's estimated that \$60M - \$300M per year is going off shore due to online gambling. NZCT have asked the department of Internal Affairs to look into this matter.
		36	Mark Rogers	Limited access to class 4 sites could well drive problem gamblers to other less regulated forms of gambling (for example on-line options).
		53	Katharine Birks	Closing off gambling facilities within our community will not prevent problem gambling. The Gaming Trusts monitor their venues and place restrictions upon access to those identified with a problem. The internet sites however to which these problem gamblers will essentially be directed to by this proposed policy have no such checks or balances - they will just take the money and run and not put a penny back into our community.
		110	Gaming Machine Association Of New Zealand	See "Unintended Consequences - Increase in Internet and Mobile Phone Gambling" (Point 37-42) of full submission.
		114	PGF	PGF recognises the risks online gambling poses to people with gambling problems. However, pokie trusts often attempt to divert attention from pokies to online gambling. Some pokie trusts have gone so far as saying "a sinking lid accelerates the migration to online gambling" from which communities lose all funding benefits.  There is no NZ research to say that people move, or are moving from pokies to online gambling. Many clients say that they do not experience the "pull" of online gambling in the same way that they are drawn to the pokies. If a person has a problem with sports betting, it does not necessarily mean they will become harmed by pokies. A person addicted to online slot machines cannot be assumed to gamble problematically while playing cards. Problem gambling should not be generalised in this way.  Councils do not set online gambling policy as this is for Central Government. Approximately half the people receiving counselling from problem gambling services are doing so because of their addiction to Class 4 pokies. This is something that Council can help address, and PGF strongly encourages Council to do so by adopting a true sinking lid.
		115	Lion Foundation	By reducing opportunities for the community to engage in a legitimate entertainment option, the risk is that some people will simply turn to online gambling - which is unsupervised and there is no legal requirement to return any funds to the community.
		116	New Zealand Racing Board	Point 39. Any reduction in the local gambling machine offering may have unintended consequences as this may simply lead to a migration of the gambling spend to offshore internet offerings. While it is illegal to advertise overseas gambling in New Zealand, it is not illegal to participate in gambling on an overseas-based website or mobile phone application.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

		156	The Salvation Army New Zealand Trust	<p>In response to questions, Mr Luteru advised the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There was a risk of people moving from physical machines to online gambling and there would be no money coming back into the community, if the shift happened.</li> <li>- Clients reported that part of the process of gambling at venues is the social aspect to relieve loneliness.</li> <li>- A very small amount of Salvation Army clients participated in online gambling</li> <li>- The turnaround time varied with each client as it depended on their motivation</li> <li>- Most clients had been gambling for a long time.</li> </ul>
		114	PGF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The PGF Group haven't seen or received any data on online gambling, so they are unsure of the research source and wonder where others get their information from. Online gambling isn't something Council can control.</li> </ul>

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Sub ID</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Summary</u>
GAM19-02: TAB Venues Policy	5: Online options	26	Dale Jessop	If a person wants to gamble they are able to do it in their own home. on line, there is no need for TABs any more, its sign of the times that these venues are no longer required
		49	susan Lean	People can gamble on line, so local TAB's are not required
		70	Matire Duncan	Anyone over the age of 18 can now bet on any sporting activity online.

## Summary Report for the 2019 Gambling Policies Review

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Issue</u>	<u>Sub ID</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Summary</u>
GAM19-01: Class 4 Gambling Venues Policy	9: Central Government	52	Laura Donovan	Banning pokie machines entirely would be a more suitable approach to helping NZ families.
		52	Laura Donovan	Banning pokie machines entirely would be a more suitable approach to helping NZ families. The number that are currently operating out in the community are only continuing to further impoverish families.
		6	Nottle, Deirdre Isabel	Gaming / gambling machines should not be able to operate in urban communities at all! or TABs. They harm families and communities, especially where alcohol is available. The hours are generally not social either. We don't need them! at all!